Status of the ALICE Fixed Target project

L. Massacrier^{*} for the ALICE-FT study group *Laboratoire de Physique des 2 infinis Irène Joliot Curie (IJCLab)





FTE@LHC-STRONG2020 Workshop, 22-24th June 2022, CERN

The ALICE Fixed Target project

- □ Fixed-target setup in ALICE (c.m.s energy $\sqrt{s} = 115$ GeV for p beam and $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72$ GeV for Pb beam)
 - Proton(/Pb) beam halo channelled with a bent crystal on a retractable solid target (C,W, Ti...) in ALICE
 - > Backward c.m.s rapidity coverage with forward detectors in the lab thanks to the boost
 - ♦ Access to high Feynman- x_F domain (partons with $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ in the target)
 - Target versatility from light to heavy target
 - > Outstanding luminosities as large as in the collider case
 - Target location identified between ~ [485;525] cm upstream of IP2 (ALICE A side)



ALICE (A-side) in Run4



The ALICE Fixed Target project

Two main physics goals identified with an unpolarized fixed-target set-up (see <u>Phys. Rept. 911 (2021) 1-83</u>):

- Advance our understanding of the large-x gluon, antiquark and heavy-quark content in the nucleon and nucleus (structure of nucleon and nuclei at large-x, gluon EMC effect in nuclei, intrinsic charm in nucleon)
 - Observables: Drell-Yan, antiproton, D mesons...
 - Working on the consolidation of the physics case for the proton beam
 - \rightarrow work still needed to demonstrate the feasibility of Pb beam extraction with bent crystal
- Study heavy-ion collisions between SPS and RHIC energies towards large rapidities (longitudinal expansion of QGP formation, collectivity in small systems with heavy quarks, factorization of CNM effects)
 - Solution control of the second second

Main strengths of the ALICE detector in fixed-target mode

□ Large rapidity coverage

- ALICE muon arm (+ future MFT) access the mid- to backward- rapidity region (y_{c.m.s}<0)
 - Quarkonium detection down to zero p_T
 - Rejection of background from π and K decays thanks to the absorber : asset for Drell-Yan studies at low energy
- ALICE central barrel probes very backward region (unique wrt to LHCb)
 - Excellent PID capabilities, particle detection and identification down to low p_T
 - Caveat: For Z_{target} << 0,ALICE central barrel coverage shifts toward mid-rapidity



Main strengths of the ALICE detector in fixed-target mode

- □ Large rapidity coverage
- > End of phase space slightly outside LHCb acceptance for J/ψ and D mesons



(1) pp and pA collisions in fixed target mode (LHCb)(2) PbA collisions in fixed target mode (LHCb)

5

Main strengths of the ALICE detector in fixed-target mode





- ALICE can operate with good performance in a high multiplicity environment
- □ Multiplicities in A–A collisions in FT mode always smaller than the multiplicity in Pb–Pb collisions (centrality 0-10%) in collider mode at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.5$ TeV, in the ALICE acceptance
- □ Multiplicities in most central fixed target Pb–Xe / Pb–W collisions above multiplicity in Pb–Pb collider events for $\eta_{lab} > 2$ (centrality 40-50%), $\eta_{lab} > 3.5$ (centrality 30-40%)
- Access to most central fixed FT A–A collisions should be possible with ALICE (if reasonable interaction rate)
- ALICE could potentially devote significant data taking time to a FT programme with the proton beam
 - \rightarrow Large integrated luminosities
 - \rightarrow Several target types
- □ Possibility for low magnetic field runs (0.2T in L3)

Consolidation of the physics case with the proton beam

• Ongoing simulations for several probes with realistic TPC performance for displaced vertex (Run 3 software)

New studies for the crystal location upstream of ALICE ______ See talk from M. Patecki

• New design of the target to comply with the motion of the ITS during EYETS and impact on future FOCAL detector

Starting to work on the writing of the Letter Of Intent of the project

 \rightarrow focussing on few observables with the proton beam : antiproton, D mesons...



