"Technical" Start Date of Facility (This means, where the dates are not known, the earliest technically feasible start date is indicated - such that detector R&D readiness is not the delaying factor)				<2030					2030-2035					2035 - 2040	2040-2045		>2045		
				Panda 2025	CBM 2025	NA62/Klever 2025	Belle II 2026	ALICE LS3 ^{1]}	8 YUICE 3	LHCb (≳LS4) ¹⁾	ATLAS/CMS (≳ LS4) ¹⁾	EIC	LHeC	IFC 3)	FCC-ee	CLIC ²⁾	FCC-hh	F CC-eh	Muon Collider
Vertex Detector ³⁾	MAPS Planar/3D/Passive CMOS LGADs	DRDT 3.1 DRDT 3.4	Position precision σ _{hit} (μm)		≃5		≲5	≃ 3	≲3	≲10	≲15	≲3	≃ 5	≲3	≲3	≲3	~ 7	≃5	≲5
			X/X ₀ (%/layer)	$\lesssim 0.1$	≃ 0.5	≃ 0.5	$\lesssim 0.1$	≃ 0.05	≈ 0.05	≃ 1		≃ 0.05	$\lesssim 0.1$	≃ 0.05	≈ 0.05	≲ 0.2	≃ 1	≲0.1	≲0.2
			Power (mW/cm ²)		≃ 60			≃ 20	≃ 20			≃ 20		≃ 20	≃ 20	≃ 50			
			Rates (GHz/cm ²)		≃0.1	~ 1	≲0.1		≲0.1	≃6		≲0.1	≃ 0.1	≈ 0.05	≈ 0.05	≃ 5	≃ 30	≃ 0.1	
			Wafers area (") ⁴⁾					12	12			12			12		12		12
		DRDT 3.2	Timing precision $\sigma_t (ns)^{5)}$	10		≲0.05	100		25	≲0.05	≲ 0.05	25	25	500	25	≃ 5	≲ 0.02	25	≲0.02
		DRDT3.3	Radiation tolerance NIEL (x 10 ¹⁶ neg/cm ²)							≃6	≃ 2						$\simeq 10^2$		
			Radiation tolerance TID (Grad)							≃1	≃ 0.5						≃ 30		
Tracker ⁶⁾	MAPS Planar/3D/Passive CMOS LGADs	DRDT3.1 DRDT3.4	Position precision σ _{hit} (μm)						≃6	≃ 5		≃6	≃6	≃ 6	≃ 6	≃ 7	[≃] 10	≃6	
			X/X ₀ (%/layer)						≃ 1	~ 1		~ 1	~ 1	≃ 1	≃ 1	≃ 1	≲2	≃ 1	
			Power (mW/cm ²)						≲ 100	$\simeq 100$		≲ 100		≲100	≲100	≲150			
			Rates (GHz/cm ²)							≃ 0.16									
			Wafers area (") ⁴⁾						12			12		12	12	12	12		12
		DRDT 3.2	Timing precision $\sigma_t(ns)^{5)}$						25	≲25		25	25	≲0.1	≲0.1	≲0.1	≲ 0.02	25	≲0.02
		DRDT3.3	Radiation tolerance NIEL (x 10 ¹⁶ neq/cm ²)							≃ 0.3							≲1		
			Radiation tolerance TID (Grad)							≃ 0.25							≲1		
Calorimeter 7)	MAPS Planar/3D/Passive CMOS LGADs	DRDT 3.2	Timing precision $\sigma_t (ns)^{5)}$											≲ 0.05	≲0.05	≲0.05	≲ 0.02		≲0.02
		DRDT 3.3	Radiation tolerance NIEL (x 10 ¹⁶ neq/cm ²)														$\gtrsim 10^2$		
			Radiation tolerance TID (Grad)														≃ 50		
Time of Flight ⁸⁾	MAPS Planar/3D/Passive CMOS LGADs	DRDT 3.2	Timing precision $\sigma_t (ns)^{5)}$				≃ 0.02		≃ 0.02		≲ 0.03	≃ 0.02	≃ 0.02		≲0.01		≲0.01	≃ 0.02	
		DRDT 3.3	Radiation tolerance NIEL (x 10 ¹⁶ neq/cm ²)														$\simeq 10^2$		
			Radiation tolerance TID (Grad)														≃ 30		

Values are indicative of performance targets and of operating conditions relevant to R&D. The latter are reported for the regions most exposed to radiation. Empty cells indicate either that projects an concerned; or that specifications are already met or not yet fully established, for instance power consumption depends strongly on granularity and digital features that would be finally implemented. 1) LS3/LS4 are scheduled to start in 2025/2031 at the time of this document.

2) Deported artes are within the bunch trains. 3) LHCb/ATLAS/CMS consider Planar/3D sensors at the time of this document for rates and radiation tolerance. Pixelated LGADs could already be considered for NA62/Klever and for longer term Vertex Detectors for timing precision for high timing precision. 4) The size of wafers achievable can depend on technology (industrial process) with a general trend to benefits from larger areas.

(a) In calce of walk solutions of the open of the o

7) Two options exist for calorimetry: pads O(1) mm pitch with analog readout (applying to all technologies) and particle counting digital with MAPSs O(50) µm. LGADs could be considered for potentially higher timing precision. DRT 3.1 apply w/o the X/X₀ constraint. DRT 3.4 could achieve higher compactness and be needed for the digital options to integrate full readout within the sensor area. 8) TOF, as compared to 4D-tracking, concerns dedicated layers for very high pile-up, beam induced background or particle identification with highest possible precision. Timing performance of sensors w/o amplifcation (MAPS, planar/3D/CMOS passive CMOS) is subject to R&D, while LGADs w/ amplification are at this stage expected to potentially provide higher precision. DRT 3.1 and DRT 3.4 of Vertex Detector and Tracker apply with less stringent requirement

Figure 3.2: This matrix complements Figure 3.1 by showing, in the the same format, the required values of the quantities listed on the vertical axis as a function of time (and facility).

The choice to show the evolution of requirements with time reflects that the technologies discussed in the R&D themes are often valid alternatives for these (depending on which combination of properties is most needed) and in the longer term the distinctions between these different pixel approaches will become blurred as 3D-integration allows