

Aaron Angerami on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

> Quark Matter 2023 Houston, Texas, USA September 3—9, 2023

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

ATLAS Highlights



The ATLAS Heavy Ion Physics Program

- Photon induced processes— UPCs
- Nuclear modification of parton densities
- Collective dynamics
 - Medium response
 - Role of fluctuating geometry
- Penetrating probes
 - Jet quenching
 - Heavy quarks

Best place to find new ATLAS results https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HeavylonsPublicResults



A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023



Photon-induced processes $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and constraints on τ anomalous magnetic moment

results UPC ATLAS

Dileptons	үү→ее	<u>JHEP 06 (2023) 182</u>	
	γγ→μμ	<u>Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) 024906</u>	
	<i>γγ→ττ</i>	arXiv:2204.13478	
Exotica	γγ→γγ	<u>Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 852</u> Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 052001	
	γγ→ALP	<u>JHEP 03 (2021) 243</u>	
Photo- production	γA→ jets	ATLAS-CONF-2022-02	
	γA→h+X, 2PC	Phys. Rev. C. 104 (2021) 014903	
	γA→h+X		

- Observation of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ process
 - Sensitive to anomalous magnetic moment $a_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{\tau} - 2)$ and BSM physics
- Constrain $-0.057 < a_{\tau} < 0.024$ at 95% confidence level
 - Competitive with LEP2 limits

OPAL 1998 L3 1998

- μ1T-SF μ3T-SR
- μe-SR Combined

See <u>talk</u> by P. Steinberg Tues. 4:50

Observation of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ arXiv:2204.13478







A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023





Photo-nuclear processes Event characteristics

results UPC ATLAS

	_		
Dileptons	үү→ее	<u>JHEP 06 (2023) 182</u>	
	γγ→μμ	Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) 024906	
	γγ→ττ	<u>arXiv:2204.13478</u>	\sim
Exotica	<i>γγ→γγ</i>	Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 852 Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 052001	
	γγ→ALP	<u>JHEP 03 (2021) 243</u>	Poin
Photo- production	γA→ jets	ATLAS-CONF-2022-02	pnc
	γA→h+X, 2PC	Phys. Rev. C. 104 (2021) 014903	Diı
	γA→h+X		

- Flow-like correlations observed in $\gamma A \rightarrow X$
 - Dominated by *resolved* contributions
- How well do we understand these systems?
 - Aspects of geometry relevant for hydro calculations
- Natural to ask whether other signatures associated with OGP are observable



Accessible photon structure at high energy





New for QM23

Photo-nuclear processes Event characteristics

results UPC ATI

Dileptons	үү→ее	<u>JHEP 06 (2023) 182</u>	
	γγ→μμ	<u>Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) 024906</u>	
	<i>γγ→ττ</i>	<u>arXiv:2204.13478</u>	
Exotica	γγ→γγ	<u>Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 852</u> Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 052001	
	γγ→ALP	<u>JHEP 03 (2021) 243</u>	
Photo- production	γA→ jets	ATLAS-CONF-2022-02	
	γA→h+X, 2PC	Phys. Rev. C. 104 (2021) 014903	
	γA→h+X		

p + Pb comapred with $\gamma + Pb$



Theory prediction at fixed multiplicity γ + Pb and p + Pb have same radial flow (PRL 129 (2022) <u>25, 252302</u>) \rightarrow compare $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$

[GeV⁻¹]

See <u>talk</u> by S. Das Wed. 9:30







Final for QM23

- To improve the predictive power of hydro models we need accurate description of 3D geometry, especially important in small systems
- Constrain geometry in longitudinal direction using flow decorrelations
 - Measure 2PC between two regions in η and extract flow harmonics
 - Parameterize by $c_n = A (1 + F_n \eta + S_n \eta^2)$
- Compare with AMPT— per nucleon longitudinal strings, uniform in rapidity, serve as a simple model with *no* geometric decorrelation
- Works reasonably well in Xe+Xe
- Fails in *pp*
 - Evidence for longitudinal fluctuations in energy deposition possibly arising from sub-nucleonic structures