IMPLEMENTATION AND ACHIEVABLE LUMINOSITIES

8

Fixed-Target implementation in ALICE

Beam splitted thanks to a bent crystal + a solid target inside **ALICE:**

- \succ Halo particles deflected by a bent crystal (~100 m upstream ALICE) sent onto an internal solid target in the ALICE cavern
- \succ Particles not interacting with the target need to be absorbed
- Optimization of the crystal location to maximize the number of particles on target
- □ Investigation of the available space upstream of ALICE for possible crystal location
- Computation of loss maps
- Positionning of the absorbers downstream to absorb the noninteracting halo

Work from M. Patecki



New studies for the crystal location

- Proton beam collimation studies performed (loss maps, positioning of crystal system and of absorbers) and integration studies
- Best performance and easier integration: $z_{crystal} = 3259 \text{ m}$
- Deflected halo nicely collimated, at least 4 mm away from the main beam at target position (for safety reason)
- Beam optics require adjustment of phase advance to get higher number of Protons on Target (PoT)
- Expected PoT in Run 4: 10⁶ p/s as a minimal limit in parasitic mode, equivalent to, for 1cm target length:
 - L = 1.1/pb/year in pC
 - L = 0.6/pb/year in pTi and pW
 - Ongoing studies to increase PoT
- Lead beam studies about to start



space availability at z = 3259 m



M. Patecki, ICFA HB2021 proceedings

See talk from M. Patecki

10

Work from M. Patecki

Achievable luminosities considering ALICE detector rate limitations

Phys. Rep. 911 (2021) 1-83

Target			ALICE							
			proton beam ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 115 \text{ GeV}$)				Pb beam ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72 \text{ GeV}$)			
			L	σ_{inel}	Inel	∫L	L	σ_{inel}	Inel	∫L
					rate				rate	
			$[cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$		[kHz]		$[cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$		[kHz]	
Internal gas target	Gas-Jet	\mathbf{H}^{\uparrow}	4.3×10^{30}	39 mb	168	43 pb ⁻¹	5.6×10^{26}	1.8 b	1	0.56 nb ⁻¹
		H ₂	2.6×10^{31}	39 mb	1000	$0.26 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	2.8×10^{28}	1.8 b	50	28 nb ⁻¹
		\mathbf{D}^{\uparrow}	4.3×10^{30}	72 mb	309	43 pb ⁻¹	5.6×10^{26}	2.2 b	1.2	0.56 nb^{-1}
		³ He [↑]	8.5×10^{30}	117 mb	1000	85 pb ⁻¹	2.0×10^{28}	2.5 b	50	20 nb ⁻¹
		Xe	7.7×10^{29}	1.3 b	1000	7.7 pb ⁻¹	8.1×10^{27}	6.2 b	50	8.1 nb ⁻¹
Beam splitting	Unpol- arised solid target	C (658 µm)	3.7×10^{30}	271 mb	1000	37 pb ⁻¹	-	-	-	-
		C (5 mm)	-	-	-	-	5.6×10^{27}	3.3 b	18	5.6 nb ⁻¹
		Ti (515 μm)	1.4×10^{30}	694 mb	1000	14 pb ⁻¹	-	-	_	-
		Ti (5 mm)	-	-	-	-	2.8×10^{27}	4.7 b	13	2.8 nb ⁻¹
		W <mark>(184 μm)</mark>	5.9×10^{29}	1.7b	1000	5.9 pb^{-1}	-	-	-	-
		W(5 mm)	-	-	_	-	3.1×10^{27}	6.9 b	21	3.1 nb ⁻¹

Assumptions:

	proton beam	lead beam		
Number of bunches in the LHC	2808	592		
Number of particles per bunch	1.15×10^{11}	7×10^{7}		
LHC Revolution frequency [Hz]	11245			
Particle flux in the LHC [s ⁻¹]	3.63×10^{18}	4.66×10^{14}		
LHC yearly running time [s]	107	10 ⁶		
Nominal energy of the beam [TeV]	7	2.76		
Fill duration considered [h]	10	5		
Usable particle flux in the halo (when relevant) $[s^{-1}]$	5×10^{8}	10 ⁵		

□ ALICE runs the full year in fixed target mode

Maximum readout rate considered 1MHz in pp/pA collisions and 50kHz in PbA

- □ Proton flux to be (re)considered from recent studies: 10⁶ p/s
- Decrease of the flux can be compensated by increasing the target thickness

eg: pC (Φ = 10⁶ p/s, length = 1cm, L_{int} = 1.1pb⁻¹), pTi/pW (Φ = 10⁶ p/s, length = 1cm, L_{int} ~ 0.6 pb⁻¹),

Extraction of Pb beam with bent crystal needs further studies (crystal location (primary/secondary/tertiary halo), composition in terms of species of the channelled ion beam...)
Phys. Lett. B703 (2011) 547–551 (UA9 studies with Pb beam)



High-x physics: Drell-Yan to probe the nucleon structure

- DY measurement can constrain the valence and light sea quark PDFs at large-x
- □ Measurement of DY pairs in the ALICE central barrel would allow measurement up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ for intermediate mass Drell-Yan pairs
- Same reach in pA with Drell-Yan to constrain valence and see quark nPDFs



Antiproton production for CR physics

- Antiproton production in pH, pA collisions : important input for astrophysics (Dark Matter searches)
- Constrain models for secondary antiproton production in interstellar medium and be able to confirm excess in data (AMS)
 - > p/⁴He/¹²C/¹⁴N/¹⁶O/... (cosmic ray) + H (at rest) → antiproton of large E
 - > Equivalent to: p (7 TeV beam) + p/⁴He/¹²C/¹⁴N/¹⁶O/... (at rest) \rightarrow antiproton of small E
 - Complementary measurement with respect to LHCb



Minimum bias pp collisions scaled to pC
 "ALICE-like" detector performances (central barrel)
 Z_{target} = - 4.7m
 Yearly luminosity : L_{int} = 1.1pb⁻¹ :



ALICE CB is accessing the very low energy domain for antiproton production Large yearly yields expected

See talk from C.Van Hulse

Antiproton subthreshold production in pA collisions

Work from A. Kurepin

x > I kinematically forbidden region

Measure the cross section for antiproton production in pA fixed target collisions in a kinematically forbidden region
 Estimate the subthreshold production of superheavy particles (~ 10 TeV) with LHC Pb-Pb collisions and search for new physics

□ Measurements from 3-20 GeV/c possible in the TPC

90° $\varphi_{c.m}$ 90° $\phi_{c.m}$ 139° 175° 166° 166° 139° 175° P_t (GeV P_t (GeV) 20 20 10 10 Blue line x = 1Red line x = 2-3 -2 -1 -3 -2 0 -1 -4 0 $\eta_{c.m}$ $\eta_{c.m}$ Target coverage, x = 2Target coverage, x = I

Heavy flavour production in pA collisions

Work from B.Trzeciak

- Study large-x gluon nPDFs [assuming modification of nPDF is the dominant Cold Nuclear Matter effect, also need pH reference]
 Intrinsic Charm in light nucleus ? Phys. Lett. B. 783 (2018) 287-293
- □ Target Z = 4.7m, 1 cm long solid target (C,Ti,W), $-3.5 < y^{D}_{CMS} < -2.3$
- \Box D⁰ \rightarrow π^+K^- , BR = 3.89%, AxEff = 2%
- □ Precise measurement up to $p_T \sim 4$ GeV/c, x_2 coverage 0.15 0.55 [Note for y_{lab} =1.3, $y_{c.m.s}$ = -3.5, $x_2 \sim 0.74$]



