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Ultra-peripheral collisions (UPC)

- Impact parameter $b > R_1 + R_2$
  - Hadronic interactions suppressed
- Photon induced reactions:
  - Well described in Weizsäcker-Williams approximation
  - Photon flux $\sim Z^2$ ($Z_{\text{Pb}} = 82$)
  - Large $\gamma$-induced interaction cross section
- Clear signature:
  - Low detector activity
  - Rapidity gap(s)
Photoproduction and main variables

- Momentum scale $Q^2 \sim \frac{M_{VM}^2}{4}$
  - Hard scale assured by high mass of $J/\psi$ meson
- Vector Meson (VM) quantum numbers:
  - $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$
- Bjorken-$x$: fraction of longitudinal momentum of proton
  $$x_B = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{S_{NN}}} e^{\pm y}$$
  - Photoproduction is sensitive to gluon density evolution at low $x_B$ at LO (more complex at NLO)
- Photon-target center-of-mass energy
  $$W_{\gamma^*Pb}^2 = 2E_{Pb}M_{VM}e^{\mp y}$$
- 4-momentum transfer $t = $ Mandelstam $t$
  - Gluon distribution in the transverse plane $|t| \sim p_T^2$
Photoproduction types

- **Coherent** Vector Meson (VM) photoproduction:
  - Photon couples coherently to all nucleons (whole nucleus)
  - $<p_T^{VM}> \sim 1/R_{Pb} \sim 60$ MeV/c

- **Incoherent** VM photoproduction:
  - Photon couples to a single nucleon
  - $<p_T^{VM}> \sim 1/R_p \sim 500$ MeV/c

- **Exclusive** VM photoproduction on target proton (in p-Pb case):
  - Photon couples to a single proton
  - $<p_T^{VM}> \sim 1/R_p \sim 500$ MeV/c
  - Similar to coherent VM photoproduction

- **Dissociative** (or semiexclusive) VM photoproduction:
  - Photon interacts with a single nucleon and excites it
  - $<p_T^{VM}> \sim 1$ GeV/c
  - Target nucleon and ion break (in heavy ion collision)
  - Target proton breaks (in p-Pb)
Motivation for $t$-dependent measurements

- Gluon density is impact parameter $b$ dependent at given Bjorken-$x$ and $Q^2$
- $b$ and $p_T$ are Fourier conjugates
- $p_T^2 \approx |t|$ - dependence of the cross section helps to constrain transverse gluonic structure at low $x_B$
- In Good – Walker approach
  - Coherent photoproduction tells about transverse dependence of the gluon shadowing
    - Saturation may contribute to nuclear shadowing
  - Incoherent photoproduction is sensitive to the variance of the spatial gluon distribution (subnucleonic fluctuations)

\[
\frac{d\sigma^{inc}}{dt} = \frac{R_g^2}{16\pi} (|A(x,Q^2,\vec{A})|^2 - |\langle A(x,Q^2,\vec{A}) \rangle|^2)
\]
Motivation – cont.

- Variations in nucleon positions and/or gluonic hot spots → quantum fluctuations
- Larger $|t|$ range → scatter of smaller object
- Coherent vs. Incoherent vs. Dissociative $J/\psi$
  - Access to different scales: nucleus, nucleon, hot spots
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- **Central Barrel tracking** \((\mu^\pm, e^\pm, h^\pm)\)
  - \(|\eta| < 0.9, 0 < \varphi < 2\pi\)
  - ITS - silicon detector
  - TPC - gas drift detector
  - TOF - resistive plate chambers

- **Forward tracking** \((\mu^\pm)\)
  - \(-4 < \eta < -2.5\)
  - Absorber
  - Muon tracker
  - Muon trigger
  - Dipole magnet

- **Diffractive detectors**
  - AD - scintillator counter
  - V0 - scintillator counter
  - ZDC – sampling calorimeter

- **Trigger**
  - Topology in SPD, TOF
  - Veto in AD, V0
  - Muon
- **First measurement** of the energy dependent **dissociative J/ψ cross section** at the LHC
- Agreement with HERA results
- CCT model with saturation agrees with data
  - Predicted maximum at $W_{γp} \sim 500$ GeV to be studied in Run 3
- MS model with saturation to be studied in Run 3
**J/ψ in UPC Pb-Pb**

- Central rapidity region $|y^{J/ψ}| < 0.8$ which corresponds to $x_B \sim 10^{-3}$
- Very clear $J/ψ \rightarrow μ^+μ^-$ signal
- Corrections from $p_T$ distribution
- Bayesian (and SVD) unfolding in coherent analysis
  - To account for $p_T$ migrations
  - To transform $p_T^2 \rightarrow |t|$
- Transition from UPC to photonuclear cross section

