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## Lecture Program: Part 2

But do not run. Before, let me explain you the last generalities on neutron stars that I could not tell you yesterday


## Neutron Star Structure: General Relativity or Newtonian Gravity?

Surface gravitational potential tell us how much compact an object is

$\rightarrow$ Relativistic effects are very important in Neutron Stars and General Relativity must be used to describe their structure


## The Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff Equations

In 1939 Tolman, Oppenheimer \& Volkoff obtain the equations that describe the structure of a static star with spherical symmetry in General Relativity (Chandrasekhar \& von Neumann obtained them in 1934 but they did not published their work)
Tolman, Phys. Rev. 55, 364 (1939)
Oppenheimer \& Volkoff, Phys. Rev. 55, 374 (1939)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d P}{d r}=-G \frac{m(r) \varepsilon(r)}{r^{2}}\left(1+\frac{P(r)}{c^{2} \varepsilon(r)}\right)\left(1+\frac{4 \pi r^{3} P(r) m(r)}{c^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{2 G m(r)}{c^{2} r}\right)^{-1} \\
& \frac{d m}{d r}=4 \pi r^{2} \varepsilon(r) \\
& \text { boundary conditions } \\
& P(0)=P_{o}, \quad m(0)=0 \\
& P(R)=0, \quad m(R)=M
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stability solutions of the TOV equations

$\triangleleft$ The solutions of the TOV equations represent static equilibrium configurations
$\diamond$ Stability is required with respect to small perturbations

$$
\frac{d M_{G}}{d \rho_{c}}>0, \text { or } \quad \frac{d M_{G}}{d r}<0
$$



## The role of the Equation of State

The only ingredient needed to solve the TOV equations is the (poorly known) EoS (i.e., $p(\varepsilon)$ ) of dense matter


## The Nuclear EoS

The Nuclear EoS is a fundamental ingredient for the understanding of the static \& dynamical properties of NS, core-collapse SN \& compact star mergers

However, its determination is very challenging due to the wide range of densities, temperatures \& isospin asymmetries found in these astrophysical scenarios.

Main difficulties associated to:
$\checkmark$ Complexity of the bare baryon-baryon interaction
$\checkmark$ Very complicated resolution of the socalled nuclear many-body problem

Conditions in the center of the star from the onset of the collapse up to 25 ms after bounce ( $15 \mathrm{M}_{\text {sun }}$ progenitor)


Baryon-baryon interaction

## Few generalities

QCD is commonly recognized as the fundamental theory of strong interactions. It is a non-Abelian gauge theory described by the Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}_{s}=-\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{G}_{\mu \nu}^{a} \mathcal{G}_{a}^{\mu \nu}+\sum_{f=u, d, s, c, b, t} \bar{\Psi}_{f}\left(i \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}-m_{f}\right) \Psi_{f} \\
\mathcal{G}_{\mu \nu}^{a}=\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{a}-\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a}+g \sum_{b, c=1}^{8} f^{a b c} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{b} \mathcal{A}_{\nu,}^{c}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}-i g \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

> The baryon-baryon interaction can, in principle, be completely determined from the underlying quark-gluon dynamics in QCD
> However, due to the mathematical problems raised by the nonperturbative character of QCD at low \& intermediate energies (in this energy range the strong coupling constant becomes too large for perturbative approaches) one is still far from a quantitative understanding of the baryon-baryon interaction from the QCD point of view
$>$ This problem is circumvented by introducing simplified models where hadronic degrees of freedom are assumed to be the only relevant ones


## Few generalities

Nowadays, bare baryon-baryon interactions are derived following several approaches
> Phenomenological approaches

- Meson exchange-models
- Potential models
> Chiral effective field theory
> Renormalization group methods
> Lattice QCD calculations

In the next we will describe each one of them

## Meson-exchange models

> Based on the Yukawa idea
"Baryon-baryon interactions are mediated by the exchange of mesons"

- Long-range: pseudoscalar mesons $(\pi, K, \eta, \eta$ ' $)$
- Intermediate-range: scalar mesons ( $\sigma, \kappa, \delta$ )
- Short-range: vector mesons ( $\rho, \mathrm{K}^{*}, \omega, \phi$ )

$>$ Various models differ mainly in the mesonic content \& treatment of two meson-exchange contributions. But all them describe successfully NN scattering phase shift \& deuteron properties
$>$ Some very refined models for NN interaction: Paris, Bonn, Nijmegen potentials
> YN \& YY meson-exchange models: Juelich, Nijmegen potentials


## Meson-exchange models

Guided by symmetry principles, simplicity \& physical intuition, the most general interaction Lagrangian densities that couple meson and baryon fields are the following:

- Scalar mesons:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{s}=g_{s} \bar{\psi} \psi \phi^{(s)}
$$

- Pseudoscalar mesons:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{p s}=g_{p s} \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{5} \psi \phi^{(p s)} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{p v}=g_{p v} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \partial_{\mu} \phi^{(p s)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(pseudovector or gradient coupling suggested as an effective coupling by chiral symmetry)

- Vector mesons:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{v}=g_{v} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \phi_{\mu}^{(v)}+g_{t} \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu v} \psi\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{v}^{(v)}-\partial_{v} \phi_{\mu}^{(v)}\right), \sigma^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{4 i}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{v}\right]
$$

$\psi$ : spin $1 / 2$ - baryon fields; $\phi^{(s)}, \phi^{(p s)}, \phi_{\mu}^{(v)}$ : scalar, pseudoscalar \& vector meson fields; $g^{\prime} s$ coupling constants to be constrained (if possible) by scattering data

These Lagrangian densities are for isoscalar mesons, those for isovector ones are obtained by replacing $\phi \rightarrow \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}$

## Meson-exchange models

A typical contribution to the baryon-baryon interaction potential arising from the exchange of a certain meson $\phi$ is

$$
\left\langle p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime}\right| V_{\phi}\left|p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\bar{u}_{1}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\right) g_{1} \Gamma_{1} u_{1}\left(p_{1}\right) P_{\phi} \bar{u}_{2}\left(p_{2}^{\prime}\right) g_{2} \Gamma_{2} u_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)}{k^{2}-m_{\phi}^{2}}
$$



- $\frac{P_{\phi}}{k^{2}-m_{\phi}^{2}}$ : meson propagator; $P_{\phi}=1$ for scalar \& pseudoscalar mesons; $P_{\phi} \equiv P_{\mu \nu}=-g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{m_{\phi}^{2}}$ for vector mesons
- $m_{\phi}:$ mass of the exchanged meson
- $u_{i} \& \bar{u}_{i}$ : Dirac spinor \& its adjoint $\left(\bar{u} u=1, \bar{u}=u^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}\right)$
- $\Gamma_{s}=1, \Gamma_{p s}=i \gamma^{5}, \Gamma_{v}=\gamma^{\mu}, \Gamma_{t}=\sigma^{\mu \nu}, \Gamma_{p v}=\gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}:$ Dirac structures of the vertices


## Meson-exchange models

In general, when all types of mesons are included the total baryonbaryon interaction potential is the sum of all the partial contributions

$$
\left\langle p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime}\right| V\left|p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle=\sum_{\phi}\left\langle p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime}\right| V_{\phi}\left|p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Expanding the Dirac spinor in terms of $1 / \mathrm{M}$ (M: baryon mass) to lowest order leads to the familiar non-relativistic expressions of the baryon-baryon potential, which through Fourier transformation give the configuration version of the potential

$$
V(\vec{r})=\sum_{\phi}\left\{C_{C_{\phi}}+C_{\sigma_{\phi}} \vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}+C_{L_{\phi}}\left(\frac{1}{m_{\phi} r}+\frac{1}{\left(m_{\phi} r\right)^{2}}\right) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}+C_{T_{\phi}}\left(1+\frac{3}{m_{\phi} r}+\frac{3}{\left(m_{\phi} r\right)^{2}}\right) S_{12}(\hat{r})\right\} \frac{e^{-m_{\phi} r}}{r}
$$

- C's: numerical factors containig all baryon-baryon-meson couplings \& baryon masses
- $L, S$ : total orbital angular momentum \& total spin
- $S_{12}(\hat{r})=3\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \hat{r}\right)\left(\vec{\sigma}_{2} \cdot \hat{r}\right)-\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}\right) ; \hat{r}=\frac{\vec{r}}{r}:$ tensor operator


## Meson-exchange models

Finally, one has to remember that all baryon-baryon-meson vertices must be modified with the introduction of a form factor

Two types of form factors are usually employed:

$$
F_{\phi}\left(|\vec{k}|^{2}\right)=\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\phi}^{2}-m_{\phi}^{2}}{\Lambda_{\phi}^{2}+|\vec{k}|^{2}}\right)^{n_{\phi}} \text { : usually } n_{\phi} \text { takes values } 1 \text { (monopole form factor) or } 2 \text { (dipole form factor) }
$$

or gaussian
$F_{\phi}\left(|\vec{k}|^{2}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{|\vec{k}|^{2}}{2 \Lambda_{\phi}^{2}}\right):$ in both cases $\Lambda_{\phi}$ is the so called cut - off mass with values between $1.2-2 \mathrm{GeV}$

- Originally form factors were introduced for purely mathematical reasons, namely to avoid divergences in the scattering equation. Our present knowledge of the quark substructure of baryons and mesons provides a physical reason for their introduction
- Meson exchange picture loses its validity in regions where modifications due to the extended structure of hadrons comes into play


## Potential models

$>$ Potential models have a complex structure which is expressed via operator invariants consistent with the symmetries of strong interactions:

- Translational invariance
- Galilean invariance
- Rotational invariance
- Space-reflection invariance
- Time-reversal invariance
- Invariance under the interchange of two baryons
- Isospin symmetry
- Hermiticity
$>$ The most widely known potential models are the Urbana and the Argonne ones where the NN interaction is given as a sum of several local operators. In the case of the Argonne V18 reads:

$$
\hat{V}_{i j}\left(r_{i j}\right)=\sum_{p=1}^{18} V_{i j}\left(r_{i j}\right) \hat{o}_{i j}^{p} \quad \text { with } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \hat{o}_{i j}^{p=1, \cdots, 14}=\left[1,\left(\vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j}\right), S_{i j}, \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}, L^{2}, L^{2}\left(\vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j}\right),(\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S})^{2}\right] \otimes\left[1,\left(\vec{\tau}_{i} \cdot \vec{\tau}_{j}\right)\right] \\
& \hat{o}_{i j}^{p=15, \cdots, 18}=\left[T_{i j},\left(\vec{\sigma}_{i} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{j}\right) T_{i j}, S_{i j} T_{i j}, T_{i j}\left(\tau_{z_{i}}+\tau_{z_{j}}\right)\right]: \text { charge symmetry breaking }
\end{aligned}
$$

Some words on the three-nucleon force

## Necessary to:

$\diamond$ Reproduce the spectra of light nuclei
Obtain proper saturation properties of symmetric nuclear matter in non-relativistic many-body calculations
$\underline{\text { Urbana-type }} V_{i j k}^{U I X}=V_{i j k}^{2 \pi}+V_{i j k}^{R}$
$V_{i j k}^{2 \pi}:$ Attractive Fujita-Miyazawa force

$V_{i j k}^{R}: ~ R e p u l s i v e ~ \& ~ P h e n o m e n o l o g i c a l ~$

## $\diamond$ Microscopic-type



Problem: NNN is not independent of NN


Li Li et al., PRC 74, 047304 (2006)

## Chiral Perturbation Expansion



$\diamond$ Starting point: most general chiral effective Lagrangian consistent with the symmetries required by QCD where $\pi$ \& N (recently also $\Delta$ ) are the relevant degrees of freedom. of the theory
$\diamond$ Systematic expansion in powers of $\mathrm{Q} / \Lambda_{\chi}\left[\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{m}_{\pi}, \mathrm{k} ; \Lambda_{\chi} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}\right]$
$\diamond$ Consistent derivation of $2 \mathrm{~N}, 3 \mathrm{~N}, 4 \mathrm{~N}, \ldots$ forces


Weinberg, PLB 251, 288 (1990); NPB 363, 3 (1991)
Entem \& Machleidt, PRC 68, 041001(R) (2003)
Epelbaum et al., NPA 747, 363 (2005)

## Chiral Perturbation Expansion (LO)

$>$ Leading order (LO) contribution
This contribution consist of one pseudoscalar-meson exchange and of four-baryon contact terms each one of them constrained by $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-flavor symmetry


The one pseudoscalar-meson exchange term is obtained from the Lagrangian density

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\langle i \bar{B} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu}-M_{0} \bar{B} B+\frac{D}{2} \bar{B} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\left[u_{\mu}, B\right]+\frac{F}{2} \bar{B} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\left\{u_{\mu}, B\right\}\right\rangle
$$

$\checkmark\langle\cdots\rangle$ denote the trace in flavor space
$\checkmark B$ is the $\operatorname{SU}(3)-$ flavor irreducible representation of the baryon octet $\quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{\Sigma^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\Sigma^{+}}{\sqrt{6}} & & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{\Sigma^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{6}} & n \\ -\Xi^{-} & \Xi^{0} & -\frac{2 \Lambda}{\sqrt{6}}\end{array}\right)$

## Chiral Perturbation Expansion (LO)

$$
\mathcal{L}=\left\langle i \bar{B} \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu}-M_{0} \bar{B} B+\frac{D}{2} \bar{B} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\left[u_{\mu}, B\right]+\frac{F}{2} \bar{B} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\left\{u_{\mu}, B\right\}\right\rangle
$$

$\checkmark D_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative
$\checkmark M_{0}$ is the octet baryon mass in the chiral limit
$\checkmark F$ and $D$ are couping constants satisfying $F+D=g_{A} \cong 1.26$ (axial-vector strength)
$\checkmark u_{\mu}=i\left(u^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} u-u \partial_{\mu} u^{\dagger}\right)$ with $u=\exp \left(\frac{i P}{\sqrt{2} F_{\pi}}\right)$ being

- $F_{\pi}=92.4 \mathrm{MeV}$ the weak pion decay constant
- $P: S U(3)$ - flavor irreducible representarion of pseudoscalr meson

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\
\pi^{-} & -\frac{\pi^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{6}} & K^{0} \\
-K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{2 \eta}{\sqrt{6}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Chiral Perturbation Expansion (LO)

The form of the baryon-baryon potentials obtained from the one pseudoscalar-meson exchange LO contribution is similar to the ones derived from the meson-exchange approach, and in momentum space read

$$
V_{O P E}^{B B}=-f_{B_{1} B_{2} P} f_{B_{3} B_{4} P} \frac{\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{q}\right)\left(\vec{\sigma}_{2} \cdot \vec{q}\right)}{|\vec{q}|^{2}+m_{p s}^{2}} I_{B_{1} B_{2} \rightarrow B_{3} B_{4}}
$$

$\checkmark f_{B_{1} B_{2} P}, f_{B_{3} B_{4} P}$ coupling constants of the two vertices
$\checkmark m_{p s}$ mass of the exchanged pseudoscalar meson
$\checkmark \vec{q}$ transferred momemtum
$\checkmark I_{B_{1} B_{2} \rightarrow B_{3} B_{4}}$ isospin factor

## Chiral Perturbation Expansion (LO)

The contribution from the four-body contact interaction can be derived from the following minimal set of Lagrangian densities

$$
\mathcal{L}^{1}=C_{i}^{1}\left\langle\bar{B}_{a} \bar{B}_{b}\left(\Gamma_{i} B\right)_{b}\left(\Gamma_{i} B\right)_{a}\right\rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}^{2}=C_{i}^{2}\left\langle\bar{B}_{a}\left(\Gamma_{i} B\right)_{a} \bar{B}_{b}\left(\Gamma_{i} B\right)_{b}\right\rangle, \quad \mathcal{L}^{3}=C_{i}^{3}\left\langle\bar{B}_{a}\left(\Gamma_{i} B\right)_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle\bar{B}_{b}\left(\Gamma_{i} B\right)_{b}\right\rangle
$$

Here: $\quad \checkmark$ The labels a and b are the Dirac indices of the particles
$\checkmark \Gamma_{i}$ denote the five elements of the Cliffod algebra (usually $3 \times 3$ matrices in the flavor space)

$$
\Gamma_{1}=1, \quad \Gamma_{2}=\gamma^{\mu}, \quad \Gamma_{3}=\sigma^{\mu \nu}, \quad \Gamma_{4}=\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5}, \quad \Gamma_{5}=\gamma^{5}
$$

$\checkmark C_{i}^{1}, C_{i}^{2}, C_{i}^{3}$ : low - energy constants (LEC). At LO there are 6 independent LEC

LO contact potential

$$
V_{L O}^{B B}=C_{C}^{B B}+C_{S}^{B B}\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)
$$

$C_{C}^{B B}$ and $C_{S}^{B B}$ linear combination of the 6 independent LEC

## Chiral Perturbation Expansion (NLO)

$>$ Next-to-leading-order (NLO) contribution


- Contact terms contribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{N L O}^{B B} & =C_{1} q^{2}+C_{2} k^{2}+\left(C_{3} q^{2}+C_{4} k^{2}\right)\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)+i \frac{C_{5}}{2}\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1}+\vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)(\vec{q} \times \vec{k}) \\
& +C_{6}\left(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{1}\right)\left(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)+C_{7}\left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{1}\right)\left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)+C_{8}\left(\vec{\sigma}_{1}-\vec{\sigma}_{2}\right)(\vec{q} \times \vec{k})
\end{aligned}
$$

- Expressions for two-pseudoscalar meson exchange are rather cumbersome


## Chiral Perturbation Expansion

## A final comment:

The baryon-baryon potentials constructed in this way are then inserted in the Lippmann-Schwinger equation which is regularized with a cut-off function of the type

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{p^{4}+p^{4}}{\Lambda^{4}}\right)
$$

in order to remove high-energy components of the baryon and pseudoscalar meson fields. The cut-off $\Lambda$ is usually taken in the range $450-700 \mathrm{MeV}$

## Renormalization Group Method

$>$ The presence of a short-range hard core of the nucleon-nucleon interaction V makes any perturbation expansion in terms of V meaningless
$>$ A possible way to soften it consists in integrating out all the momenta q larger than a certain cut-off $\Lambda$ obtaining in this way an effective interaction $\mathrm{V}_{\text {low } k}$ that is equivalent to the original one for momenta $\mathrm{q}<\Lambda$


This results in a modified Lippmann-Schwinger equation with a cut-off dependent effective potential $\mathrm{V}_{\text {low }} k$

$$
T\left(k^{\prime}, k: E_{k}\right)=V_{\text {low } k}\left(k^{\prime}, k\right)+\frac{2}{\pi} P \int_{0}^{\Lambda} d q q^{2} \frac{V_{l o w k}\left(k^{\prime}, q\right) T\left(q, k: E_{k}\right)}{k^{2}-q^{2}+i \eta}
$$



## Renormalization Group Method

$>$ By demanding $\frac{d T\left(k^{\prime}, k: E_{k}\right)}{d \Lambda}=0$ one obtains a Renormalization Group equation for $\mathrm{V}_{\text {low }} k$

$$
\frac{d V_{\text {low } k}\left(k^{\prime}, k\right)}{d \Lambda}=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{V_{\text {low } k}\left(k^{\prime}, k\right) T\left(\Lambda, k, \Lambda^{2}\right)}{1-k^{2} / \Lambda^{2}}
$$

> Integrating this flow equation one obtains a "universal" nucleonnucleon low-momentum potential $\mathrm{V}_{\text {low } k}$ that is:

```
\checkmark ~ p h a s e ~ s h i f t ~ e q u i v a l e n t
\checkmark ~ e n e r g y ~ i n d e p e n d e n t
\checkmark ~ s o f t e r ~ ( n o ~ h a r d ~ c o r e )
\checkmark ~ h e r m i t i a n ~
```

$>$ Having a much softer core the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {low } k}$ potential can be used in perturbation expansions and nuclear structure calculations in a more efficient way
> The method has been applied also to the hyperon-nucleon case. The results seem to indicate a similar convergence to a "universal" softer low-momentum hyperon-nucleon interaction


## Baryon-baryon interactions from Lattice QCD

$>$ The key idea behind lattice QCD is to replace the infinite fourdimensional space-time continuum with a finite hypercubic lattice

- Quark fields are defined on the lattice sites
- Gluon fields live on the links

- The quantum field theory is mapped into a classical statistical system
- Computer simulations use methods analogous to those of statistical mechanics to calculate correlation functions of hadronic operators \& matrix elements of any operator between hadronic states in terms of fundamental quark and gluon degrees of freedom
- Extremely expensive from a numerical point of view
$>$ A big progress has been made by the NPLQCD \& the HALQCD collaborations to derive baryonbaryon interactions from lattice QCD


## Baryon-baryon interactions from Lattice QCD

## NPLQCD \& the HALQCD strategies

$>$ NPLQCD
Combines calculations of correlation functions of two-baryon systems at several light-quark-mass values with low-energy effective field theory to extract scattering phase-shifts
> HALQCD

- Determine the Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter wave function on the lattice

$$
\varphi_{E(r)}=\langle 0| N\left((x+r, 0) N(x, 0)|6 q, E\rangle, N(x)=\varepsilon_{a b c} q^{a}(x) q^{b}(x) q^{c}(x)\right.
$$

- Define a local potential $U(x, y)$ from $\varphi_{E(r)}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[E-\frac{\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}}{2 \mu_{N}}\right] \varphi_{E(x)}=\int d^{3} y U(x, y) \varphi_{E(y)}, \quad U(x, y)=V(x, \nabla) \delta(x-y)} \\
V(x, \nabla)=V_{c}(x)+V_{T}(x) S_{12}+V_{L S}(x) \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}+\left\{V_{D}, \nabla^{2}\right\}+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

- Calculate observables (phase shifts, binding energies, ...)



Theoretical approaches to the nuclear EoS

## Approaches to the Nuclear EoS: "Story of Two Philosophies"

```
Ab-initio Approaches
Based on two- \& three-nucleon realistic interactions which reproduce scattering data \& the deuteron properties. The EoS is obtained by "solving" the complicated many-body problem
\(\diamond\) Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory
S Self Consistent Green's Function formalism
V Variational Approach
\(\diamond\) Quantum Monte Carlo Methods
```


## Phenomenological Approaches

Based on effective density-dependent interactions with parameters adjusted to reproduce nuclear observables \& compact star properties.