D^0 meson R_{CP} in pA collisions

Work from B.Trzeciak

□ Study of CNM effects and collectivity in small systems with simultaneous measurements of D meson R_{CP} and v₂ in different systems
 □ Target z = - 4.7m, 1 cm long solid target (C,Ti,W), -3.5 < y^D_{CMS} < -2.3, D⁰ → π⁺K⁻, BR = 3.89%, AxEff = 2%
 □ Central bin : 0-10%, peripheral bin : 60-100%,



For 0-10% centrality

$$\int L_{p-C} \approx 92 \text{ nb}^{-1}$$

$$\int L_{p-Ti} \approx 43 \text{ nb}^{-1}$$

$$\int L_{p-W} \approx 47 \text{ nb}^{-1}$$

Precise R_{CP} measurement up to 3 GeV/c
 Similar precision expected in 10-20, 20-40% centrality intervals

D^0 meson v_2 in pA collisions (centrality 0-10%)

Work from B.Trzeciak

□ Study of CNM effects and collectivity in small systems with simultaneous measurements of D meson R_{CP} and v₂ in different systems
 □ Target z = - 4.7m, 1 cm long solid target (C,Ti,W), -3.5 < y^D_{CMS} < -2.3, D⁰ → π⁺K⁻, BR = 3.89%, AxEff = 2%
 □ Event plane resolution 0.2



 \Box Precise flow measurements up to $p_T \sim 3$ GeV/c

Heavy Ion physics case : rapidity scan to search for QCD critical point

- Advance the understanding of hadronic matter properties under extreme conditions (QGP) and explore the phase diagramme of nuclear matter thanks to a rapidity scan down to the target rapidity
- Systematic studies of the medium properties with three experimental degrees of freedom : rapidity scan, different colliding systems, centrality dependence
- Rapidity scan at 72 GeV with FT@LHC can complement the RHIC beam energy scan from 62.4 GeV down to 7.7 GeV (at y_{cms} ~ 0)
- A novel way to search for the QCD critical point and probe the nature of the phase transition to confined partons



V. Begun, D. Kikola, V. Vovchenko, D. Wielanek, PRC 98 (2018) 034905

19

Heavy Ion physics case

QGP macroscopic properties : temperature dependence of η/s of the created matter

 ❑ Study the longitudinal dynamics of HI collisions → information on the initial stages of the collision

Quarkonium suppression in medium



For an overview

See talk from B.Trzeciak

INTEGRATION

Possible target location



Integration constraints and impact of the fixed target setup on FOCAL

- □ Integration constraints :
 - > Need to isolate the pipe region where the target will be located
 - > Take into account ITS removal constraints during winter shutdown
 - > Need pumping system because of target outgassing and bake-out device
 - > RF shielding probably needed (need further impedance studies)
 - Avoid shadow to FOCAL detector (LOI presented to LHCC in Jun. 2020)



CERN-LHCC-2020-009



Work from C.Van Hulse

Current valve in the acceptance of FOCAL detector with strong impact on photon interaction probability

ALICE Fixed-Target - L. Massacrier

18

Integration constraints and impact of the fixed target setup on FOCAL

Work from C.Van Hulse

- Proposed solution : target system connected to main LHC beam pipe via a transverse beam pipe, and vacuum valve moved on the transverse pipe
- Vacuum valve (for target system vacuum isolation when target is not used) better placed at 36 cm (for Z_{target} = -4.85m) or at 39 cm (for Z_{target} = -5.25m) from the beam pipe, in the transverse plane, to reduce the material budget in front of FOCAL



Optimization of the target design

Target system

- step motor to achieve a better movement resolution
- retractable target with linear motion
- target actuator lies in a vacuum chamber and moves thanks to a step motor that compresses a bellow
- vacuum valve closed when target is fully retracted
- target, crosses and bellow can be removed during EYETS

One valve solution

- vacuum related task must be performed in the cavern
- pumps switch on before opening the valve
- moving speed: 10 mm/s
- accuracy: 10 μm
- estimated cost: 17 kEuros (without vacuum eq.)



