**CERN ACADEMIC TRAINING 10TH JUNE 2022**



**María Cepeda (CIEMAT)**



**MINISTERIO DE CIENCIA** E INNOVACIÓN



Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas



# **LOOKING TO FUTURE HIGGS MEASUREMENTS AT THE LHC**

- Wednesday's lecture by John Ellis: Historical perspective and theoretical implications
- Thursday's lecture by Marumi Kado: CMS, ATLAS, the Higgs discovery and Run2
- Today: the future!

# **HIGGS MEASUREMENTS AT THE LHC**



Outline of today's lecture:

- Brief introduction
- Measuring Cross sections and Branching ratios
- Higgs properties
- Rare Decays
- Higgs Couplings
- HH
- BSM Higgs
- And beyond?

Not possible to cover all future prospects in detail: general picture

I won't have time to discuss detector upgrades!

# **FROM DISCOVERY TO PRECISION**

# **ONLY? ALREADY? 10 YEARS AGO…**





As Marumi explained yesterday, we have really come a very long way since the discovery plots







- Measurements of Mass (to 0.11%!), Width, CP
- The main production modes have been explored in detail, with precise measurements of the signal strength/cross section (down to 10% precision)
- The coupling to the SM particles is well established for the main decay modes, and already at evidence level for several of the statistically dominated ones
- Extensive list of searches for BSM properties, so far finding good agreement with the SM, but with ample phase-space to probe in the future

By the end of Run3, double the current dataset!  $Run4+Run5 = HL-LHC \rightarrow 20x$ 



### **STILL, WE HAVE ONLY ACCESSED A 5% OF THE FULL LHC DATASET. WHAT IS AHEAD?**







Shutdown/Technical stop Protons physics Ions Commissioning with beam Hardware commissioning/magnet training

## **HIGH LUMI & HIGH PILEUP**





• Detector elements and electronics are exposed to high radiation

- **• High statistics does not come for free: extremely challenging conditions** 
	- High luminosity → 200 soft pp interactions per crossing
	- dose
- Extensive **upgrade** program by ATLAS and CMS underway, with the goal of at least maintaining the current performance despite the hard conditions
	- <sup>E</sup>ffective pileup mitigation & extended capabilities with new algorithms
	- Increased detector acceptance
	- Increased spatial granularity to resolve signals from individual particles
	- Precise timing measurements to provide an additional dimension for discrimination

## **REMEMBER YESTERDAY'S LECTURE!**



- Do we really understand how the Higgs boson is produced? And how it decays?
- What is the nature of the Higgs? (Properties: Mass, Width, Spin)
- How does it couple to Standard Model particles?
	- Does it couple to the second generation?
	- Does it couple to itself?
- Does it decay unusually? (BSM, eg: Dark Matter?)
- Is the Higgs alone?
- Is it really an elementary particle?
- Where does the Higgs mechanism come from?

## **EXPLORING THE FUTURE DATA OF THE LHC**



### The data of the Run3 of the LHC and of the HL-LHC era will be fundamental in characterising the Higgs boson

# **MEASURING CROSS SECTIONS AND BRANCHING RATIOS**





María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 12

How well will we know cross sections (and branching ratios) by the end of the LHC?

### **INGREDIENTS OF A HL-LHC PROJECTION**













- •Uncertainties: will they improve (larger datasets for studies, better detectors) or worsen (higher pileup)?
- •New techniques: can we learn to do better?
- •How will the upgraded detectors improve the results?
- •Extrapolations of Run2 vs Delphes/Full Phase2 Sim?
- $•13 TeV \rightarrow 14 TeV (ggf: 48.6 pb \rightarrow 54.7 pb)$

•Not just a matter of replicating the current analysis with HL-LHC luminosity!:

### **INGREDIENTS OF A HL-LHC PROJECTION**







#### María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 13



- •Theoretical uncertainties (on signal and backgrounds) already very important in Higgs measurements. As statistics increase and our handle on experimental uncertainties gets better, they will become dominant
- •The data of the LHC will feed into our understanding of some of these uncertainties: eg, PDFs
- •HL-LHC projections usually assumes improvement upon current (YR4): flat factor of 1/2
	- •Experimental uncertainties are also assumed to improve in the projections (taking into account the upgraded detectors future performance)
- •In practice, the improvements needed depend heavily on the process under study and the phase space (eg, high pt)

### **A CHALLENGE FOR THE FUTURE: THEORY UNCERTAINTIES**







#### $\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \text{ Data} \\
\hline\n\downarrow \text{t} \\
\hline\n\downarrow \$  $\overline{W}$  Total unc.