Non-relativistic approaches: Skyrme \& Gogny
$\diamond$ Relativistic Mean Field Theory
$\triangleleft$ Others: QMC, BCPM

Ab-initio approaches

## Difficulties of ab-initio approaches

$\diamond$ Different NN potentials in the market... but all are phase-shift equivalent
$\diamond$ Short range repulsion makes any perturbation expansion in terms of V meaningless. Different ways of treating short range correlations
$\diamond$ Complicated channel \& operatorial structure (central, spin-spin, spinisospin, tensor, spin-orbit, ...)



## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

Consider a system of A fermions described by the hamiltonian

$$
H=\sum_{i=1}^{A} K_{i}+\sum_{i<j}^{A} V_{i j} \quad \square \text { Ground State } \quad H|\psi\rangle=E|\psi\rangle
$$

UNSOLVABLE because of the short-range hard core of BB interaction
$>$ Idea: introduce an auxiliary single-particle potential $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}$

$$
H=\begin{array}{|l}
\sum_{i=1}^{A}\left(K_{i}+U_{i}\right)+\sum_{i<j}^{A} V_{i j}-\sum_{i=1}^{A} U_{i}
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& E=E_{0}+\Delta E \\
& H_{0}\left|\phi_{0}\right\rangle=E_{0}\left|\phi_{0}\right\rangle \\
& \text { unperturbed perturbation }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

$$
\text { Perturbation theory } \longrightarrow \Delta E=\left\langle\Phi_{o}\right| H_{1}\left|\Phi_{o}\right\rangle+\left\langle\Phi_{o}\right| H_{1} \frac{1-\left|\Phi_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle\Phi_{0}\right|}{E_{0}-H_{0}} H_{1}\left|\Phi_{o}\right\rangle+\cdots
$$

> The correlated wave function $\Psi \&$ the uncorrelated one $\Phi_{0}$ satisfy: $|\Psi\rangle=\left|\Phi_{0}\right\rangle+\frac{1-\left|\Phi_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle\Phi_{0}\right|}{E_{0}-H_{0}} H_{1}|\Psi\rangle$

$$
\Delta E=\frac{\left\langle\Phi_{0}\right| H_{1}|\Psi\rangle}{\left\langle\Phi_{0} \mid \Psi\right\rangle}
$$

> Goldstone (Proc. Roy. Soc. A 293, 267 (1957)) showed :

$$
\Delta E=\left\langle\phi_{0}\right| H_{1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1-\left|\phi_{0}\right\rangle\left\langle\phi_{0}\right|}{E_{0}-H_{0}} H_{1}\right]^{n}\left|\phi_{0}\right\rangle_{l}
$$

$\left\langle\Phi_{0}\right| H_{1}|\Psi\rangle$ factorizes into the product of $\left\langle\Phi_{0} \mid \Psi\right\rangle \&$ a quantity that contains only linked diagrams
(e.g., those which cannot be separated in two pieces by a vertical cut without crossing a line)


Goldstone Expansion

## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

$>$ The Goldstone expansion provides a simple \& explicit prescription for calculating every order of perturbation theory
$>$ However, it cannot be used in its present form for nuclear matter calculations because the short-range repulsion of the BB interaction makes all matrix elements very large and the perturbation series does not converge
$>$ The solution is provided by the Brueckner theory in which the perturbation expansion in terms of the bare potential is replaced by another on in terms of the so-called Brueckner's reaction matrix. All the terms in this new perturbation series (Brueckner-Goldstone expansion) are finite and of reasonable size.

## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

The Brueckner's reaction matrix (or G-matrix) is obatined by performing a partial (infinite) summation of the set of particle-particle ladder diagrams

which defines the so-called Bethe-Goldstone equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =V+V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} V+V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} V+\cdots \\
& =V+V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta}\left[V+V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} V+V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} V+\cdots\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

Then:

$$
G=V+V \frac{Q}{\omega-H_{0}+i \eta} G
$$

Note that the Bethe-Goldstone equation is formally identical to the Lippmann-Schwinger equation describing the scattering of two particles in free space

$$
T=V+V \frac{1}{\omega-K+i \eta} T
$$

"The G-matrix describes the scattering of two particles in the presence of a surrounding medium"

## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

## > Medium Effects

$\checkmark$ Pauli blocking of intermediate states
The Pauli operator Q prevents the scattering to any occupied state, limiting the phase space of intermediate states

$\checkmark$ Dressing of intermediate particles
The s.p. spectrum is modified by $U$ which represents the average potential "felt" by a particle due to the presence of the medium


## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

$>$ Hole-line expansion \& the Brueckner-Hartree-Fock approximation

Goldstone expansion in terms of G
$\Rightarrow$ Brueckner-Goldstone expansion




Grouping by number of hole lines ( $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{r}_{0}<1$ ) hole-line or Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone expansion. Leading term: two-hole line or

$$
E_{B H F}=\sum_{i \leq A}\left\langle\alpha_{i}\right| K\left|\alpha_{i}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left[\sum_{i, j \leq A}\left\langle\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right| G(\omega)\left|\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\right\rangle\right]
$$ BHF approximation

## Brueckner-Bethe-Goldstone theory

The convergence of the hole-line expansion depends on the choice of the auxiliary potential $U$


5 H. Q. Song et al.,, PRL 81, 1584 (1998)
$>$ Standard or Gap Choice

- $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$
$U_{B}(k)=\sum_{B^{\prime} k\left\langle t_{k_{B}}\right.}\left\langle\vec{k} \vec{k}^{\prime}\right| G\left(\omega=E_{B}(k)+E_{B^{\prime}}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|\overrightarrow{k k^{\prime}}\right\rangle$
- $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$
$U_{B}(k)=0$
> Continuous Choice

$$
U_{B}(k)=\sum_{B^{\prime}} \sum_{k^{\prime} \leq k_{F_{B^{\prime}}}}\left\langle\vec{k} \vec{k}^{\prime}\right| G\left(\omega=E_{B}(k)+E_{B^{\prime}}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)\left|\vec{k} \vec{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

