Super OPEs and Susy breaking mediation

Ken Intriligator (UCSD)

with Jean-Francois Fortin and Andy Stergiou, to appear.

Outline

- Intro: why study (s)CFTs. QCD Analogy.
- Current OPEs in SCFTs.
- Applications to GGM.
- Example: apply to OGM.

Many 4d (s)CFTs

- SQCD in Seiberg conformal window.
- N=4.
- non-Lagrangian possibilities.
- Observables = spectrum of operators, their dimensions, OPE coefficients (+ non-local, Wilson loops.)

Applications of (s)CFTs (?)

- Help with model building challenges?
- E.g. O(1) anomalous dimensions could help suppress or enhance otherwise finely tuned quantities. Examples: sequestering, flavor hierarchy from anarchy, mu / Bmu in GM, conformal technicolor, etc.
- Or flowing near CFTs. E.g. walking technicolor, unparticles with mass gaps.

Another kind of application of (s)CFTs

- Softly broken symmetries can be regarded as spontaneously broken, get selection rules. IR broken symmetry restored in UV.
- Example: QCD. Not conformal, RG flow. UV: asymptotically free CFT. IR: chiral symmetry breaking, confinement. Would like to relate IR to simpler to UV physics.



Analyticity, optical theorem, OPE



Change the subject to GGM

Visible sector soft susy breaking masses in GGM from hidden sector current current correlators:

 $M_{\text{gaugino}} \sim \alpha \int d^4x \langle Q^2(J(x)J(0)) \rangle$ (Buican, Meade, Seiberg, Shih) $m_{\text{sfermion}}^2 \sim \alpha^2 \int d^4x \ln(x^2 M^2) \langle Q^4(J(x)J(0)) \rangle$

Bottom up: LHC will, we hope, someday measure these few parameters, giving a tiny, indirect peek at the hidden sector. Indulge in some fantasy. Maybe someday humans will learn more about the hidden sector. Hidden sector production? Strongly coupled hidden sector? Dark matter = hidden sector baryons? Top down constraints?



Visible sector soft masses in GGM is an example of this? Other possible visible signatures of the hidden sector? General constraints on current-current 2-point functions?



UV = "SCFT"

- Hidden sector: susy and conformal symm. is broken. But imagine they're restored in UV.
- Bigger UV symmetry implies UV relations.
 Some vestige can survive to IR.
- Broken symmetry in IR by operator or spurion vevs. $\langle \mathcal{O}_i \rangle \neq \delta_{i0} \rightarrow SC$ broken
- Apply the sOPE in the "sCFT", with $\langle \mathcal{O}_i \rangle \neq \delta_{i0}$ on the RHS.

sOPE in SCFTs

- Relate to 2-point and 3-point functions.
- Superconformal symmetry constrains their form (Osborn).
- Apply to our case of interest, correlators for conserved current OPEs.
- Relations among superconformal primaries and descendant sOPE coefficients.

Superconformal reps

K

S

 \bar{S}



Conserved current supermultiplets

 $\mathcal{T}_{\mu} = j_{R}^{\mu} + \theta \sigma^{\mu} \overline{\theta} \quad \overline{T_{\mu\nu}} + \dots$ Ferrara -Zumino multiplet (Primary op. compt.) $\mathcal{J} = J + i\theta j - i\overline{\theta}\overline{j} - \theta \sigma^{\mu}\overline{\theta} \quad j^{\mu} + \dots$ Conserved current multiplet $\overline{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad \text{"SCFT,"} \qquad D^{2}\mathcal{J} = \overline{D}^{2}\mathcal{J} = 0 \quad \text{Conserved}$

→ Ward identities for their correlation fns.

2 and 3 point funs, OPE $\mathcal{O}_0 \equiv \mathbf{1}$ $\mathcal{O}_i \sim c_{ij}^0 = g_{ij}$ 2-point fn coefficients ~ metric (Zamolodchikov) c_{ij}^k **OPE** coefficients \mathcal{O}_k \mathcal{O}_{i} $\mathcal{O}_0\equiv \mathbf{1}$ coefficients

Examples (4d N=0, Osborn Petkou)





Determining descendant OPEs from the primaries

Without susy, this was fully worked out in the '70s by Sergio Ferrara and collaborators. E.g. fixed coeffs

 $\mathcal{O}_{i}(x_{1})\mathcal{O}_{j}(x_{2}) = \sum_{[k]} \frac{c_{ij}^{k}}{r_{12}^{\Delta_{i}+\Delta_{j}-\Delta_{k}}} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta_{k} + \Delta_{i} - \Delta_{j}}{\Delta_{k}}\right) x \cdot P + \dots\right) \mathcal{O}_{k}(x_{2})$ You'd expect: (i) susy case is similar (ii) worked out long ago.