### Photon flux

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{coh/incoh}^{J/ψ}}{dy dp_T^2} \bigg|_{y=0} = 2n_{γPb}(y = 0) \frac{dσ_{γPb}^{J/ψ}}{d|t|}$$

---

**Graphs:**
- **Coherent**
  - ALICE, Pb–Pb $|S_{NN}| = 5.02$ TeV
  - $J/ψ \rightarrow μ^+μ^-$
  - UPC, $L_{int} = 233 \pm 6 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$
  - $p_T^2(0.0016,0.0026) \text{ GeV}^2 / c^2$
  - $N_{J/ψ} = 511^{+24}_{-24}$
  - PLB 817 (2021)

- **Incoherent**
  - ALICE, Pb–Pb $|S_{NN}| = 5.02$ TeV
  - $J/ψ \rightarrow μ^+μ^-$
  - UPC, $L_{int} = 232 \pm 7 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$
  - $0.2 < p_T < 1.0 \text{ GeV/c}$
  - $|y| < 0.8$
  - $N_{J/ψ} = 512 \pm 26$

- **Transition from UPC to photonuclear cross section**

---

**References:**
- arXiv:2305.06169 (2023)
- Adam Matyja - QM2023

---

**Equations:**
- $d^2 \sigma_{coh/incoh}^{J/ψ}$
- $dy dp_T^2$
- $y = 0$
- $dσ_{γPb}^{J/ψ}$
- $d|t|$
- $2n_{γPb}$
Coherent J/ψ

- |t| dependence of coherent J/ψ photoproduction is sensitive to the gluon distribution in the transverse plane
- HERA-like precision achieved
- Comparison to models:
  - STARlight does not have shadowing, so does not describe shape nor magnitude
  - LTA contains nuclear shadowing – agrees with data
  - b-BK based on gluon saturation – agrees with data

LTA (shadowing): PRC 95 (2) (2017) 025204;
- vector dominance model (VDM) based on perturbative Leading Twist Approximation (LTA) of nuclear shadowing.

- impact parameter dependent BK computation.
Incoherent $J/\psi$

- **$|t|$ dependence** of the incoherent $J/\psi$ photoproduction is sensitive to the variance of the gluon distribution in the transverse plane.

- First measurement which probes **fluctuations** of the gluonic "hot spots" in Pb.

- Models fail to predict the normalisation.

- Normalization is linked to the scaling from proton to nuclear targets.

- (Slope of) data favor models with gluonic subnucleon fluctuations (hot spots in MS-hs, fluctuations MSS-fl and dissociation in GSZ el+dis).

**MS** (saturation): PLB 772 (2017) 832; 
- Based on IPsat model.

**GSZ** (shadowing): PRC 99 (2019) 015201; 
- VDM based on LTA shadowing including elasic and/or dissociative part

**MSS** (saturation): PRD 106, 7 (2022) 074019 
- Based on JIMWLK equations.
Summary

- First measurement of **dissociative $J/\psi$** photoproduction in p-Pb
  - Sensitive to gluon density fluctuations in proton
- Measurement of **coherent $J/\psi$** photoproduction in Pb-Pb in $6|t|$ bins
  - Measurements signal large nuclear gluon shadowing effects at $x_B \sim 10^{-3}$
  - Models with shadowing or saturation describe data best at low $x_B$
- **First measurement of incoherent $J/\psi$** photoproduction in Pb-Pb in $5|t|$ bins
  - **Subnucleon fluctuations are important**
- ALICE data **strongly challenge** to describe both coherent and incoherent regime
Look into other ALICE UPC contributions

- First global study of super dense gluonic matter with UPCs by ALICE – Simone Ragoni – talk at the UPC session
- Physics prospects of central exclusive production in pp collisions with ALICE Run 3 data – Minjung Kim – poster
- Exploring light hadrons in UPCs with ALICE – Alexander Bylinkin – poster
- Studying the nucleus via angular correlations in UPCs with ALICE – Andrea Giovanni Riffero – poster
Backup
Systematic uncertainties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Uncertainty (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coherent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal extraction</td>
<td>(0.7, 2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z vertex selection</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_D$ – feed down from $\psi(2S)$</td>
<td>(0.1, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_I$ – feed down from incoherent</td>
<td>(1.1, 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_C$ – feed down from coherent</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminisoty</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veto inefficiency due to pile-up</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veto inefficiency due to dissociation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS-TPC tracking</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigger efficiency</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon flux</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_T^2$ migration unfolding</td>
<td>(0.6, 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_T^2$ -&gt;</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interference strength</td>
<td>(0.3, 1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different z-vertex selection

important only at low $p_T$