See <u>talk</u> by B. Seidlitz Tues. 12:40



A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023









- Puzzle in ultra-central collisions (UCC)
 - $v_2 \sim v_3$ in data but $v_2 > v_3$ in hydro calculations
- Need to understand role of fluctuations in initial conditions
 - Geometric fluctuations vs
 - "Intrinsic" fluctuations
- Observe qualitative change in behavior in most central $\sim 1\%$ of collisions ("knee")
 - Completely saturate overlap area and eliminate geometric fluctuations
 - Higher N_{ch} but fixed area more radial flow
 - Deviations from $1/N_{ch}$ and $1/N_{ch}^2$ scaling behavior in cumulants expected from independent source models

See <u>talk</u> by T. Bold Wed. 5:10

See poster by S. Bhatta







- Is the ridge associated with jet production?
- Define two-particle correlations among particles from different categories: UE or associated with jet
 - Careful to remove UE contribution to correlation within jet cone
- Also study different event selection related to jets

Events with jets have ~ the same $v_2 \leq v_2 < v_2 \leq v_2 \leq v_2 < v_2 \leq v_2 < v$ ATLAS 0.2 v_2 doesn't depend on whether there h-h are jets in the event 0.1 But correlations between jet particles and UE exhibit v_2

consistent with zero

0

See <u>talk</u> by S. Mohapatra Wed. 5:50

Sensitivity of 2PCs to hard scattering in *pp* collisions arXiv:2303.17357







Geometry and hard-soft correlations Updates from a curious scaling relation in p + Pb

- Recall some unresolved issues with jet production in p + Pb
- Centrality dependence of inclusive jet yields RpPb > 1 for "peripheral" and < 1 for "central"
- Interesting kinematic dependence: increases with $p_{\rm T}$ with a slope that depends on rapidity (looks like cosh y)
 - Depends solely on $E \sim p_T \cosh y$
- Correlated with x in the proton, when x_p is large



Possible explanation in terms of color fluctuations but need dijets to constrain parton kinematics





Final for QM23



A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023

10

Geometry and hard-soft correlations Comparison to CMS dijets





Original ATLAS and CMS observations arise from the same feature Can see full kinematic dependence in new ATLAS data



11

Nuclear PDF constraints



 \mathcal{X}

New p + Pb dijet result \rightarrow precision measurement over large phase space

A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023



UPC jets Unique $x - Q^2$ range connecting LHC to EIC



 ${\mathcal X}$

Pb+Pb, 1.72 nb⁻¹

35 < M_{iets} < 185 GeV

10⁻²

 $\gamma + A \rightarrow jets$

100

80

60

40

10⁻³

See <u>talk</u> by B. Gilbert Wed. 3:00



Preliminary measurement shown here, final precision measurement expected soon, stay tuned!









Top production in *p***+Pb**





- Cross section extracted from fits to $H_{\rm T}^{\ell j} = \sum p_{\rm T}^{j} + \sum p_{\rm T}^{\ell}$ leptons iets
 - in 6 signal regions in both single and dilepton channels
- Dileptons have poorer statistics but much better purity complements single leptons well
- Extends previous CMS measurement (PRL 119, 242001 (2017)), which used single lepton only
 - Uncertainty improved by ~2x



14



- Heavy quark pairs are produced with an azimuthal correlation that may be distorted by scattering in the medium
 - Diffusion of heavy quarks and degree to which they thermalize
- Study angular (de)correlation between $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ pairs produced from c, b decays
 - **Same-sign, opposite-sign** and **combination** carry complementary information, different *c/b* composition
- Characterize away-side width and measure vs centrality



No significant broadening observed \rightarrow new constraint on heavy quark diffusion

See <u>talk</u> by A. Sickles Tues. 9:30

HF dimuon angular correlations arXiv:2308.16652

A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023

K









Jet suppression: photon-tagged jets Flavor dependence of energy loss

Expect gluon jets to lose more energy than quark jets, naively:







