Probing A New Regime Of Ultra-dense Gluonic Matter Using High-energy Photons With The CMS Experiment

JiaZhao Lin For The CMS Collaboration

Rice University

Supported by

30th CONFERENCE ON ULTRA-RELATIVISTIC NUCLEUS-NUCLEUS COLLISIONS

SEPTEMBER 3-9, 2023 | HOUSTON, TEXAS

- DIS results show a seemingly "**indefinite rise**" in gluon PDF with linear evolution (gluon splitting)

- **What is the fate of gluons at extreme densities (small x)?**

H1 and ZEUS

Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75 :580 $\mu_f^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$

HERAPDF2.0 NLO
uncertainties:
experimental
model
parameterisation

HERAPDF2.0AG NLO

xu_v

$xg \ (\times 0.05)$ xd_v

$xS \ (\times 0.05)$

Ultra-dense Gluonic Matter

- QCD unitarity: Growth of gluon density cannot continue indefinitely!

- Gluons start to overlap and eventually recombine

No conclusive evidence yet!

Saturation?

Ultra-dense Gluonic Matter

- QCD unitarity: Growth of gluon density cannot continue indefinitely!

- Gluons start to overlap and eventually recombine

No conclusive evidence yet!

Saturation?

Using Virtual Photon As The Probe

- Photoproduced VM cross section at small x can test on the gluon density scaling behavior

- **VM Photoproduction** $\propto \left(xg(x, Q^2)\right)^2$ **at LO in pQCD**

Vector meson (J/ψ, ψ(2S), ...)

Two gluons (pomeron) exchanged at the leading order

- Nuclei "miss" each other (b > $R_A + R_B$)
- Boosted EM field of nuclei are source of photons
- Interactions via photon-photon or photon-nucleus

What we study

$\boldsymbol{\rho}$, J/ψ, Υ...

$\propto \left(x g(x, Q^2) \right)^2$

Photon-Nucleus

Excellent probe of gluon PDFs

Photon-Photon

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow J/\psi + p$$

- Gluons inside a proton:
  - Investigated via γp interactions by HERA and LHC
  - Consistent results between HERA and LHC: power law

- **No clear signs of gluon saturation inside proton**

$$\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$$

- Measurements from ALICE

- The data trend **challenge** all existing theoretical models
  - Shadowing models
  - Saturation models

- Natural questions:
  - Data in the gap region?
  - If data are correct, what's the underlying physics?

ALICE Pb+Pb $\rightarrow$ Pb+Pb+J/ψ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV

- ALICE coherent J/ψ
- Impulse approximation
- STARLIGHT
- EPS09 LO (GKZ)
- LTA (GKZ)
- IIM BG (GM)
- IPsat (LM)
- BGK-I (LS)
- GG-HS (CCK)
- b-BK (BCCM)

J/ψ Photoproduction In $\gamma + Pb$

- Symmetric: both can serve as the photon source and the target

- Each data point: low energy photon + high energy photon contribution

High energy photon

Low energy photon

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA \to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(y) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(y) + N_{\gamma/A}(-y) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(-y)$$

No easy access to x ~ 10^{-5} in Pb

ALICE Pb+Pb → Pb+Pb+J/ψ $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV

- ALICE coherent J/ψ
- Impulse approximation
- STARLIGHT
- EPS09 LO (GKZ)
- LTA (GKZ)
- IIM BG (GM)
- IPsat (LM)
- BGK-I (LS)
- GG-HS (CCK)
- b-BK (BCCM)

$x \approx 10^{-3}$

$x \approx 10^{-5}$ or 10^{-2}
(~95% high x)

$$x = \left(\frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}\right) e^{\mp y}$$

Clean!

