LOOKING TO FUTURE HIGGS MEASUREMENTS AT THE LHC

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)



MINISTERIO DE CIENCIA E INNOVACIÓN



Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas



CERN ACADEMIC TRAINING 10TH JUNE 2022



HIGGS MEASUREMENTS AT THE LHC

- Wednesday's lecture by John Ellis: Historical perspective and theoretical implications
- Thursday's lecture by Marumi Kado: CMS, ATLAS, the Higgs discovery and Run2
- Today: the future!

Not possible to cover all future prospects in detail: general picture

I won't have time to discuss detector upgrades!

Outline of today's lecture:

- Brief introduction
- Measuring Cross sections and Branching ratios
- Higgs properties
- Rare Decays
- Higgs Couplings
- HH
- BSM Higgs
- And beyond?

10th June 2022



FROM DISCOVERY TO PRECISION

ONLY? ALREADY? 10 YEARS AGO...



María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

As Marumi explained yesterday, we have really come a very long way since the discovery plots







-- Data

- Measurements of Mass (to 0.11%!), Width, CP
- The main production modes have been explored in detail, with precise measurements of the signal strength/cross section (down to 10% precision)
- The coupling to the SM particles is well established for the main decay modes, and already at evidence level for several of the statistically dominated ones
- Extensive list of searches for BSM properties, so far finding good agreement with the SM, but with ample phase-space to probe in the future



STILL, WE HAVE ONLY ACCESSED A 5% OF THE FULL LHC **DATASET. WHAT IS AHEAD?**







Shutdown/Technical stop Protons physics Ions Commissioning with beam Hardware commissioning/magnet training

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

By the end of Run3, double the current dataset! Run4+Run5 = HL-LHC -> 20x



HIGH LUMI & HIGH PILEUP



- High statistics does not come for free: extremely challenging conditions
 - High luminosity → 200 soft pp interactions per crossing
 - Detection
 dose
- Extensive upgrade program by ATLAS and CMS underway, with the goal of at least maintaining the current performance despite the hard conditions
 - Effective pileup mitigation & extended capabilities with new algorithms
 - Increased detector acceptance
 - Increased spatial granularity to resolve signals from individual particles
 - Precise timing measurements to provide an additional dimension for discrimination

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

• Detector elements and electronics are exposed to high radiation



REMEMBER YESTERDAY'S LECTURE!

LHC Run 2 LS1 LS2 **EYETS 13 TeV** cryolimit 8 TeV 7 TeV interaction Civil Eng. P1-P5 regions R2E project ATLAS - CMS upgrade phase 1 experiment beam pipes 2 x nominal Lum ALICE - LHCb nominal Lumi upgrade **Phase 2** (Major ATLAS and CMS upgrades with deployment during LS3) ATLAS CMS - Full Si tracker up to 4 (with HW track trigger). - Calorimeter electronics upgrade Scintillator/Steel 9 Int. Lengths. - Timing detector with partial eta coverage (2.4 -4) LGAD silicon: 30 ps resolution. - Muon coverage (also possibly at LS4)



EXPLORING THE FUTURE DATA OF THE LHC

- Do we really understand how the Higgs boson is produced? And how it decays?
- What is the nature of the Higgs? (Properties: Mass, Width, Spin)
- How does it couple to Standard Model particles?
 - Does it couple to the second generation?
 - Does it couple to itself?
- Does it decay unusually? (BSM, eg: Dark Matter?)
- Is the Higgs alone?
- Is it really an elementary particle?
- Where does the Higgs mechanism come from?

The data of the Run3 of the LHC and of the HL-LHC era will be fundamental in characterising the Higgs boson



MEASURING CROSS SECTIONS AND BRANCHING RATIOS



How well will we know cross sections (and branching ratios) by the end of the LHC?



INGREDIENTS OF A HL-LHC PROJECTION







María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

 Not just a matter of replicating the current analysis with HL-LHC luminosity!:

- •Uncertainties: will they improve (larger datasets for studies, better detectors) or worsen (higher pileup)?
- •New techniques: can we learn to do better?
- How will the upgraded detectors improve the results?
- •Extrapolations of Run2 vs Delphes/Full Phase2 Sim?
- •13 TeV → 14 TeV (ggF: 48.6 pb → 54.7 pb)







INGREDIENTS OF A HL-LHC PROJECTION







A CHALLENGE FOR THE FUTURE: THEORY UNCERTAINTIES

- Theoretical uncertainties (on signal and backgrounds) already very important in Higgs measurements. As statistics increase and our handle on experimental uncertainties gets better, they will become dominant
- •The data of the LHC will feed into our understanding of some of these uncertainties: eg, PDFs
- •HL-LHC projections usually assumes improvement upon current (YR4): flat factor of 1/2
 - •Experimental uncertainties are also assumed to improve in the projections (taking into account the upgraded detectors future performance)
- In practice, the improvements needed depend heavily on the process under study and the phase space (eg, high pt)



ANALYSIS EVOLVE!