## Self Consistent Green's Function formalism

In the Self Consistent Green's Function (SCGF) approach the energy per particle of nuclear matter is obtain through the so-called Galitskii-Migdal-Koltum (GMK) sum-rule

$$
E=\frac{v}{\rho} \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m}+\omega\right\} A(\vec{k}, \omega) f(\omega)
$$

single-particle spectral function Fermi-Dirac distribution
The key quantity of this approach is the one-body spectral function $A(k, \omega)$ which represents the probability density of removing from or adding to the system a nucleon with momentum k and energy $\omega$. It gives access to the calculation of all the one-body properties of the system and can be obtained from the proper or irreducible self-energy

$$
A(\vec{k}, \omega)=\frac{-2 \operatorname{Im} \Sigma(\vec{k}, \omega)}{\left[\omega-\frac{\hbar^{2} k^{2}}{2 m}-\operatorname{Re} \Sigma(\vec{k}, \omega)\right]^{2}+[\operatorname{Im} \Sigma(\vec{k}, \omega)]^{2}}
$$



## Self Consistent Green's Function formalism

The computational implemention of the SCGF method requieres:

1. Calculate the effective interaction (T-matrix) describing the in medium scattering of two nucleons
2. Extract the self energy $\Sigma(k, \omega)$ to obtain the one-body propagator $G(k, \omega)$ by solving the Dyson equation which is then inserted in the scattering equation, repeating these steps till a self-consisten solution is achieved.
In-medium interaction Ladder self-energy Dyson equation Free two-particle propagator


## Variational Approach

The variational approach to the nuclear EoS is based on the RitzRaleight variational principle

$$
E \leq \min \left\{\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{T}\right| \widehat{H}\left|\Psi_{T}\right\rangle}{\left(\Psi_{T} \mid \Psi_{T}\right)}\right\} \text { with } \Psi_{T}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots\right)=\prod_{i<j} f\left(r_{i j}\right) \Phi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots\right)
$$

$\checkmark \Phi\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots\right)$ : uncorrelated ground-state wave function properly antisymmetrized and product of all possible pairs of particles (i.e., Slater Determinant)
$\checkmark f(i j)$ : correlator factors take into account the correlations of the system. Are determined by means of the RitzRaleight variational principle, i.e. by assuming that the mean value of the Hamiltonian reaches a minimum

$$
\frac{\delta}{\delta f}\left(\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{T}\right| \widehat{H}\left|\Psi_{T}\right\rangle}{\left(\Psi_{T} \mid \Psi_{T}\right)}\right)=0
$$

$\longrightarrow$ The main task of the variational method is to find a suitable ansatz for the correlation factors $f$

## Variational Approach

$>$ For nuclear matter it is necessary to introduce channel-dependent correlation factors. This is equivalent to assume that the f's are actually two-body operators $\hat{F}$ which one assumes can be expanded in the same type of operators of the nucleon-nucleon interaction

$$
\widehat{F}=\prod_{i<j} \sum_{p} f^{(p)}\left(r_{i j}\right) \hat{o}_{i j}^{(p)}
$$

$>$ Due to the formal structure of the Argonne NN potential, most variational calculations have been done with this class of interactions supplemented by the Urbana three-nucleon forces.
$>$ The best know and most used variational nuclear matter EoS is the one of Akmal, Pandharipande \& Ravenhall (APR) (PRC 85, 1804 (1998))
$>$ Other methods based on the variational approach are the Coupled-Cluster theory (Coester NPA 7, 421 (1958)). or the Variational Monte Carlo (VMC) (Wiringa et al., PRC 89, 024305 (2014))

## Quantum Monte-Carlo Methods

## $\diamond$ VMC:

Evaluate energy \& other observables using the Metropolis method

$$
\langle\hat{O}\rangle=\frac{\sum_{i}\left\langle\Psi\left(\vec{R}_{i}\right)\right| \hat{O}\left|\Psi\left(\vec{R}_{i}\right)\right\rangle / W\left(\vec{R}_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i}\left\langle\Psi\left(\vec{R}_{i}\right) \mid \Psi\left(\vec{R}_{i}\right)\right\rangle / W\left(\vec{R}_{i}\right)}
$$



Wiringa et al., PRC 62, 014001 (2000)

## $\diamond$ GFMC:

Sample a trial wave function by evaluating path integrals of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\Psi(\tau)\rangle=\prod \exp \left[-\left(\hat{H}-E_{0}\right) \Delta \tau\right]\left|\Psi_{V}\right\rangle \\
|\Psi(\tau)\rangle \rightarrow\left|\Psi_{n \rightarrow \infty}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

Carlson et al., PRC 68, 025802 (2003)

## $\diamond$ DMC:

Model a diffusion process rewriting the Schoedinger equation in imaginary time

$$
i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi\rangle=\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle \Rightarrow-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}|\Psi\rangle=\hat{H}|\Psi\rangle
$$

Anderson, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 1499 (19755)

## AFDMC:

Rewrite Green's function in order to change the quadratic dependence on spin \& isospin operators to a linear one by introducing Hubbard-Stratonovich auxiliary fields

I Gandolfi et al., PRC 79, 054005 (2009)

## A comparison of some ab-initio approaches

Compare different many-body techniques using the same NN interaction (Argonne family) to find the sources of discrepancies \& ultimately determine "systematic error" associated to the nuclear EoS predicted by many-body theory


Tensor \& spin-orbit and their in-medium treatment are at the heart of most of the observed discrepancies