- **UPCs produce few particles:**
  - **Require low energy measured in the forward calorimeters to suppress hadronic collisions.**
  - **Select events with exactly two reconstructed tracks identified as muons.**

Guzey et al., EPJC 74 (2014) 2942

Control the impact parameter of UPCs via forward emitted neutrons

$$P_i(b) \propto 1/b^2$$

Spencer Klein & PAS, Ann Rev Nucl Part Sci Vol. 70:323-354

- Additional photon exchange
- Soft photons (energy ~10 MeV)
- Independent of interested physical process
- Large cross section ~200 b (single EMD)

- Analogous to centrality:
  - $b_{XnXn} < b_{0nXn} < b_{0n0n}$

Entering A New Regime Of Small x Gluonic Matter

What is measured **Photon flux from theory** **What we want**

Dominant b ranges of different neutron classes:
- 0n0n: b > 40 fm
- 0nXn: b ~ 20 fm
- XnXn: b < 15 fm

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AAJ/\psi}^{0n0n}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}^{0n0n}(y)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(y) + N_{\gamma/A}^{0n0n}(-y)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(-y)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}^{0nXn}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}^{0nXn}(y)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(y) + N_{\gamma/A}^{0nXn}(-y)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(-y)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to A'A'J/\psi}^{XnXn}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}^{XnXn}(y)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(y) + N_{\gamma/A}^{XnXn}(-y)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(-y)$$

$\to$ Solve for $\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(y)$ and $\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(-y)$, and $x = \left(\frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}\right)e^{\mp y}$

Entering a new regime of small x $\sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ in nuclei!

arXiv:2303.16984

Signal yields are extracted by fitting the mass and transverse momentum spectra

AnAn: All possible neutron emissions

arXiv:2303.16984

ALICE, EPJC 81 (2021) 712
LHCb, arXiv:2206.08221

$$\frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi}^{coh}}{dy} = \frac{N(J/\psi)}{(1 + f_I + f_D) \cdot \epsilon(J/\psi) \cdot Acc(J/\psi) \cdot BR(J/\psi \to \mu\mu) \cdot L_{int} \cdot \Delta y}$$

CMS
NEW RESULT
QM 23

PbPb 1.52 nb^{-1} (5.02 TeV)

- LHC experiments complement each others over a wide range of rapidity region

- **CMS data covers a unique rapidity region and follows ALICE forward rapidity trend**

AnAn: All possible neutron emissions

arXiv:2303.16984

CMS NEW RESULT QM23

CMS   PbPb 1.52 nb⁻¹ (5.02 TeV)

Pb + Pb → Pb + Pb + J/ψ

0n0n

0nXn

XnXn

$d\sigma_{J/\psi} / dy$ (mb)

y

	0n0n	0nXn	XnXn	
Data	●	○	■	
LTA_SS	- - -	- - -	- - -	
LTA_WS	- · -	- · -	- · -	
STARLight	⋯	⋯	⋯	

- **First separation in different neutron classes**

- Leading twist approximation (LTA) cannot well describe data in different neutron classes

→A deeper look at J/Ψ production from γ+Pb at a given W without the "two-way ambiguity" may tell more.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(y) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(y) \quad \text{High x}$$
$$+ N_{\gamma/A}(-y) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'}(-y) \quad \text{Low x}$$

Result: $\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(W)$

arXiv:2303.16984

* **Approximated** data points from published data before 2023

- ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:
  - Impulse Approx. (IA): neglects all nuclear effects
  - Data is close to IA at low W.
  - Data is significantly lower than IA at W~125 GeV.
- Larger suppression towards higher W.

Result: $\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(W)$

arXiv:2303.16984

- ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:
  - Impulse Approx. (IA): neglects all nuclear effects
  - Data is close to IA at low W.
  - Data is significantly lower than IA at W~125 GeV.

- Larger suppression towards higher W.

Result: $\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(W)$

arXiv:2303.16984

- ALICE, LHCb vs. IA:
  - Impulse Approx. (IA): neglects all nuclear effects
  - Data is close to IA at low W.
  - Data is significantly lower than IA at W~125 GeV.
- Larger suppression towards higher W.

- **First measurement** with CMS
  - W < 40 GeV: rapidly increasing
  - 40 < W < 400 GeV: slowly raising -- **Physics process changed**

→**Models considering shadowing effects or gluon saturation effects all fail to describe data trend**

arXiv:2303.16984

$$R_g^A = \frac{g_A(x,Q^2)}{A \cdot g_p(x,Q^2)} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}^{exp}}{\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}^{IA}} \right)^{1/2}$$

- represents nuclear gluon suppression factor at LO.

Nuclear Suppression Factor

arXiv:2303.16984

- $R_g^A = \frac{g_A(x,Q^2)}{A \cdot g_p(x,Q^2)} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}^{exp}}{\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A}^{IA}}\right)^{1/2}$
  - represents nuclear gluon suppression factor at LO.