# **ANALYSIS EVOLVE!**









 $\overline{\Box}$  Non-tt

 $\overline{H}$  ttH (norm)

**ATLAS** 

Post-Fit

 $SR^5_3$ 

 $800<sub>1</sub>$ 

400

200

1000  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV, 36.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Single Lepton



We have many years ahead to incorporate bright new ideas innovation cannot be projected. Larger datasets come with more room to try new techniques and ideas.

## **CROSS SECTIONS AND BRANCHING RATIOS @ HL-LHC**



analyses, and combining CMS and ATLAS, precisions of few percent can be reached for all production modes, and for all branching ratios (except for rare decays!)

Large impact of theory uncertainties (except for rare modes)

#### ATLAS+CMS combination

### **OVERALL AGREEMENT IN RATE IS ONLY THE BEGINNING**





### **OVERALL AGREEMENT IN RATE IS ONLY THE BEGINNING**



### **FROM SIGNAL STRENGTHS TO DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS**



With the Run2 data we are already exploring Higgs production in depth. We need more statistics! Run3 and HL-LHC will be a game changer for many of these measurements



Measurement limited by systematic uncertainties except at very high p<sub>T</sub>.

## **DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS @ HL-LHC**

Expected precision of  $\sim$  10% for p $_T(H) >$ 350 GeV





## **SIMPLIFIED TEMPLATE CROSS SECTIONS**



Already with individual channels (Htautau, Hbbb): **ATLAS** Preliminary  $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ ,  $|y_{\mu}| < 2.5$ **ATLAS** Preliminary Projection from Run 2 data HL-LHC,  $\sqrt{s}$  = 14 TeV, 3000 fb 10% in high pt bins , <10% in ATL-[PHYS](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2021-039)-PUB-2021-039 Projection from Run 2 data -Total -Stat. Theo. high mjj VBF VH,  $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$   $\sqrt{s}$ =14 TeV, 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> ATL-[PHYS](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-003/)-PUB-2022-003  $\bullet$  Exp.  $\leftarrow$  Tot. unc.  $\leftarrow$  Stat. unc. Theo. unc. Tot. (Stat., Syst.) Stat., Syst.) Tot. 1.00  $^{+0.25}_{-0.23}$   $^{+0.17}_{-0.17}$   $^{+0.18}_{-0.15}$  $\overline{\pm}$ WH,  $150 < p_{\tau}^{W,t} < 250$  GeV  $+0.20$ <br>-0.19  $\left( \begin{array}{ccc} +0.07 & +0.18 \\ -0.07 & -0.18 \end{array} \right)$  $1.00$ ------VBF + V(→ qq)H ;<br>[60, 120] [350, ∞[;  $+0.08$ <br>-0.07  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.03 & +0.07 \\ 0.03 & -0.07 \end{pmatrix}$  $1.00$  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ 1.00  $^{+0.15}$  ( $^{+0.10}$   $^{+0.10}$ )  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,+0.06<br>(−0.06)  $+0.10$  ) WH,  $p_{T}^{W,t}$  > 250 GeV  $+0.12$  $1.00$  $-0.11$ **1.00**  $+0.57$   $(+0.22$   $+0.53$ <br> $-0.51$   $(-0.22$   $-0.46)$  $[350, \infty]$ <br> $[0, 200]$  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 200, 300 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 300, \infty \ 20 \end{bmatrix}$ **1.00**  $+0.12$   $(+0.08$   $+0.08$ )<br>-0.11  $(-0.08$  -0.07  $+0.24$ <br>-0.23  $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} +0.10\ -0.10 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$  $+0.22$  )<br>-0.21 ) ZH, 75 <  $p_T^{Z,t}$  < 150 GeV  $1.00$  $\rightarrow$  Z( $\rightarrow$  qq)H **1.00**  $+0.10$   $(+0.05$   $+0.09$ <br>-0.10  $(-0.05$   $-0.08)$ gluon fusion + gg – $[0, 350]$ <br> $[120, 200]$   $[20]$  $+0.11$ <br>-0.10  $\left( \begin{array}{l} +0.05 \ -0.05 \end{array} \right)$  $^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$  ) ZH,  $150 < p_T^{Z,t} < 250$  GeV **1.00**  $^{+0.19}_{-0.19}$   $(^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$   $^{+0.17}_{-0.16})$  $1.00$ **1.00**  $+0.17$   $(+0.07$   $+0.15$ <br>-0.16  $(-0.07$   $-0.14)$  $+0.11$  $+0.09$  )  $+0.06$ ZH,  $p_T^{Z,t} > 250$  GeV  $1.00$  $[0, 350]$ <sup>+</sup><br> $[60, 120]$ **1.00**  $+0.20$   $(+0.10$   $+0.17$ <br>-0.20  $(-0.10$   $-0.17)$  $-0.06$  $-0.10$  $0.8$  $1.2$  $1.8$ .6  $\mathop{\mathsf{P}}^\mathsf{in}_{\mathsf{P}_\mathsf{T}}[\mathsf{Geo}] \atop \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{yes})} \mathsf{O}$ 3 5  $\overline{2}$ 4  $(\sigma \times B)^{exp}/(\sigma \times B)^{SM}$  $\sigma \times B$  normalised to SM NEW FOR SNOWMASS!