We have many years ahead to incorporate bright new ideas innovation cannot be projected. Larger datasets come with more room to try new techniques and ideas.





13 TeV, Partial Run II











CROSS SECTIONS AND BRANCHING RATIOS @ HL-LHC

ATLAS+CMS combination

Extrapolating the current analyses, and combining CMS and ATLAS, precisions of few percent can be reached for all production modes, and for all branching ratios (except for rare decays!)

Large impact of theory uncertainties (except for rare modes)



OVERALL AGREEMENT IN RATE IS ONLY THE BEGINNING



OVERALL AGREEMENT IN RATE IS ONLY THE BEGINNING







With the Run2 data we are already exploring Higgs production in depth. We need more statistics! Run3 and HL-LHC will be a game changer for many of these measurements

DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS @ HL-LHC

Measurement limited by systematic uncertainties except at very high p_T.

Expected precision of ~ 10% for p_T(H) > 350 GeV





10th June 2022

SIMPLIFIED TEMPLATE CROSS SECTIONS

ATLAS Preliminary $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$, $|y_{\mu}| < 2.5$ Projection from Run 2 data HL-LHC, $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$, 3000 fb⁻¹ -Total -Stat. Theo. ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-003 Tot. (Stat., Syst.) **1.00** +0.25 (+0.17 +0.18) -0.23 (-0.17 -0.15) Ŧ ----VBF + V(→ qq)H [60, 120] [350, ∞[+0.08 --0.07 $\begin{pmatrix} +0.03 & +0.07 \\ -0.03 & -0.07 \end{pmatrix}$ 1.00 |∧ | **1.00** $^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.10 & +0.10 \\ -0.10 & -0.10 \end{pmatrix}$ **N 1.00** $^{+0.57}_{-0.51}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.22 & +0.53 \\ -0.22 & -0.46 \end{pmatrix}$ [350, ∞[[0, 200] **∧** [200, 300] [300, ∞[| ≥ 0 ≥ 0 **1.00** $^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.08 & +0.08 \\ -0.08 & -0.07 \end{pmatrix}$ $\rightarrow Z (\rightarrow qq) H$ **1.00** $^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.05 & +0.09 \\ -0.05 & -0.08 \end{pmatrix}$ gluon fusion + gg – [0, 350] [120, 200] [2 1 ≥2 **1.00** $^{+0.19}_{-0.19}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.09 & +0.17 \\ -0.09 & -0.16 \end{pmatrix}$ **1.00** $^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.07 & +0.15 \\ -0.07 & -0.14 \end{pmatrix}$ **1.00** $^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$ $\begin{pmatrix} +0.10 & +0.17 \\ -0.10 & -0.17 \end{pmatrix}$ [0, 350]^{\$} [60, 120] -N m_{ji} [GeV] p_T(H) [GeV] N(jets) 3 5 2 4 $(\sigma \times B)^{exp} / (\sigma \times B)^{SM}$

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

Already with individual channels (Htautau, Hbbb): **ATLAS** Preliminary 10% in high pt bins , <10% in ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-039 Projection from Run 2 data high mjj VBF VH, $H \rightarrow b\overline{b} \sqrt{s}=14 \text{ TeV}$, 3000 fb⁻¹ • Exp. — Tot. unc. — Stat. unc. Theo. unc. Stat., Syst.) Tot. WH, 150 < p_{_{T}}^{W,t} < 250 GeV $(\begin{array}{ccc} +0.07 & +0.18 \\ -0.07 & -0.18 \end{array})$ +0.20 -0.19 1.00 / +0.06 -0.06 +0.10) WH, $p_{\tau}^{W,t} > 250 \text{ GeV}$ +0.12 1.00 -0.11 +0.24 -0.23 (+0.10 -0.10, +0.22 ZH, 75 < $p_{\tau}^{Z,t}$ < 150 GeV 1.00 ZH, 150 < p_{\tau}^{Z,t} < 250 GeV +0.11 -0.10 (+0.05 -0.05, +0.09 1.00 +0.11 +0.09) +0.06 ZH, $p_{\tau}^{Z,t}$ > 250 GeV 1.00 -0.06' -0.10 0.8 1.2 1.8 .6 $\sigma \times B$ normalised to SM **NEW FOR SNOWMASS!**



New physics modifies kinematics: we can obtain constraints on new physics from the precise measurement of differential distributions



HIGGS PROPERTIES

Mass?