Phenomenological approaches

## Skyrme \& Gogny interactions

## $\diamond$ Skyrme interactions:

Effective zero-range density dependent interaction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{V}\left(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}\right)= & t_{0}\left(1+x_{0} \hat{P}_{\sigma}\right) \delta\left(\vec{r}_{12}\right)+\frac{t_{1}}{2}\left(1+x_{1} \hat{P}_{\sigma}\right)\left[\hat{k}^{\prime} \delta\left(\vec{r}_{12}\right)+\delta\left(\vec{r}_{12}\right) \hat{k}^{2}\right] \\
& +t_{2}\left(1+x_{2} \hat{P}_{\sigma}\right) \hat{k}^{\prime} \delta\left(\hat{r}_{12}\right) \hat{k}+\frac{t_{3}}{6}\left(1+x_{3} \hat{P}_{\sigma}\right) \rho^{\alpha}\left(\vec{R}_{12}\right) \delta\left(\hat{r}_{12}\right) \\
& +i W_{0}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}+\hat{\sigma}_{2}\right)\left[\hat{k}^{\prime} \times \delta\left(\hat{r}_{12}\right) \hat{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluation of the energy density in the HF approximation yields for nuclear matter a simple EDF in fractional powers of the number densities. Many parametrizations exist

Skyrme, Nucl. Phys. 9, 615 (1959)

## Gogny interactions:

Effective finite-range density dependent interaction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{V}\left(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}\right) & =\sum_{j=1,2} \exp \left(-\frac{r_{12}^{2}}{\mu_{j}^{2}}\right)\left(W_{j}+B_{j} \hat{P}_{\sigma}-H_{j} \hat{P}_{\tau}-M_{j} \hat{P}_{\sigma} \hat{P}_{\tau}\right) \\
& +t_{0}\left(1+x_{0} \hat{P}_{\sigma}\right) \rho^{\alpha}\left(\vec{R}_{12}\right) \delta\left(\hat{r}_{12}\right) \\
& +i W_{0}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}+\hat{\sigma}_{2}\right)\left[\hat{k} \times \delta\left(\hat{r}_{12}\right) \hat{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the finite-range terms the evaluation of the energy density is numerically more involved. Less number of parametrizations in the market

## Relativistic Mean Field Theory Approach to the nuclear EoS

RMF models are based on effective Lagrangian densities in which the baryon-baryon interactions are described in terms of meson exchanges. Considering only $\sigma, \omega \& \rho$ mesons, e.g.,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
L= & \sum_{B} \bar{\psi}_{B}\left(i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}-m_{B}+g_{\sigma B} \sigma-g_{\omega B} \gamma_{\mu} \omega^{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\rho B} \gamma_{\mu} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu}\right) \psi_{B} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma-m_{\sigma}^{2} \sigma^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \omega_{\mu v} \omega^{\mu v}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^{2} \omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \vec{\rho}_{\mu v} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu v}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^{2} \vec{\rho}_{\mu} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu}-\frac{1}{3} b m_{N}\left(g_{\sigma v} \sigma\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{4} c\left(g_{\sigma N} \sigma\right)^{4} \\
& +\sum_{\lambda} \bar{\psi}_{\lambda}\left(i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}-m_{\lambda}\right) \psi_{\lambda} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { Lepton contribution } \\
\text { (for neutron star matter) }
\end{array} \\
& \omega_{\mu v}=\partial_{\mu} \omega_{v}-\partial_{v} \omega_{\mu} ; \quad \vec{\rho}_{\mu v}=\partial_{\mu} \vec{\rho}_{v}-\partial_{v} \vec{\rho}_{\mu} \\
& B=n, p, \Lambda, \Sigma^{-}, \Sigma^{0}, \Sigma^{+}, \Xi^{-}, \Xi^{0} ; \quad \lambda=e^{-}, \mu^{-}
\end{array}\right]- \text {Hadron contribution }
$$

## Relativistic Mean Field Theory Approach to the nuclear EoS

The first step is to derive the Euler-Lagrangian equations of motion of the baryon \& meson fields
> Baryon field equations of motion

$$
\left[\gamma_{\mu}\left(i \partial^{\mu}-g_{\omega B} \omega^{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\rho B} \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\rho}^{\mu}\right)-\left(m_{B}-g_{o B} \sigma\right)\right] \psi_{B}=0
$$

> Meson field equations of motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\partial_{v} \partial^{v}+m_{\sigma}^{2}\right) \sigma=\sum_{B} g_{\sigma B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \psi_{B} \\
\left(\partial_{v} \partial^{v}+m_{\omega}^{2}\right) \omega_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{v} \omega_{\mu}=\sum_{B} g_{\omega B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{B} \\
\left(\partial_{v} \partial^{v}+m_{\rho}^{2}\right) \rho_{\mu}^{i}-\partial_{\mu} \partial^{v} \rho_{v}^{i}=\sum_{B} g_{\rho B} \bar{\psi}_{B} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Relativistic Mean Field Theory Approach to the nuclear EoS

The next step is to solve the Euler-Lagrange equations. This is done in the mean field approximation which consist in rerplacing the meson fields $\sigma, \omega, \rho$ by their expectation values $\langle\sigma\rangle,\langle\omega\rangle,<\rho>$ and the baryon currents by their ground state expectations generated by the presence of mean meson fields
$>$ Baryon field equations of motion

$$
\left[i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}-g_{\omega B} \gamma_{0}\left\langle\omega_{0}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} g_{\rho B} \gamma_{0}\left\langle\rho^{03}\right\rangle-m_{B}+g_{\sigma B}\langle\sigma\rangle\right] \psi_{B}=0
$$