New physics modifies kinematics: we can obtain constraints on new physics from the precise measurement of differential distributions

# **HIGGS PROPERTIES**

# **THE NATURE OF THE HIGGS**

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 23

# Mass? Total Width? <sup>Γ</sup> <sup>∝</sup> *lifetime*−<sup>1</sup> Spin?

#### *CMS* : 125.38 ± 0.14 *GeV* (0.11%)  $ATLAS: 124.92 \pm 0.19(stat)_{-0.06}^{+0.09}(syst) GeV (0.17\%)$

# **THE NATURE OF THE HIGGS**

### **Mass**  Free in the SM, now known to 0.1%  $(H \to ZZ \to 4l$  and  $H \to \gamma\gamma$



# **THE NATURE OF THE HIGGS**

**Mass**  Free in the SM, now known to 0.1%  $(H \to ZZ \to 4l$  and  $H \to \gamma\gamma)$ 

Very small in SM! (4 MeV) Direct measurement: <1.1 GeV (95%CL) Offshell/onshell  $H \rightarrow ZZ - > 4l$ 





#### $CMS: 125.38 \pm 0.14 \text{ GeV} (0.11\%)$ <br> $\Gamma_H = 3.2 + 2.4$ <sub>-1.7</sub> MeV  $ATLAS: 124.92 \pm 0.19(stat)_{-0.06}^{+0.09}(syst) GeV (0.17\%)$

### **Total Width**

# **THE NATURE OF THE HIGGS**

**Mass**  Free in the SM, now known to 0.1%  $(H \to ZZ \to 4l$  and  $H \to \gamma\gamma)$ 

Very small in SM! (4 MeV) Direct measurement: <1.1 GeV (95%CL) Offshell/onshell  $H \rightarrow ZZ - > 4l$ 





#### $CMS: 125.38 \pm 0.14 \text{ GeV} (0.11\%)$ <br> $\Gamma_H = 3.2 + 2.4$ <sub>-1.7</sub> MeV  $ATLAS: 124.92 \pm 0.19(stat)_{-0.06}^{+0.09}(syst) GeV (0.17\%)$

Spin: 0+ (SM-like) **Total Width** 







source of Charge-Parity violation?