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

Total Width? $\Gamma \propto lifetime^{-1}$

Spin?

10th June 2022

MassFree in the SM, now known to 0.1% $(H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l \text{ and } H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$



CMS: 125.38 ± 0.14 *GeV* (0.11%) *ATLAS*: 124.92 ± 0.19(*stat*)^{+0.09}_{-0.06}(*syst*) *GeV* (0.17%)

MassFree in the SM, now known to 0.1% $(H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l \text{ and } H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$

Very small in SM! (4 MeV) Direct measurement: <1.1 GeV (95%CL) Offshell/onshell $H \rightarrow ZZ - > 4l$





$CMS : 125.38 \pm 0.14 \ GeV \ (0.11\%)$ ATLAS : 124.92 \pm 0.19(stat)^{+0.09}_{-0.06}(syst) \ GeV \ (0.17\%)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

Total Width

 $[\]Gamma_{\rm H} = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} \text{ MeV}$

Mass Free in the SM, now known to 0.1% $(H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l \text{ and } H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$

Very small in SM! (4 MeV) **Direct measurement: <1.1 GeV (95%CL)** Offshell/onshell $H \rightarrow ZZ - > 4l$





$CMS: 125.38 \pm 0.14 \ GeV (0.11\%)$ $ATLAS: 124.92 \pm 0.19(stat)^{+0.09}_{-0.06}(syst) \ GeV(0.17\%)$

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

Total Width

Spin: O+ (SM-like)

 $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 3.2^{+2.4}_{-1.7} \text{ MeV}$





source of Charge-Parity violation?

MASS MEASUREMENT @ HL-LHC

- Relies on $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$: narrow peaks, good mass resolution
- The precision with which we can measure m_H is directly linked to precision with which we can measure photons and leptons (energy scale and resolution)



• Precision of few tens of MeV reachable. The ultimate HL-LHC will combine $H \rightarrow ZZ - > 4l$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and with ATLAS. Can we get to 10-20 MeV?



	Mass uncertainty (MeV)					Width upper limit at 95 % C
H→∠∠ ⁻	Combined	4μ	4e	$2e2\mu$	2µ2e	Combined
t. uncertainty	22	28	83	51	59	94
st. uncertainty	20	15	189	94	95	150
Total	30	32	206	107	112	177





HIGGS WIDTH @ HL-LHC



- Remember: Very small in SM! (4 MeV)
- Exploit $H \rightarrow ZZ$ measurements:
 - Direct width: 177 MeV @ 95% CL (CMS, Snowmass) • 4L Offshell: 25% precision at 68% CL (20% assuming CMS+ATLAS: +-0.8 MeV)
- From couplings: Γ_H if $k_V \le 1 -> 5\%$ precision at 95% CL
- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ interference study: <40-50 Γ_{SM} (old, ATLAS), reduction of the on-shell rate by 2% (Campbell, Carena, Harnik, Liu)
- Completely different picture in lepton colliders! (~1-2%)



CPINTHEHIGGS SECTOR

- Does the Higgs sector have a new source of Charge-**Parity violation?**
- Constraints based on HVV, ttH, Hττ
- For example, using $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ decays: scan the mixing angle $\alpha^{H\tau\tau}$, where 0 (90)° corresponds to a pure scalar (pseudoscalar) coupling
 - Run2: $\alpha^{H\tau\tau} = -1\pm 19^{\circ} (0\pm 21^{\circ} exp)$ at 68%CL
 - Expect $\Delta \alpha^{HTT} = 5^{\circ}$ with 3000 fb⁻¹





RARE DECAYS

COUPLING TO THE SECOND GENERATION: $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$



Do all SM families get their mass from the same Higgs field? **Highlight of 2020:** evidence for the coupling to the second generation!