Work from K. Pressard

Integration of the target in the ALICE mini-frame



mini-frame structure

Integration of the target in the ALICE mini-frame



ITS support in open position at Z ~ -5m, to allow for interventions on the ITS during EYETS \rightarrow Need positionning of the target system along the horizonthal plane

Integration of the target in the ALICE mini-frame



Work from K. Pressard, C. Gargiulo

Orientation of the vacuum valve also matters

- Possible ITS movements during EYETS with ITS support (in open position in light green) around the beam pipe: target system needs to be in horizontal plane (target system vacuum valve in yellow circle)
- Conflict with service in mini-frame if target system is too long and with FoCal if T-shape too short
- Ongoing integration studies (in contact with Corrado Gargiulo):
 - valve size reduced
 - two target positions z = 485 and 505 mm considered with different T-shape lengths

TPC PERFORMANCE IN FIXED-TARGET MODE

Evaluation of the TPC performance in FT mode



Realistic simulations for charged particles with the TPC





Fig. 19: TPC track finding efficiency for primary particles in pp and Pb–Pb collisions (simulation). The efficiency does not depend on the detector occupancy.

Physics Procedia 37 (2012) 434 – 441



Work from R. Haque

See talk from R. Haque

 Charged particles : efficiency and p_T resolution sufficent for analysis

> Λ : efficiency and p_T resolution sufficent for analysis (without extra vertex detector)

D⁰: TPC vertex resolution not sufficient to use secondary vertex method for analysis. Investigating combinatorial background method, reduced target size and constraints on beam spot position for tracking

31

Conclusion

Consolidation of the physics case with the proton beam : large-x physics (focus on antiproton, D meson, Drell-Yan) and « QGP-like» features in small systems (collectivity for HF)

□ New results from fast simulations with realistic TPC performance

□ Implementation of the fixed target in ALICE:

- > Optimization of the crystal location/integration. New evaluation of particle flux on target
- > Integration solutions proposed to comply with FOCAL and ITS motion constraints during EYETS

□ Next steps:

- > Crystal channeling: additional collimators, impedance, Pb beam channeling
- > Target system: integration in mini-frame, thermal study, impedance/vacuum study, geometry and motion system
- > Physics performance with realistic detector conditions and impact on theory
- > LOI in ALICE (2022) \rightarrow Aim for installation during LS3

BACKUP

Fast simulations for $\pmb{\Lambda}$

Status of the ALICE fixed target project - L. Massacrier



Tracking Efficieny for Λ :

- ★ Efficiency X Acceptance shown for $DL \ge$ 5cm, and M_{inv} cut: $M_{pdg} \pm 10 MeV$.
- ★ Efficiency is lower than Λ from collider events. \rightarrow But sufficient for analysis.

 $p_{\rm T}$ Resolution of Λ :

- \star *p*_T resolution estimated from Λ reconstructed with smeared daughters.
- ★ Pt resolution sufficient for analysis,
 → without any dedicated tracker for FT.
- ★ Caveats: The Λ efficiency should also depend on resolution of Sec. (V0-)Vertex, purity/mis-identification of daughters (π and p) → has not been estimated!

Note: Primary V_z resolution = 2.4 cm for FT.



Work from R. Haque, presented at the ALICE upgrade week: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1142976/</u>

Fast simulations for D^0

Observations:

Tracking Efficieny of D^0 with DL cut:

- ★ Top Fig: EfficiencyXAcceptance shown for varying DL cuts (w/o M_{inv} cut).
- ★ Most of D⁰ decays withing target (thickness ~1cm).
- ★ Conclusion: sec. vertex method not feasible for $D^0 \rightarrow$ use combinatorial method.

Tracking Efficieny of D^0 w/o DL cut:

- ★ EfficiencyXAcceptance is sufficient for analysis.
- ★ Combinatorial background study for S/B (with bulk π ,K from models) → ongoing!
- ★ Caveats: The D^0 results should also depend on on the purity/mis-identification of daughter π and $K \rightarrow$ not estimated!