- $x \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$: Flat trend
- Quickly decrease towards lower x region

→**Beyond models' expectations**

arXiv:2303.16984

- σ stops rapid rising trend → splitting and recombination of gluons become equal
- **Direct evidence for gluon saturation!!?**

arXiv:2303.16984

- σ stops rapid rising trend → splitting and recombination of gluons become equal

- **Direct evidence for gluon saturation!!?**

- Nucleus target becomes totally absorptive to incoming photons

- **Black Disk Limit, internal structure invisible!!?**

In the strong absorption scenario, the interaction probability may reach the unitarity limit. The nucleus target becomes totally absorptive to incoming photons.

- Total cross section of dipole-nucleus interaction ➔ $2\pi R_A^2$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{PQCD}}^{\text{inel}} \leq \hat{\sigma}_{\text{black}} = \pi R_{\text{target}}^2$$

"Black Disk Limit (BDL)"

- opposite to the "color transparency"

energy increase

Early onset is possible before gluon saturation if the dipole size is large, for instance. This depends on the weak vs. strongly coupled regime and is not mutually exclusive with gluon saturation.

- New theoretical tools are needed in this regime!

arXiv:2303.16984

- Rapid grows reflect increased in gluon density
  - Amplitude of interaction is proportional to gluon density

- Slow growth may suggest the periphery of the nucleus has not become fully "black"

- For the first time, **directly disentangled coh.** $\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(W)$ in UPC AA
- CMS measured coh. $\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(W)$ to a **new unprecedentedly low-x gluon regime $(10^{-4} - 10^{-5})$**.
- Flattening of coh. $\sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(W)$ not predicted by state-of-the-art models
  - **Gluon saturation**? **black disk limit**? or other physic effects?

Submitted to PRL: arXiv:2303.16984

Thank You!

EXTRA

The Future

Last updated: January 2022

Legend:
- Shutdown/Technical stop
- Protons physics
- Ions
- Commissioning with beam
- Hardware commissioning/magnet training

Exciting opportunities ahead
- Higher luminosities.
- A variety of ion species.
- Upgrades enabled by new technologies!

- **Various VM species in γPb with neutron tagging**
- **System size scan with different ion species**

When approaching the BDL
- Coh. cross section scales with $A^{2/3}$
- Incoh. cross section strongly suppressed; internal substructure becomes invisible

arXiv:2305.19060

CMS

PbPb 1.52 nb^{-1} (5.02 TeV)

Custom made plot

Legend:
- CMS (red filled circle)
- ALICE (open circle)
- LHCb* ($-4.5 < y < -3.5$) (open triangle)
- Syst. exp. (gray box)
- Syst. γ flux (open box)

- LTA_SS
- LTA_WS
- CD_BGK
- CD_GBW
- CD_IIM
- bBK_GG
- bBK_A
- CGC IPsat
- GG-hs
- Impulse approx.

Y-axis: $\sigma(\gamma\,\mathrm{Pb} \rightarrow J/\psi\,\mathrm{Pb})$ (mb)

X-axis: $W_{\gamma N}^{Pb}$ (GeV)

- Higher density, easer to reach the saturation
  - Gluons is enhanced by a factor of A^1/3 in nucleus compared to what in free nucleon.
- Nuclei as target, more nucleons, can lead to nuclear shadowing effects
- Photon flux $\propto Z^2$
- Possibility of multiphoton exchange: Neutron tagging

Problem of Mixing Contributions

A two-way ambiguity! →

High energy photon

Low energy photon

Measured J/ψ at y

Two unknowns but **one** equation!

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA \to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(y) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(y) + N_{\gamma/A}(-y) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to J/\psi A'}(-y)$$

What we measured

Photon flux from theory

What we want: VM cross section of one single **γ**+Pb at each y

- A given y ➔ Fixes ω, x, W

- $\omega = \frac{M_{VM}}{2} e^{\pm y}$
  - y: Rapidity of the VM
  - ω: Photon energy
  - M_{VM}: Mass of the VM
- $x = \left(\frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{NN}}}\right) e^{\mp y}$
- $W^2 = M_{VM}\sqrt{s_{NN}} \cdot e^{\pm y}$
  - W: Centre-of-mass energy of the photon–target system