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)



CMS: $\mu = 1.19^{+0.40}_{-0.39}$ (stat)^{+0.15}_{-0.14} (syst) $\rightarrow 3.0(2.5)\sigma$

ATLAS: $\mu = 1.2 \pm 0.6 \rightarrow 2.0(1.7)\sigma$



- Statistically limited: Run3 and HL-LHC game changers
- Upgrades also very important: CMS example, tracker upgrade brings on resolution improvements
- **Observation by the end of Run3**



HOW CHARMING IS THE HIGGS?

What about the coupling to second gen quarks?

- Charm quark: only up-type quark for which we could possibly measure the branching ratio (~3%)
 - Do up-type quarks get their mass from the same Higgs fields as down-type quarks and charged leptons?
- Difficult measurement (not only statistics, we need to be able to identify charm jets!)



HOW CHARMING IS THE HIGGS?

What about the coupling to second gen quarks?

- Charm quark: only up-type quark for which we could possibly measure the branching ratio (~3%)
 - Do up-type quarks get their mass from the same Higgs fields as down-type quarks and charged leptons?
- Difficult measurement (not only statistics, we need to be able to identify charm jets!)





CAN WE MEASURE THE CHARM COUPLING AT HL-LHC?

- The projections of the latests Run2 results bring a large jump in sensitivity with respect to the previous prospects
- Future innovations in jet reconstruction, c-identification and analysis can have a large impact here !



María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

ATLAS 3000 fb⁻¹: $\mu < 6.4$

- CMS 3000 fb⁻¹: µ < 1.6
- LHCb 300 fb⁻¹: µ < O(10)



OTHER RARE DECAYS

- The large datasets collected in Run3 and HL-LHC will be fundamental to search for rarer decays of the Higgs and to probe the lighter yukawa couplings
- •H->Zγ already discussed by Marumi yesterday. A very good example of how analyses outpace projections!
- Other examples:
 - H->J/ ψ γ (probe c coupling)
 - $H \rightarrow \Phi \gamma / \rho \gamma$ (probe light-quark couplings)
 - H-> γ Q, ZQ, or QQ (could arrive at values of several 10⁻⁵, close to the SM or reducing the range for several BSM scenarios)
- Light Yukawa: Indirect constraints (eg from differential distributions, off-shell couplings, or from the global coupling fits) complement the direct searches
- What about H->ee? Very small Br~5x10⁻⁹ in the SM: at the LHC we can look for deviations in the yukawa. Run2 limits ~3x10-4 at 95 % CL (CMS, similar in ATLAS) and statistically limited



10th June 2022

HIGGS COUPLINGS

HOW WELL DO WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?

• In the Kappa Framework (simple parametrisation widely used by LHC experiments), already known to 6-15% (still with partial Run2 statistics)

$$\sigma(i \to H \to f) = \frac{\sigma_i(\kappa_j) \cdot \Gamma_f(\kappa_j)}{\Gamma_H(\kappa_j)}$$

$$\sigma_i = \kappa_i^2(\vec{\kappa}) \cdot \sigma_i^{SM} \qquad \Gamma^f = \kappa_f^2(\vec{\kappa}) \cdot \Gamma^{f,SM}$$

$$W,Z \qquad W,Z \qquad W,Z$$







HOW WELL WILL WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?

Precision of 2-4% can be reached for the nonstatistically dominated modes



 $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$, 3000 fb⁻¹ per experiment

ATLAS and CMS

HL-LHC Projection

Uncertainty [%]				
	Tot	Stat	Ехр	Th
	1.8	0.8	1.0	1.3
	1.7	0.8	0.7	1.3
	1.5	0.7	0.6	1.2
	2.5	0.9	0.8	2.1
	3.4	0.9	1.1	3.1
	3.7	1.3	1.3	3.2
	1.9	0.9	0.8	1.5
	4.3	3.8	1.0	1.7
	9.8	7.2	1.7	6.4
0	.1	0.	12	0.14
ted uncertainty				

HOW WELL WILL WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?

Precision of 2-4% can be reached for the nonstatistically dominated modes

> If uncertainties are a bottleneck: exploit ratios.

Improved precision through cancelation of uncertainties



HOW WELL SHOULD WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?