> Meson field equations of motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\sigma\rangle=-b m_{N} g_{\sigma N}^{3}\langle\sigma\rangle^{2}-c m_{N} g_{\sigma N}^{4}\langle\sigma\rangle^{3}+\sum_{B} \frac{2 J_{B}+1}{2 \pi^{2}} g_{\sigma B} \int_{0}^{k_{F_{B}}} \frac{m_{B}-g_{\sigma B}\langle\sigma\rangle}{\sqrt{k^{2}+\left(m_{B}-g_{\sigma B}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{2}}} k^{2} d k \\
\left\langle\omega_{0}\right\rangle=\sum_{B} \frac{g_{\omega B}}{m_{\omega}^{2}} \frac{2 J_{B}+1}{6 \pi^{2}} b_{B} k_{F_{B}^{3}}^{3} ;\left\langle\omega_{k}\right\rangle=0 \\
\left\langle\rho_{03}\right\rangle=\sum_{B} \frac{g_{\rho B}}{m_{\rho}^{2}} I_{3 B} \frac{2 J_{B}+1}{6 \pi^{2}} b_{B} k_{F_{B}}^{3} ;\left\langle\rho_{k 3}\right\rangle=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## Relativistic Mean Field Theory Approach to the nuclear EoS

The EoS (energy density \& pressure) can then be obtained from the energy-momentum tensor

$$
T^{\mu v}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{i}\right)} \partial^{v} \phi_{i}-\eta^{\mu v} L
$$

whose expectation value in the rest mass frame is diagonal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & p
\end{array}\right) \\
& \varepsilon=-\langle L\rangle+\left\langle\bar{\psi} \gamma_{0} k^{0} \psi\right\rangle \\
& p=\langle L\rangle+\frac{1}{3}\left\langle\bar{\psi} \gamma_{i} k^{i} \psi\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Relativistic Mean Field Theory Approach to the nuclear EoS

Using the Lagrangian density of the present theory, we have
$>$ Energy density

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & =\frac{1}{3} b m_{N}\left(g_{\sigma N}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{4} c m_{N}\left(g_{\sigma N}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{4}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^{2}\langle\sigma\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^{2}\left\langle\omega_{0}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^{2}\left\langle\rho_{03}\right\rangle^{2} \\
& +\sum_{B} \frac{2 J_{B}+1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{F B}} \sqrt{k^{2}+\left(m_{B}+g_{\sigma B}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{2}} k^{2} d k+\sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{F \lambda}} \sqrt{k^{2}+m_{\lambda}^{2}} k^{2} d k
\end{aligned}
$$

> Pressure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=-\frac{1}{3} b m_{N}\left(g_{\sigma N}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{3}-\frac{1}{4} c m_{N}\left(g_{\sigma N}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{4}-\frac{1}{2} m_{\sigma}^{2}\langle\sigma\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^{2}\left\langle\omega_{0}\right\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^{2}\left\langle\rho_{03}\right\rangle^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \sum_{B}^{2 J_{B}+1} 2 \int_{0}^{k_{F_{B}}} \frac{k^{4} d k}{\sqrt{k^{2}+\left(m_{B}+g_{\sigma B}\langle\sigma\rangle\right)^{2}}}+\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{F \lambda}} \frac{k^{4} d k}{\sqrt{k^{2}+m_{\lambda}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Relativistic Mean Field Theory Approach to the nuclear EoS

A final comment on the coupling constants
$>$ The nucleon coupling constants $g_{\sigma N}, g_{\omega N}, g_{\rho N}, b \& c$ are constrained by the empirical values of density $\rho_{0}$, energy per particle $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{A}$, incompressibility modulus K , symmetry energy $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sym }} \&$ effective mass $\mathrm{m}^{*}$ at saturation
$>$ The hyperon coupling constants $g_{\sigma Y}, g_{\omega Y}, g_{\rho Y}$ are constrained by: the binding energy of $\Lambda$ hyperon in nuclear matter, hypernuclear levels \& compact star properties (mass)

Assuming that all hyperons in the baryon octet have the same coupling, the hyperon couplings can be expressed as:

$$
x_{\sigma}=\frac{g_{\sigma Y}}{g_{\sigma N}}, \quad x_{\omega}=\frac{g_{\omega Y}}{g_{\omega N}}, \quad x_{\rho}=\frac{g_{\rho Y}}{g_{\rho N}}
$$

## A comparison of phenomenological models

Proliferation of phenomenological models predicting different SM \& NM EoS


Few years ago M. Dutra et al., (PRC 90, 055203 (2014)) have analyzed 263 parametrizations of 7 different types of RMF imposing constraints from SM, PNM \& Symmetry Energy and its derivatives. Similar analysis was done for 240 Skyrme forces by M. Dutra et al., (PRC 85, 035201 (2012)). In both cases a few number of parametrizations passed the stringent tests imposed

## Other phenomenological models

## ४ Quark Meson Coupling model:

Closely related with the RMF. Nucleons are considered a bound states of quarks which couple with mesons in the surrounding medium


```
Downum et al., Phys. Lett. B 638, 455 (2006)
```


## Barcelona-Catania-Paris-Madrid EDF:

EDF constructed by parametrizing BHF results obtained with realistic NN interactions. The addition of appropiate surface \& spin-orbit contributions proves an excellent description of finite nuclei

```
I Baldo et al., PRC 87, 064305 (2013)
```


## Other:

$\checkmark$ Density-dependent separable model (SMO)
$\checkmark$ Three-range Yukawa (M3Y) interactions


Rikovska Stone, PRC 65, 064312 (2002) Nakada, PRC 68, 014316 (2003)

## For further reading

An excellent monographs on this the nuclear methods and the nuclear EoS and for interested
 readers is:


Other interesting reviews are:Oertel, Hempel, Klahn \& Typel, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 015007 (2017)
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