

Intense Beam Issues in CSNS Accelerator Beam Commissioning

Sheng Wang Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, Oct. 9, 2023






I. The performance of CSNS I. The beam commissioning Intense beam issues in RCS Ш. **V**. The upgrade of CSNS (CSNS-II) V. Summary

The Performance of CSNS










CSNS Beam History



The neutron beamtime 5262 hours and the availability of 97%




Intense beam effects in RCS commissioning

Beam power on target	Challenges	Solutions	
50kW→80kW	Too large beam size after painting, non-uniform beam distribution, large transverse coupling	By using the correlated painting instead of the anti-correlated painting	
80kW→100kW	Serious beam instability	Optimization of the tune and chromaticity	
100kW→125kW	Emittance increase caused by broken four-fold symmetry of the RCS; Stranger beam instability	Slight modification of injection system; installation of AC trim quadrupoles and AC sextupoles	
125kW→140kW	Strong space charge effects	Installation of a dual harmonic RF cavity	


Tune Pattern Optimization

See Xu's report WEA2C1




Painting scheme optimization

See Huang's report THA1I1



Super-periodicity restoration


See Li's report TUA4C2





The duel harmonic RF system

See Liu's report FRA1I2

- The dual harmonic system is designed for ease the space charge effect in the upgrade of CSNS
- The prototype MA cavity was installed and operated online
- The initial use of dual harmonic system effectively reduces beam loss and increases beam power.








Collective instability in RCS




- ➢ With nominal tune (4.86/4.78) and nature chromaticity, coherent oscillations were observed when beam power >50 kW, with amplitude increasing as the intensity increases.
- ➤ The growth time is less than 1 ms for 100 kW
- \succ The impedance is several handred k Ω/m
- ➢ It is a "broadband" impedance



Head-tail mode in a bunch



- Acquisition raw data from the BPM with sample frequency of 250 MHz, the headtail mode can be observed
- > The mode changes with the energy increase
- > The mode changes with the beam intensity, compared between 75kW and 100kW



The dependence of oscillation on filling pattern

10500 13500 16500 19500

 $N_b = 7.8E12$, double

 $N_b = 7.8E12$, single

N/turn

5130 7700

1330

40

30

20

3000

- > When only one of two buckets is filled, the oscillation was also observed, but with much smaller amplitude
- \succ It depends on the total number of particles, no matter they were filled into one or two buckets
- **Base on the characteristic, given the name of Head**tail coupling bunch instability"

40

20

-20

-40

40

20

-20

-40

40

20

-20

-40

40


20

-20

-48<u>1</u>

X/mm


/wm/



The dependence of oscillation on tune




- Scan v_x with $N_p = 1.56 \times 10^{13}$ of 100 kW.
 - v_x from 4.78 to 4.86 with $v_y = 4.78$
- The oscillation amplitude increases rapidly as the tune moves toward 5.0, and the instability shows up later
- The instability has also been observed in vertical plane.
 - Scan v_y with $N_p = 1.1 \times 10^{13}$ of 70 kW
 - v_y from 4.88 to 4.92 with $v_x = 4.78$
 - Similar to that in horizontal plane




Mitigation of instability

The coherent oscillation was damped by increasing chromaticity (DC sextupoles)
 Optimizing tune pattern to mitigate the coherent oscillation




✓ With two mitigation methods, the beam power reached 100 kW successfully.



Upgrading sextupoles for high beam power




- > DC sextupoles were replaced by AC after beam power reached 100kW (in 2021)
- > With AC sextupoles, the chromaticity can be well controlled in an RCS cycle
- > AC sextupole plays a crucial role in beam power of 100 kW+
- > AC sextupoles made significant contribution from 100KW to 125 kW
- > The instability can be completely suppressed with beam power of 140 kW




Possible source of impedance



- Many studies have been done on the collective instability in design stage, but this instability is unexpected.
- Key components—Vertical extraction kicker: good match between the kicker and PFN and small oscillation only in vertical plane
- Key components—Cavity: HOMs of the ferrite loaded cavity have been found, but small peak value
- By using the empirical formula, the estimated impedance of resistive wall from both stainless steal and ceramic chamber is small




Chinese Physics C Vol. 40, No. 4 (2016) 047002



Possible source of impedance




- > A very complicated structure of the ceramic chamber, 4 layers and 100 nm TiN
- Based on a simplified model, by using IW2D, the impedance and the impedance was estimated, and is much larger than the value based on the empirical formula.
- Although it is difficult to measure the impedance, we compared the impedance w. and w/o TiN coating.



China Spallation Neutron Source-II





Plans to maintain user operation almost unaffected during CSNS II construction



Injection energy	Beam power	ΔQ	
80MeV	100kW	0.28	
300MeV	500kW	0.19	
400MeV(J-PARC)	1MW	0.12	3GeV RCS
1GeV(SNS)	1MW	0.08	1GeV AR
70MeV(ISIS)	160kW	0.4	800MeV RCS

The highest beam intensity in the same kind of RCS for CSNS-II

Beam Power Upgrade (two-step)





- 1. Linac (80MeV) + RCS upgrade 150~200kW (the first step)
 - ✓ New dual harmonic RF system
 - Adding AC trim quadrupoles, sextupoles and octupoles
- 2. Linac (300MeV+) + upgraded RCS 500kW(the second step)
 - ✓ SC linac to 300MeV
 - ✓ New injection region
 - ✓ Upgrade the power supplies for main magnets

Beam instability in RCS of CSNS-II



- > The new elements in RCS don't contribute a lot for impedance
- > Bunch lengthening driven by parasitic impedance of MA CAV is very small
- > The head-tail coupling bunch instability remains a key issue in CSNS-II
 - The threshold of beam power is ~ 300 kW






Mitigation strategy for CSNS-II



- Many mitigation methods are being considered
- ➤ Tune below 0.5, such as (4.3,5.3), (5.29, 5.32)
 - Such as (4.3, 5.3) below, without instability observed until 140 kW
- Octupole magnet will be installed to increase Landau damping
- > BxB feedback was being firstly developed, and further intra-bunch feedback



A new injection painting scheme

LRBA1 LRBA2 INDCCG1 LRBPM18 MWS01 Angular scanning Position scanning Chicane Horizontal painting bump bump

See Huang's report THA1I1

<u>New idea:</u> chicane bump and horizontal painting
bump are combined into one bump which make the
chicane bump "move". The horizontal painting is
performed by using the position and angle scanning
at the same time.

Advantages:

- •Peak temperature of the stripping foil can be greatly reduced.
- •Both correlated and anti-correlated painting can be performed.
- •The edge focusing effect of bump magnets is greatly reduced
- •The difficulty of large aperture of the injection port and transport line required by angular scanning is solved.

•It saves a set of bump magnets and is easier to optimize the layout of the injection system.

R&D for CSNS-II Accelerator




MA second harmonic cavity



Prototype SC cavities: Double spoke & Ellipsoidal cavity







RF H- ion source





Low-level RF prototype

Summary



- ✓ The CSNS beam commissioning has been successful, surpassing the 100 kW (design goal). Currently, CSNS operates steadily at 140 kW with high availability, reliability, and stability.
- ✓ Many intense beam related issues have been studied in the beam commissioning.
- ✓ CSNS-II, the upgrade project for CSNS, which will increase beam power to 500kW, will soon start the construction.
- ✓ The experience and lessons from CSNS have laid an important foundation for the CSNS-II.



Thank you for your attention!