María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

SMALL CORRECTIONS EXPECTED IN MANY BSM MODELS

	δκ _b	δκγ		
	<6%	<6%		
	~10%	~1%		
	~1.6%	~4%		
	~-(3-9)%	~-9%		
	~-2%	~1%		
pinp	oint specific BSM	physics		
ouplings $\sim rac{v^2}{M^2} \sim \mathcal{O}(6\%)$ for M=1 TeV				
ivity v	where we expect	deviations	Sally Daws	

•Higgs results tells us only part of the story: we need to think 50 globally about all LHC measurements 10 \/√ C_i [TeV] Slow move to EFT approaches that eventually will involve all precision data available 0.5 • Very complicated to do well: long experimental process, 0.1 through Run3 to the HL-LHC



Higgs couplings + DY + Diboson observables



10th June 2022

CHASING THE SELF-COUPLING

THE HIGGS SELF-COUPLING



 $\mathcal{L} = |D_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - \mu^2 \Phi^2 - \lambda \Phi^2$ For $\mu^2 < 0$, minimum $v = \sqrt{2}$

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

 \rightarrow Does the Higgs couple to itself?

 \rightarrow Access the shape of the Higgs potential!





LOOKING FOR PAIRS OF HIGGS BOSONS

- Main avenue at LHC to probe the self-coupling: HH production. Very small cross section!
 - Deviations in the Self-coupling: $\kappa_{\lambda} = \lambda_{HHH} / \lambda_{HHH}^{SM}$



Current reach (at 95% CL):

$$\frac{\sigma_{HH}}{\sigma_{HH}^{SM}} \lesssim 3 \text{ and } -1 \lesssim \kappa_{\lambda} \lesssim 6$$

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

≿ bb 10⁻¹ ww BR(H- $BR(HH \rightarrow XXYY)$ 10⁻² gg 10^{-3} ττ 10-4 CC ΖZ 10⁻⁵ γγ 10^{-6} Zγ 10^{-7} μμ 10⁻⁸ gg WW bb γγ ZZ СС μμ Zγ ττ $BR(H \rightarrow XX)$

- Two Higgses: <u>many</u> possible decays
- Golden channels: bbyy, bbtt, bbbb



- Small rate, but clean: one of the golden channels



10th June 2022

- Relies on excellent tau identification



	ggF+VBF: upper limit at 95% CL on σ/σ _{SM}
HH→bbγγ	4.2 (5.7) 7.7 (5.2)
HH→bbττ	4.7 (3.9) 3.3 (5.2)
HH→bbbb	3.9 (7.8) 9.9 (5.1) (boosted)
HH→bbZZ	30 (37)
HH→WWWW, HH→ττττ, HH→WWττ	21.8 (19.6)
Partial combination	3.1 (3.1)



More channels (eg, bbWW) and final Run2 combination coming soon!





 Cross Section:very small in the SM, experimental limits still two orders of magnitude over

 $\sigma_{VBF}^{\rm HH} = 1.726^{+0.03\%}_{-0.04\%}(\text{scale}) \pm 2.1\%(\text{PDF}+\alpha_S) \text{ fb}$

• Example CMS bbtt : upper limit at 95% of 124(154)xSM

Constraints on K_{2V}







Combining CMS and ATLAS data, in 2018 we projected a significance of 4σ and a 50% uncertainty on κ_{λ} by the end of HL-LHC . This is likely to be outperformed, and the HL-LHC will reach 5σ



UPDATES TO THE HH PROJECTIONS



Updates to the projections done in the context of Snowmass: improvements per channel (ATLAS and CMS both improved by ~20-30%) and new channels incorporated (WWγγ ,ττγγ)

Full CMS+ATLAS combination not yet redone: on track for the 5 sigmas

First ttHH projection (Third largest cross section among the HH production models, interplay between ttH and ttHH)





		Significa	Combined sign	
ty scenario	$b \overline{b} \gamma \gamma$	$b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$	Combination	strength precision
ınc.	2.3	4.0	4.6	-23/+23
	2.2	2.8	3.2	-31/+34
al unc. halved	1.1	1.7	2.0	-49/+51
t. unc.	1.1	1.5	1.7	-57/+68





INDIRECT CONSTRAINTS



HH searches dominate the self-coupling hunt, but Single Higgs measurements can also yield interesting constraints on the self coupling, and be combined with HH production.

Run2 examples: Single Higgs constraints from STXS, combined analysis of H and HH in Hyy modes

HL-LHC projection example: constraint from ttH H $\gamma\gamma$







IS THE HIGGS THE PORTAL TO NEW PHYSICS?