## **MASS MEASUREMENT @ HL-LHC**







- Relies on  $H \to ZZ \to 4l$  and  $H \to \gamma\gamma$ : **narrow peaks, good mass resolution**
- The precision with which we can measure  $m_H$  is directly linked to precision with which we can measure photons and leptons (energy scale and resolution)



• **Precision of few tens of MeV reachable.** The ultimate HL-LHC will combine  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$  and  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  and with ATLAS. Can we get to 10-20 MeV?

## **HIGGS WIDTH @ HL-LHC**



María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 10th June 2022 Figure 17: Likelihood scans for projections on *fa*<br>17: Likelihood (*f*/*fMAT) and GH (right) and GH (right) and GH (right) at 3000 fb100 fb1* on the left plot, the scans are shown using either the combination of on-shell and off-shell and off-shell and<br>In the combination of on-shell and off-shell and off-shell and off-shell and off-shell and off-shell and off-s

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  ,  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  and  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  and  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

.



- **• Remember: Very small in SM! (4 MeV)**
- **Exploit**  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  measurements:  $H \rightarrow ZZ$ 
	- Direct width: 177 MeV @ 95% CL (CMS, Snowmass) **• 4L Offshell: 25% precision at 68% CL (20% assuming CMS+ATLAS: +-0.8 MeV)**
- From couplings:  $\Gamma_H$  if k<sub>V</sub>≤1  $\rightarrow$  5% precision at 95% CL
- $\bullet$   $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  interference study: <40-50  $\Gamma_{\text{SM}}$  (old, ATLAS), reduction of the on-shell rate by 2% (Campbell, Carena, Harnik, Liu)
- Completely different picture in lepton colliders! (~1-2%)

- **•Does the Higgs sector have a new source of Charge-Parity violation?**
- Constraints based on HVV, ttH, Hττ
- For example, using  $H \to \tau\tau$  decays: scan the mixing angle α<sup>H</sup>ττ , where 0 (90)º corresponds to a pure scalar (pseudoscalar) coupling
	- $Run2: α<sup>HTT</sup> = -1±19° (0±21° exp) at 68% CL$
	- Expect  $\Delta \alpha$ <sup>Hττ</sup> = 5<sup>o</sup> with 30Q0 fb<sup>-1</sup>



![](_page_30_Figure_10.jpeg)

# **CP IN THE HIGGS SECTOR**

# **RARE DECAYS**

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **COUPLING TO THE SECOND GENERATION: H→**μμ

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

**Do all SM families get their mass from the same Higgs field? Highlight of 2020: evidence for the coupling to the second generation!** 

**CMS: μ=1.19+0.40-0.39 (stat)+0.15-0.14 (syst) → 3.0(2.5)σ**

#### **ATLAS: μ=1.2 ± 0.6→ 2.0(1.7)σ**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

- **• Statistically limited: Run3 and HL-LHC game changers**
- Upgrades also very important: CMS example, tracker upgrade brings on resolution improvements
- **• Observation by the end of Run3**
- Charm quark: only up-type quark for which we could possibly measure the branching ratio (~3%)
	- Do up-type quarks get their mass from the same Higgs fields as down-type quarks and charged leptons?
- Difficult measurement (not only statistics, we need to be able to identify charm jets!)

#### **• What about the coupling to second gen quarks?**

# **HOW CHARMING IS THE HIGGS?**

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **HOW CHARMING IS THE HIGGS?**

- -
- 

ATLAS 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>:  $\mu$  < 6.4

- 3000 fb-1:  $\mu$  < 1.6 **CMS**
- $\mu$  < O(10) **LHC<sub>b</sub>**  $300$  fb<sup>-1</sup>:
- The projections of the latests Run2 results bring a large jump in sensitivity with respect to the previous prospects
- **• Future innovations in jet reconstruction, c-identification and analysis can have a large impact here !**

![](_page_36_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **CAN WE MEASURE THE CHARM COUPLING AT HL-LHC?**