But both these are wrong. In fact, superconformal descendants are generally NOT fully determined from those of the primaries. In some special cases, including ours, it happens that the descendants are indeed fully determined by the primaries, but in generic OPEs they're not. Surprising.

Superconformal 3-point functions and invariants

Implement the superconformal symmetry constraints using Osborn's superspace formalism and results. 3-point functions depend on $z_i = (x_i^{\mu}, \theta_i^{\alpha}, \overline{\theta}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$

Make e.g. conformally nice coordinate $Z_{3}^{\mu} = \frac{x_{31}^{\mu}}{x_{31}^{2}} - \frac{x_{32}^{\mu}}{x_{32}^{2}}$

Complete it with corresponding theta terms to make ~ chiral or anti-chiral versions, called X_3 , \overline{X}_3 (don't confuse with the chiral superfield X). These are coordinates, not fields.

There are corresponding nice combinations of coordinates that start with theta's, so they're nilpotent Θ_3 , $\overline{\Theta}_3$

General 3-point functions and the Theta invariant

Super operator 3-point functions $\langle \mathcal{O}_i(z_1)\mathcal{O}_j(z_2)\mathcal{O}_k(z_3)\rangle = c_{ijk}(\dots)f(X_3,\Theta_3,\overline{\Theta}_3)$

Generally underdetermined function of Theta, means that superdescendant 3-point functions are not fully determined by the coefficient c_{ijk} of the three superconformal primaries.

Now consider J(x) J(0) OPE (KI, Fortin, Stergiou)

Real, R=0, spin / primaries + descendants on RHS, only

 $f = \frac{t^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell} (X_3, \Theta_3, \bar{\Theta}_3)}{x_{\bar{1}_2}^2 x_{\bar{2}_1}^2 x_{\bar{2}_2}^2 x_{\bar{2}_2}^2}$

 $\langle \mathcal{J}(z_1)\mathcal{J}(z_2)\mathcal{O}_i^{(\ell)(z_3)}\rangle = c_{JJ\mathcal{O}}f_{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}},\ell}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$

determined fn. of supercoordinates, via J conservation, e.g. for spin 0,

 $\mathcal{O}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_\ell}$

1

 $t = (X \cdot \bar{X})^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}-2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}{2} - 2\right)\left(\frac{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}{2} - 3\right)\frac{\bar{\Theta}^2 \Theta^2}{X \cdot \bar{X}}\right)$

 $X, \Theta =$ combinations super coords (Osborn)

Implies relations in supermultipet of J OPEs, from superconformal symmetry + current conservation.

(Correspondingly current 4-pt conformal blocks determined)



Can write in terms of N=0 blocks of Dolan & Osborn, like in talk / paper of Davids Poland and Simmons-Duffin.

Relations, seen from algebra

$$j^{\alpha}(x)j_{\alpha}(0) = Q^{2}(J(x)J(0)) = \frac{1}{x^{2}}Q(x \cdot \bar{S})(J(x)J(0))$$
 etc

Relations among different OPEs on LHS

$$S^{2}(J(x)J(0)) = \overline{S}^{2}(J(x)J(0)) = 0$$
 etc



Relations among different terms on RHS of OPE.

Simple Example: MGM as "SCFT"

Charged messenger pair + hidden goldstino field or spurion X



Compute OPE coeffs in UV "SCFT", including X, F ops on RHS. Coeffs = UV data, unaffected by IR op vevs.