See <u>talk</u> by C. Mcginn Wed. 8:50

 R_{AA} for γ -tagged jets arXiv:2303.10090





16

Final for QM23

Jet suppression: substructure dependence Large angles access jet's internal scales using reclustering

- Build large radius jets (R = 1.0) using small radius jets (R = 0.2) as constituents using k_t algorithm Decluster to find last splitting and define
- - Opening angle: $\Delta R_{12} \equiv \sqrt{\Delta y_{12}^2 + \Delta \phi_{12}^2}$
 - Splitting scale: $\sqrt{d_{12}} \equiv \min(p_{T1}, p_{T2}) \times \Delta R_{12}$



Single subjet (SSJ): single jet R = 0.2

Jets with multiple subjets are significantly more suppressed, but only weak dependence on $\sqrt{d_{12}}$ and ΔR_{12} beyond this.

Substructure and suppression for large *R* jets arXiv:2301.05606







17



Jet suppression: substructure dependence Access hard splittings at small angles using grooming

Apply SoftDrop ($z_{cut} = 0.2, \beta = 0$) to R = 0.4 jets Identify "hardest" splitting to define r_{g}



Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023

Substructure and suppression for small *R* jets PRC 107 (2023) 054909

Relative suppression exhibits *little p*_T *dependence*











Jet suppression: substructure dependence Model comparisons

 r_g dependence of energy loss may arise due to loss of *coherence*







A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023







Jet suppression: substructure dependence Interpretation

Does this observation arise from "trivial" flavor effects?



vs from decoherence effects?





Or is it more subtle, gluon jets are **both**: - Wider in general and also



We have lots of new results sensitive to both aspects that we should be able to address these subtleties through detailed model comparisons





Dijet asymmetry Historical perspective



A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023





Xe+Xe data shows qualitatively same features as Pb+Pb both in terms of shape and suppression



Final for

QM23

See <u>talk</u> by A. Sickles Tues. 9:30

Dijet asymmetry in Xe+Xe PRC 108 (2023) 024906

In quantitative agreement when matching equivalent event activity levels and accounting for different CM energies

In sensitive to path length differences in Pb+Pb and Xe+Xe

Suggests fluctuations in energy loss itself dominating the behavior











In pp, x_{I} is becomes more narrow with large R



In ratio, modification is small at large x_{I} , For small x_{I} , R = 0.6 has much higher yield

New for

QM23

See talk by A. Sickles Tues. 9:30

See <u>poster</u> by A. Romero







New for QM23

- Lost energy serves as a source term in the hydrodynamic evolution \rightarrow diffusion wake
- Follow proposal of (PRL 130 (2023) 5, 052301) look at photon-jet
- Signature depletion in particle production in photon-direction
 - Larger with more energy loss \rightarrow study vs $x_{\gamma J}$
- Measure soft hadron yield dividing out uncorrelated background



See <u>talk</u> by C. Mcginn Wed. 8:50

Search for diffusion wake ATLAS-CONF-2023-054







Summary

- ATLAS has a diverse and vibrant physics program
- Detailed studies of collision geometry
 - Flow decorrelations, fluctuations ultra-central collisions
- Hard-soft correlations
 - Jet contribution to v_2 in small systems, color fluctuations in p + Pb dijets
- Comprehensive program mapping out dependence of nuclear PDF modifications
 - p + Pb dijets, UPC dijets, $t\bar{t}$ production in p + Pb
- Jet quenching
 - Pinning down the relationship between flavor and substructure and how they determine energy loss
 - Continuing program looking at dijets



ATLAS talks at posters at QM23





A. Angerami for the ATLAS Collaboration, Quark Matter 2023

Anabel Romero

Riccardo Longo

Xiaoning Wang

Somadutta Bhatta

Best place to find new ATLAS results https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HeavylonsPublicResults



