



UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA





1

## Standard Model and open problems

### Mikael Chala

### (Universidad de Granada)

11th IDPASC School, Olomouc; September 5, 2022

### The hierarchy problem



Supersymmetry: scalar linked with fermion (which doesn't suffer the problem) Composite Higgs models: scalar composite of fermions (which don't suffer the problem) Some more fundamental problem: dark matter

One interesting hypothesis: it is formed by **neutral weakly-interacting non-relativistic** particles



Some more fundamental problem: dark matter

One interesting hypothesis: it is formed by **neutral** weakly-interacting non-relativistic particles



**Standard particles** 

Composite Higgs models also work!

e.g.  $SO(6) \rightarrow SO(5)$ 

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} h)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} S)^{2}$$
$$- \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mu^{2} h^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{h} h^{4} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{S}^{2} S^{2} + \frac{1}{3} \kappa S^{3} + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{S} S^{4} + \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{hS} h^{2} S + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{hS} h^{2} S^{2} \right\}$$

A comparison with R-parity in SUSY (motivation relies *also* on dark matter)



The beauty of dark matter within composite Higgs models



 $L \sim (\partial_{\mu} S^2) (\partial^{\mu} |H|^2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{M} \sim p^2$ 

 $p^2 \sim m_S^2$ 

 $p^2 \sim p_{\text{transferred}}^2 \sim v^2$ 

At annihilation scale

At direct detection experiment scale

### Signals and status of SUSY and CHMs:



### Signals and status of SUSY and CHMs:



### Neutrino masses

They can arise at dimension-5. How can this be completed in the UV?  $\mathcal{O}^{(5)} = (\overline{L_L^c} \tilde{\phi}^*) (\tilde{\phi}^\dagger L_L) *$ 



### Neutrino masses

### Searches at the LHC



### Neutrino masses

### Low-mass models?





Zee model [picture from [1701.05345]

Zee-Babu model [picture from [1710.05885] Other (potential) problems of the SM:

- \* g-2, flavour anomalies, ...
- \* Why three generations?\* Why charge is quantised?

\* How to make computations without using Lagrangians/fields? What do we learn from that?

\*

# Why should I care about not using Lagrangians/fields?

Much **easier** to learn than Lagrangian physics (not so powerful yet, though)

Make use only of **observable** quantities

Much better **understanding** of what is fundamental and what is auxiliary

Infinitely easier to apply to high-spin particles: quantum gravity!

Sooner or later, the **standard** approach to particle physics

The goal: computing amplitudes without using fields/Lagrangians (for massless particles)

Strategy:



And 3-point amplitudes are completely determined by quantum mechanics and special relativity

### Find the correct notation



#### Murray Gell-Mann (Scientist)

Web of Stories - Life Stories of Remarkable People - 28 / 200





Murray Gell-Mann - How my father came to America (1/200)

Web of Stories - Life Stories of Remarkable...



Murray Gell-Mann - My mother's nationality (2/200)

Web of Stories - Life Stories of Remarkable...



Murray Gell-Mann - A supplementary education (3/200)

Web of Stories - Life Stories of Remarkable...



Murray Gell-Mann - Birdwatching with my brother (4/200)

Web of Stories - Life Stories of Remarkable...



Murray Gell-Mann - A cornucopia of

Х

Find the correct notation: spinor-helicity variables

$$p = (p^0, \vec{p}) \qquad P = p_\mu \sigma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} p_0 + p_3 & p_1 - ip_2 \\ p_1 + ip_2 & p_0 - p_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det P = 0 \Rightarrow P = \begin{pmatrix} & \\ & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \\ \\ p \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} p \\ p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle p_i p_j \rangle \equiv \langle ij \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} & \\ & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[p_i p_j] \equiv [ij] = \begin{pmatrix} & \\ & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