We study J/ψ

Differential Cross Section Calculation

$$\frac{d\sigma_{J/\psi}^{coh}}{dy} = \frac{N(J/\psi)}{(1+f_I+f_D)\cdot\epsilon(J/\psi)\cdot Acc(J/\psi)\cdot BR(J/\psi\to\mu\mu)\cdot L_{int}\cdot\Delta y}$$

Coherent J/ψ yields
- Raw yields within the mass window

Calculated from **mass fit** within pt < 0.2 GeV

Incoherent fraction
- $f_I = \frac{N(InCoh\ J/\psi)}{N(Coh\ J/\psi)}$

Calculated from **pt fit**

Feed down ratio
- $f_D = \frac{N(feed-down\ J/\psi)}{N(primary\ J/\psi)}$

Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 122001 (2021)

Neutron Classes → Different impact parameter b
- XnXn→ Smaller b
- 0n0n → Larger b

EM Diss. Correction

- Pileup in EM dissociation (EMD): Multiple EMD within the same bunch crossing

- Leads to a <u>decrease</u> in 0n0n Events <u>increase</u> in 0nXn and XnXn events

We are having a pileup!!!

ZDC

XnXn

0n0n

ZDC

- The correction can be obtained by inverting migration matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} N^{00} \\ N^{0X} \\ N^{X0} \\ N^{XX} \end{pmatrix}^{Obs} = \begin{pmatrix} P^{00}_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ P^{0X}_{00} & P^{0X}_{0X} & 0 & 0 \\ P^{X0}_{00} & 0 & P^{X0}_{X0} & 0 \\ P^{XX}_{00} & P^{XX}_{0X} & P^{XX}_{X0} & P^{XX}_{XX} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N_{00} \\ N_{0X} \\ N_{X0} \\ N_{XX} \end{pmatrix}^{True}$$

- The matrix element can be obtained from ZB fraction

- $P^{00}_{00} = f_{00}$
- $P^{0X}_{00} = f_{0X}, P^{0X}_{0X} = f_{00} + f_{0X}$
- $P^{X0}_{00} = f_{X0}, P^{X0}_{X0} = f_{00} + f_{X0}$
- $P^{XX}_{00} = f_{XX}, P^{XX}_{0X} = f_{X0} + f_{XX}, P^{XX}_{X0} = f_{0X} + f_{XX}, P^{XX}_{XX} = f_{00} + f_{0X} + f_{X0} + f_{XX} = 1$

arXiv:2111.11383

- The flux of a point-like source with additional cut-off at RA is widely used in phenomenological calculations for UPC processes, such as STARlight.

- This approach is well motivated in photon-nucleus interactions since the flux at impact parameters smaller than the nuclear radius is effectively suppressed by the requirement of no strong interactions between nuclei.


(Color online) Photon fluxes coming from a nucleus in the point-like source approximation and the realistic description as functions of impact parameter b calculated at different photon energies: 100 MeV (a), 100 GeV (b)

Saturation vs Shadowing

- Both relate to the same concept: density of gluons in nPDF at small-x is reduced wrt the simple addition of the gluon PDF

- Saturation: Dynamical description via gluon self-interactions that tame the growth of gluon → CGC

- Nuclear shadowing: Gribov-Glauber model of multiple scatterings → LTA

L. Frankfrut,, V. Guzey, M. Strikman (Physics Reports 512 (2012) 255-393)

Gluon saturation   **Nuclear shadowing**

Theory Description

- Impulse approximation (IA): Photoproduction data from protons, does not include nuclear effects except coherence
- STARlight: Photoproduction data from protons + Vector Meson Dominance model, includes multiple scattering but no gluon shadowing
- EPS09 LO: parametrization of nuclear shadowing data
- LTA: Leading Twist Approximation of nuclear shadowing
- IIM BG, IPsat, BGK-I: Color dipole approach coupled to the Color Glass Condensate formalism with different assumptions on the dipole-proton scattering amplitude
- GG-HS: Color dipole model with hot spots nucleon structure
- b-BK: Color dipole approach coupled with impact-parameter dependent Balitsky-Kovchegov equation
- JMRT NLO: DGLAP formalism with main NLO contributions included
- CCT: Saturation in an energy dependent hot spot model
- CGC: Color dipole model
- NLO BFKL: BFKL evolution of HERA values