- **•The large datasets collected in Run3 and HL-LHC will be fundamental to search for rarer decays of the Higgs and to probe the lighter yukawa couplings**
- H->Zγ already discussed by Marumi yesterday. A very good example of how analyses outpace projections!
- •Other examples:
	- H->J/ψ γ ( probe c coupling )
	- H->Φγ / ργ ( probe light-quark couplings )
	- H->γQ, ZQ, or QQ (could arrive at values of several 10-5, close to the SM or reducing the range for several BSM scenarios)
- Light Yukawa: Indirect constraints (eg from differential distributions, off-shell couplings, or from the global coupling fits) complement the direct searches
- What about H->ee? Very small Br~5x10<sup>-9</sup> in the SM: at the LHC we can look for deviations in the yukawa. Run2 limits ~3x10-4 at 95 % CL (CMS, similar in ATLAS) and statistically limited

# **OTHER RARE DECAYS**

![](_page_37_Figure_15.jpeg)

# **HIGGS COUPLINGS**

• In the Kappa Framework (simple parametrisation widely used by LHC experiments), already known to 6-15% (still with partial Run2 statistics)

$$
\sigma(i \to H \to f) = \frac{\sigma_i(\kappa_j) \cdot \Gamma_f(\kappa_j)}{\Gamma_H(\kappa_j)}
$$
  

$$
\sigma_i = \kappa_i^2(\vec{\kappa}) \cdot \sigma_i^{SM} \Gamma^f = \kappa_f^2(\vec{\kappa}) \cdot \Gamma^{f,SM}
$$
  

$$
\downarrow^{\text{W},Z}
$$
  

$$
\downarrow^{\text{W},Z}
$$

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 35

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **HOW WELL DO WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?**

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

### **HOW WELL** *WILL* **WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?**

Precision of 2-4% can be reached for the nonstatistically dominated modes

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\sqrt{s}$  = 14 TeV, 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup> per experiment

#### **ATLAS and CMS**

**HL-LHC Projection** 

![](_page_40_Picture_66.jpeg)

### **HOW WELL** *WILL* **WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?**

Precision of 2-4% can be reached for the nonstatistically dominated modes

> If uncertainties are a bottleneck: exploit ratios.

 Improved precision through cancelation of uncertainties

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **HOW WELL** *SHOULD* **WE KNOW THE HIGGS COUPLINGS?**

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) and the set of the set o

### SMALL CORRECTIONS EXPECTED IN MANY BSM MODELS

![](_page_42_Picture_45.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Higgs couplings + DY + Diboson observables

 $0.1$ 0.5 1 5 10 50 /  $\mathsf{C}_i$  [TeV] •Higgs results tells us only part of the story: we need to think globally about all LHC measurements •Slow move to EFT approaches that eventually will involve all precision data available •Very complicated to do well: long experimental process, through Run3 to the HL-LHC

# **CHASING THE SELF-COUPLING**

#### **THE HIGGS SELF-COUPLING**  $V(\varphi)$ **→Does the Higgs couple to itself?**  This costs too much **→Access the shape of the Higgs potential!**  energy! I think I'll hang out down there.  $\odot$ **Higgs** potential  $Re$  ф Our vacuum lm φ  $\mathcal{L} = |D_{\mu} \Phi|^2 - \mu^2 \Phi^2 + \lambda \Phi^2$ <br>For  $\mu^2 < 0$ , minimum  $v = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$ Metastable **Higgs field** Caterina Vernieri $2\lambda$

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

- **• Main avenue at LHC to probe the self-coupling: HH production. Very small cross section!** 
	- Deviations in the Self-coupling:  $\kappa_{\lambda} = \lambda_{HHH} / \lambda_{HHH}^{SM}$

 $\succcurlyeq$ bb  $10^{-1}$ ` ww BR(H- $BR(HH \rightarrow XXXYY)$  $10^{-2}$ gg  $10^{-3}$ ττ  $10^{-4}$ cс **ZZ**  $10^{5}$ ΥΥ  $10^{-6}$  $Zγ$  $10^{-7}$ μμ  $10^{8}$ WW bb ΥΥ ZZ CC gg μμ Zγ ττ  $BR(H\rightarrow XX)$ 