\*

Find the correct notation: spinor-helicity variables

$$p = (p^{0}, \vec{p}) \qquad P = p_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{0} + p_{3} & p_{1} - ip_{2} \\ p_{1} + ip_{2} & p_{0} - p_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\langle ij \rangle = -\langle ji \rangle$$
$$[ij] = -\langle ji]$$
$$[ij] = -[ji]$$
$$[p_{i}p_{j}] \equiv [ij] = ( )\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Find the correct notation

The magic: all sensible quantities appearing in an amplitude can be written simply as products of **angles** and **brackets**, e.g.:

$$s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2 = \langle ij \rangle [ij]$$

$$(\overline{u_1}\gamma^{\mu}P_R u_2)(\overline{u_3}\gamma_{\mu}P_R u_4) = 2\langle 13\rangle[42]$$

### Main result

An amplitude can be written simply as a linear combination of products of **angles** and **brackets**:

$$\mathcal{M}(1,2,\cdots,4) = \sum_{i} \langle 12 \rangle^{a_i} \langle 13 \rangle^{b_i} \cdots [34]^{c_i} \cdots$$

Little-group scaling:

Locality + unitarity (only single poles!): residue $(M, \text{pole} = s, t, u) = \mathcal{M}' \times \mathcal{M}''$ 

\*

### 3-point amplitudes are fixed

3-point amplitudes are products of only brackets or only angles, e.g.:



$$a = h_1 + h_2 - h_3$$
  
 $b = h_2 + h_3 - h_1$   
 $c = h_1 + h_3 - h_2$ 

\*

### **4-point amplitudes:** factorisation

Let's focus on scattering of (isolated) spin-1 bosons





22

### 4-point amplitudes: factorisation

Let's focus on scattering of (isolated) spin-1 bosons

$$r_s = g^2 \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^2 [34]^2}{u}$$
$$r_t = g^2 \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^2 [34]^2}{s}$$

 $r_u = g^2 \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^2 [34]^2}{t}$ 

Notice littlegroup scaling

### 4-point amplitudes: factorisation

Let's focus on scattering of (isolated) spin-1 bosons

$$r_{s} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2}}{u} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \langle 12 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2} \left(\frac{A}{s} + \frac{B}{t} + \frac{C}{u}\right) \qquad \mathsf{X}$$

$$r_{t} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2}}{s} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \langle 12 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2} \frac{A}{stu} \qquad \mathsf{X}$$

$$r_{u} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2}}{t} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \langle 12 \rangle^{2} [34]^{2} \left(\frac{A}{st} + \frac{B}{tu} + \frac{C}{su}\right) \qquad ?$$

$$C - A = -1$$

$$A - B = -1 \qquad \mathsf{X}$$

$$B - C = -1 \qquad \mathsf{X}$$

### What about family of spin-1 particles?



 $f_{abe}f_{ecd} + f_{ace}f_{bce} + f_{bde}f_{ace} = 0$ 

### e+ e- to mu+ mu-



Gravity

Let's focus on scattering of (isolated) spin-2 bosons

$$r_{s} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^{4} [34]^{4}}{ut} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \langle 12 \rangle^{4} [34]^{4} \left(\frac{A}{s} + \frac{B}{t} + \frac{C}{u}\right) \qquad \mathsf{X}$$
$$r_{t} = \cdots \qquad \mathcal{M} = \langle 12 \rangle^{4} [34]^{4} \left(\frac{A}{st} + \frac{B}{tu} + \frac{C}{su}\right) \qquad \mathsf{X}$$
$$r_{u} = \cdots$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle 12 \rangle^2 [34]^2 \frac{A}{stu} \quad \mathbf{V}$$

### Current knowledge based on this method

(Isolated) spin-1 particles must be non-interacting

Yang-Mills is the only consistent theory for gluons

Graviton couples universally to all particles

There can be only one type of graviton

Particles of spin larger than 2 must be non-interacting

If there exists at least one particle with spin 3/2, then there is supersymmetry

### Current knowledge based on this method