MOVING BEYOND THE SM

HIGGS

Dark Matter Higgs portal Higgs DM mediator

Inflation Higgs inflation Inflaton vs Higgs

Phase transitions Baryogenesis gravitational waves UV sensitivity Naturalness heavy new physics Relaxation

Fate of the Universe Stability Verónica Sanz

MOVING BEYOND THE SM

HIGGS

Dark Matter Higgs portal Higgs DM mediator

Inflation Higgs inflation Inflaton vs Higgs

Phase transitions Baryogenesis gravitational waves

We have found and measured a Higgs boson with m_H =125 GeV But do we really understand what it is, what it means, where it comes from?

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

UV sensitivity Naturalness heavy new physics Relaxation

Fate of the Universe Stability Verónica Sanz

CAN THE HIGGS BOSON DECAY "UNUSUALLY"?

- Why should we assume the Higgs boson follow the SM rules strictly?
- We can also **search for Higgs → BSM . eg: Dark Matter, LFV,light (pseudo)-scalars...**



DOES THE HIGGS BOSON COUPLE TO DARK MATTER?



(Higgs Portal)



María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

•Higgs \rightarrow BSM particles?

 Direct searches for Higgs decays to undetectable particles ('invisible decays') → search for Dark Matter!

> Current Sensitivity:10% level (95%CL)

CMS: $Br(H_{inv}) < 0.18 (0.10)$ ATLAS: $Br(H_{inv}) < 0.145 (0.103)$





HIGGS INVISIBLE @ HL-LHC

Remember: Run2 sensitivity ~10% @ 95%CL (dominated by the VBF channel)

The challenge here is the high PU : the upgraded detectors will be instrumental

A Delphes analysis for the VBF analysis show that with optimisation, changing the MET thresholds, we can maintain the sensitivity

Adding H->Inv to the global coupling fit: limit better than 2% @ 95 %CL (ATLAS+CMS)

VH: ATLAS, 2013: <8% @ 95%CL VBF: CMS, 2018: <3.8% @ 95%CL





OTHER EXOTIC HIGGS DECAYS

Exotic Higgs decays beyond invisible, LFV, new (pseudo)scalars, LLP, dark photons, ALPs,...

projections

Large potential gain from detector upgrades: track triggers, timing, long lived decays



- Huge phase space to probe, analysis typically limited by statistics... however very few available

EXTENDED HIGGS SECTORS



María Cepeda (CIEMAT)

Is the Higgs alone?

Many different topologies and models to explore, from 2HDM onwards

Model independent searches (for any sign of a new Higgs-like particle) combined with model dependent interpretations

Very wide landscape of searches beyond the $\tau\tau$ benchmark, large potential gain from the very large HL dataset : the large statistics will allow us too explore the high mass range in depth



IS THE HIGGS BOSON COMPOSITE?



BEYOND THE LHC

HIGGS AT THE END OF THE HL-LHC

From C. Vernieri - EF Workshop - Brown U. - March 2022

THE FUTURE IS BRIGHT

Examples taken from the summary talks at the Snowmass EF workshop: https://indico.fnal.gov/event/52465/ and Laura Reina's talk at SM@LHC

10th June 2022

CONCLUS ONS

•In 2012 we knew we had found a new particle that looked like the Higgs boson, but we did not yet know what it was

- •10 years later, we have measured its properties, observed it couple to bosons and fermions, and studied of its kinematics with increasing precision. It is now one of our best tools to understand the standard model and go beyond. We have a lot of work ahead.
- •It is a Higgs Boson, but is it really the one and only SM Higgs boson? Do we understand what it is, what it implies for the universe? Measuring precisely its properties is one of the keys to the unknown BSM realm, and one of the main goals of experimental particle physics today
- •We have only explored a very small fraction of the full LHC dataset, we have a lot of work and data ahead to understand the particle properly

CMS and ATLAS Higgs results:

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsHIG https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HiggsPublicResults https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HDBSPublicResults European Strategy Yellow Report 2018: <u>CERN-LPCC-2018-04</u> **Snowmass 21 White Paper**

Everything about Higgs is Puzzling

$\mathcal{L} = yH\psi\overline{\psi} + \mu^2|H|^2 - \lambda|H|^4 - V_0 + \dots$

- Pattern of Yukawa couplings y: - Flavour problem
- Magnitude of mass term μ :
 - Naturalness/hierarchy problem
- Magnitude of quartic coupling λ :
 - **Stability of electroweak vacuum**
- Cosmological constant term V_0 :
 - Dark energy

1

Higher-dimensional interactions?

 Many questions ahead: ATLAS and CMS will continue puzzle-solving during Run3 and the HL-LHC

•The next chapter of "Higgstory" awaits us