Use / check: $j^{\alpha}(x)j_{\alpha}(0) = Q^{2}(J(x)J(0)) = \frac{1}{x^{2}}Q(x \cdot \overline{S})(J(x)J(0))$ $i \int d^{4}x \, e^{-ip \cdot x} j_{\alpha}(x)j_{\beta}(0) \rightarrow \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}FX^{\dagger} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_{1/2}(m,n;s,\mu)(F^{\dagger}F)^{m}(X^{\dagger}X)^{n}$

With: $\tilde{c}_{1/2}(m, n) = (n + 1)\tilde{c}_0(m, n + 1) + 2\tilde{d}_0(m - 1, n)$ It works. Only need JJ OPE



Cross sections



Cut: make on-shell hidden / messengers, disc = total cross sect.

$$\sigma_a(\text{vis} \to \text{hid}) = \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha^2}{s} \text{Disc} \widetilde{C}_a(s) \quad \text{Also, e.g.} \quad \sigma_0(s) = \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1, m_2)}{8\pi s^2} |\mathcal{M}|^2$$

 $\lambda = 4s |\vec{p}_{os}|^2 = (s - (m_1 + m_2)^2)(s - (m_1 - m_2)^2)$ Textbook kinematic factor

Our example:
$$\sigma_0(\text{vis} \to \text{hid}) = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{s^2}\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_+, m_-)$$

 $\sigma_0(s) = \frac{1}{s} \lim \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_0(m, n; s, \Lambda) (F^{\dagger}F)^m (X^{\dagger}X)^n$ Corocar our lt w find

Compare with the Disc of our Wilson coefficients. It works. Use symms to find all other cross sects.

GGM soft masses from OPE

$$GGM: \qquad M_r = g_r^2 \mathcal{M} \widetilde{B}_{1/2}^{(r)}(0) \qquad m_{\tilde{t}}^2 = \sum_{r=1}^3 g_r^4 c_2(f; r) A_r \qquad \text{original MSS} \\ A_r = -\frac{M^2}{16\pi^2} \int dy \left[\widetilde{C}_0^{(r)}(y) - 4 \widetilde{C}_{1/2}^{(r)}(y) + 3 \widetilde{C}_1^{(r)}(y) \right] \qquad \text{original MSS} \\ \text{expressions} \end{aligned}$$

$$Use the OPE + dispersion relations, e.g. \quad \widetilde{B}(s=0) = \int_{s_0}^\infty \frac{ds}{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{B}(s))}{s} \\ M_{gaugino} = \sum_k \frac{\alpha \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k-1} d_k M^{d_k}} \langle Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ m_{sfermion}^2 = -\sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ M_{gaugino} = \sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ M_{sfermion} = -\sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ M_{sfermion} = -\sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ M_{sfermion} = \sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ M_{sfermion} = \sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad \text{In our example, these reproduct} \\ M_{sfermion} = \sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}}} \langle \overline{Q}^2 Q^2(\mathcal{O}_k(0)) \rangle \qquad M_{sfermion} = \sum_k \frac{\alpha^2 c_2 \ln[s^{d_k/2} \widetilde{c}_{f_j}^k(s)]}{2^{d_k+1} \pi d_k^2 M^{d_k}}}$$

Get good approx. by keeping only few leading terms in OPE.

Approx. soft masses from OPE

$$J_{a}(x)J_{b}(0) = \tau \frac{\delta_{ab}\mathbb{1}}{16\pi^{4}x^{4}} + \tau^{-1}kd_{ab}^{c}\frac{J_{c}(0)}{4\pi^{2}x^{2}} + w \frac{\delta_{ab}K(0)}{4\pi^{2}x^{2}-\gamma_{K}} + c_{ab}^{i}\frac{\mathcal{O}_{i}(0)}{x^{4-\Delta_{i}}} + \cdots$$

$$QQ(^{*})=0 \qquad \text{``Konishi,'' lowest dim} \\ \text{op with } QQ(K) \neq 0 \qquad \text{higher dim ops} \\ + \text{descendants}$$
We find:
$$M_{\text{gaugino}} \approx -\frac{\alpha\pi w\gamma_{Ki}}{8M^{2}} \langle Q^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{i}(0)) \rangle$$

$$\text{Leading term from} \\ \text{Konishi operator, and} \\ \text{its mixing operators.}$$

E.g. in our OGM example, standard soft mass functions f(x), $g(x) \sim 1$. The OPE approximation from including just a single term already accounts for $\sim 1/2$ of these functions. The higher dimension operators and descendants give just small corrections. Power of the OPE.

 $64M^2$

Summary

- Constrain current-current OPE in SCFTs, showed superconformal descendant coeffs are fully determined from primaries.
- Can apply to "SCFTs" with broken susy and conf'l symmetry. OPE coeffs =UV, so don't notice IR breaking by vevs.
- Can apply to GGM. Use OPE to find cross sections and approximations to soft masses.

Thank you.

Saturday, May 21, 2011