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

**• [Current reach \(at 95% CL\):](#page-49-0)** 

- Two Higgses: many possible decays
- **• Golden channels: bbγγ , bbττ, bbbb**

$$
\frac{\sigma_{HH}}{\sigma_{HH}^{SM}} \lesssim 3 \text{ and } -1 \lesssim \kappa_{\lambda} \lesssim 6
$$

![](_page_46_Picture_12.jpeg)

## **LOOKING FOR PAIRS OF HIGGS BOSONS**

- Small rate, but clean: one of the golden channels
- 
- 

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) and the contract of the set of the contract of the set of

- Relies on excellent tau identification
- 

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) and the contract of the

![](_page_49_Picture_160.jpeg)

<span id="page-49-0"></span>![](_page_49_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### More channels (eg, bbWW) and final Run2 combination coming soon!

• Cross Section:very small in the SM, experimental limits still two orders of magnitude over

$$
\sigma_{VBF}^{\rm HH} = 1.726^{+0.03\%}_{-0.04\%} \text{(scale)} \pm 2.1\% \text{(PDF+}\alpha_S\text{)} \text{ fb}
$$

• Example CMS bbττ : upper limit at 95% of 124(154)xSM

#### **•Constraints on K2V**

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

Combining CMS and ATLAS data, in 2018 we projected a significance of **4σ and a 50% uncertainty on κλ by the end of HL-LHC** . This is likely to be outperformed, and the HL-LHC will reach 5**σ**

![](_page_51_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_105.jpeg)

## **UPDATES TO THE HH PROJECTIONS**

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_16.jpeg)

Updates to the projections done in the context of Snowmass: improvements per channel (ATLAS and CMS both improved by ~20-30%) and new channels incorporated (WWγγ ,ττγγ)

Full CMS+ATLAS combination not yet redone: on track for the 5 sigmas

First ttHH projection (Third largest cross section among the HH production models, interplay between ttH and ttHH)

![](_page_52_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_6.jpeg)

No syst. u

**Baseline** 

Theoretica

Run 2 syst

# **INDIRECT CONSTRAINTS**

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_10.jpeg)

HH searches dominate the self-coupling hunt, but Single Higgs measurements can also yield interesting constraints on the self coupling, and be combined with HH production.

Run2 examples: Single Higgs constraints from STXS, combined analysis of H and HH in Hγγ modes

HL-LHC projection example: constraint from ttH Hγγ

![](_page_53_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **IS THE HIGGS THE PORTAL TO NEW PHYSICS?**

**UV** sensitivity **Naturalness** heavy new physics Relaxation

**Fate of the Universe** Stability **Verónica Sanz**

## **MOVING BEYOND THE SM**

**HIGGS** 

**Dark Matter Higgs portal Higgs DM** mediator

### **Inflation Higgs inflation Inflaton vs Higgs**

**Phase transitions Baryogenesis** gravitational waves

**UV** sensitivity **Naturalness** heavy new physics Relaxation

**Fate of the Universe** Stability **Verónica Sanz**

## **MOVING BEYOND THE SM**

**HIGGS** 

**Dark Matter Higgs portal Higgs DM mediator** 

### **Inflation Higgs inflation Inflaton vs Higgs**

**Phase transitions Baryogenesis** gravitational waves

### We have found and measured a Higgs boson with m<sub>H</sub>=125 GeV **But do we really understand what it is, what it means, where it comes from?**

- •**Why should we assume the Higgs boson follow the SM rules strictly?**
- •We can also **search for Higgs → BSM . eg: Dark Matter, LFV,light (pseudo)-scalars…**

### **CAN THE HIGGS BOSON DECAY "UNUSUALLY"?**

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

•Direct searches for Higgs decays to **undetectable** particles ('invisible decays') → search for Dark Matter!

### •Higgs → BSM particles?

CMS: Br(Hinv) < 0.18 (0.10) ATLAS:  $Br(H_{inv})$  < 0.145 (0.103)

(Higgs Portal)

## **DOES THE HIGGS BOSON COUPLE TO DARK MATTER?**

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

Current Sensitivity:10% level (95%CL)

Remember: Run2 sensitivity ~10% @ 95%CL (dominated by the VBF channel)

The challenge here is the high PU : the upgraded detectors will be instrumental

Adding H->Inv to the global coupling fit: limit better than 2% @ 95 %CL (ATLAS+CMS)

A Delphes analysis for the VBF analysis show that with optimisation, changing the MET thresholds, we can maintain the sensitivity

## **HIGGS INVISIBLE @ HL-LHC**

### **VH: ATLAS, 2013: <8% @ 95%CL VBF: CMS, 2018: <3.8% @ 95%CL**

 $\left(\mu_{\text{VBF},VH}\cdot\text{BR}_{\text{inv}}\right)^{\text{HL-LHC}}\leq 2.5\%,$ 

![](_page_59_Figure_8.jpeg)

## **OTHER EXOTIC HIGGS DECAYS**

Exotic Higgs decays beyond invisible, LFV, new (pseudo)scalars, LLP, dark photons, ALPs,…

- 
- Huge phase space to probe, analysis typically limited by statistics… however very few available

projections

Large potential gain from detector upgrades: track triggers, timing, long lived decays

![](_page_60_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 10th June 2022

# **EXTENDED HIGGS SECTORS**

#### **Is the Higgs alone?**

Many different topologies and models to explore, from 2HDM onwards

Model independent searches (for any sign of a new Higgs-like particle) combined with model dependent interpretations

Very wide landscape of searches beyond the ττ benchmark, large potential gain from the very large HL dataset : the large statistics will allow us too explore the high mass range in depth **FTR-22-[006](https://cms-results.web.cern.ch/cms-results/public-results/preliminary-results/FTR-22-006)**

![](_page_61_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **IS THE HIGGS BOSON COMPOSITE?**

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT) 57

# **BEYOND THE LHC**

#### **HIGGS AT THE END OF THE HL-LHC** (14 TeV) -1 *ATLAS* and **CMS** 3000 fb

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

María Cepeda (CIEMAT)  $59$ 

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

From C. Vernieri - EF Workshop - Brown U. - March 2022

# **THE FUTURE IS BRIGHT**

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Examples taken from the summary talks at the Snowmass EF workshop: <https://indico.fnal.gov/event/52465/> and [Laura Reina's talk at SM@LHC](https://indico.cern.ch/event/1101427/contributions/4714945/)

# **CONCLUSIONS**

•In 2012 we knew we had found a new particle that looked like the Higgs boson, but we did not yet know what it was

- •10 years later, we have measured its properties, observed it couple to bosons and fermions, and studied of its kinematics with increasing precision. It is now one of our best tools to understand the standard model and go beyond. We have a lot of work ahead.
- •It is a Higgs Boson, but is it really the one and only SM Higgs boson? Do we understand what it is, what it implies for the universe? Measuring precisely its properties is one of the keys to the unknown BSM realm, and one of the main goals of experimental particle physics today
- •We have only explored a very small fraction of the full LHC dataset, we have a lot of work and data ahead to understand the particle properly

![](_page_67_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### CMS and ATLAS Higgs results:

<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsHIG> <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HiggsPublicResults> <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/HDBSPublicResults> European Strategy Yellow Report 2018: [CERN](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2650162?ln=en)-LPCC-2018-04 [Snowmass 21 White Paper](https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2022-018/) 

![](_page_67_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Everything about Higgs is Puzzling

### $\mathcal{L} = yH\psi\overline{\psi} + \mu^2|H|^2 - \lambda|H|^4 - V_0$

- Pattern of Yukawa couplings y: - Flavour problem
- Magnitude of mass term  $\mu$ :
	- Naturalness/hierarchy problem
- Magnitude of quartic coupling  $\lambda$ :
	- **Stability of electroweak vacuum**
- Cosmological constant term  $V_0$ :
	- Dark energy

r

### Higher-dimensional interactions?

•Many questions ahead: ATLAS and CMS will continue puzzle-solving during Run3 and the HL-LHC

•The next chapter of "Higgstory" awaits us

![](_page_68_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_13.jpeg)