Note: Primary V_z resolution = 2.4 cm for FT. ALICE Efficiency: JHEP 2019(2019)92; JHEP 01(2022)174



Work from R. Haque, presented at the ALICE upgrade week: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1142976/</u>

Realistic simulations for charged particles with the TPC

For Charged particles:

- □ System: proton on Tungsten (W).
- \Box Energy: $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 115$ GeV/c.
- Generator: HIJING (w. Run-2 software).
- □ Reconstruction: with O2 (Run-3 software).
- \Box No. of Events: ~5000 events.
- \Box Particles: h^{\pm} (-2.2 $\leq \eta \leq$ -1.2).

For Λ and D^0 particles:

- □ Fast Decay simulation \rightarrow we can decide if wee need full O^2 simulation for Λ and D^0 .
- □ Generated ∧ and D^0 : Flat in p_T with -2.2 ≤ η ≤ -1.2 and 0 ≤ ϕ ≤ 2 π .
- \Box No. of Fast sim particle: ~200K (per set).
- Decayed with TGenPhaseSpace (Root class).
- □ Topological cuts: Decay length, M_{inv}.
- Sec.Vertex resolution not simulated.



Work from R. Haque, presented at the ALICE upgrade week: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/even</u> <u>t/1142976/</u>

Fast simulations for $\pmb{\Lambda}$

Using inputs from realistic charged particle simulations with the TPC

 \Box QA plots of the kinematics of the Λ and Λ decays



Work from R. Haque, presented at the ALICE upgrade week: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1142976/</u>

Fast simulations for D^0

-0.5

-0.5



Work from R. Haque, presented at the ALICE upgrade week: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1142976/</u>

Fast simulations for $\pmb{\Lambda}$

Observations:

Tracking Efficieny for Λ :

- ★ Efficiency X Acceptance decreases with increasing decay length cut.
- ★ With larger decay length cuts, efficiency decreases. → Still sufficient for analysis.

 $p_{\rm T}$ Resolution of Λ :

- ★ $p_{\rm T}$ resolution does not depend on decay length cut, → only depends on N_{cls}.
- ★ Pt resolution sufficient for analysis, → without any dedicated tracker for FT.
- ★ Caveats: The Λ efficiency should also depend on resolution of Sec. (V0-)Vertex, purity/mis-identification of daughters (π and p) → has not been estimated!

Note: Primary V_z resolution = 2.4 cm for FT.



Work from R. Haque, presented at the ALICE upgrade week: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1142976/</u>

□ Some target requirements to conduct the full heavy-ion programme foreseen:

- Have a reference system, ie. a target with lowest possible atomic number (ideally pH):
 - ✓ Solid H probably not compatible with LHC vacuum
 - \checkmark Lighter target that could be envisonned is probably Beryllium (Z = 4)
- Have good target versatily : take data with different target species / be able to change frequently the target
- Have target with large atomic numbers
 - \checkmark W might be possible if cooled
 - \checkmark Pb probably not usable because of too low melting temperature
- > Other possible target species: Ca, C, Os, Ir, Ti, Ni, Cu
- Target holder and other elements : stainless steel
- Retractable target : active position at 8 mm from the beam axis, parking position out of the beam pipe



PBC WG meeting, 5-6 Nov.2019, CERN

D meson in pp collider events

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6873-6



Realistic simulations for charged particles, Λ and D⁰ with the TPC

Observations:

Tracking Efficieny for charged tracks:

- ★ Efficiency X Acceptance shown for Target positions = 495 cm.
- ★ Efficiency is similar for two positions.
- ★ Fit with PoIN and Log function → for continuous value for Fast decay sim.
- $p_{\rm T}$ Resolution of charged tracks:
 - ★ $p_{\rm T}$ resolution estimated with $N_{c/s} \ge 70$.
 - \star $p_{\rm T}$ resolution does not depend on target position.
 - ★ $p_{\rm T}$ resolution is smaller than collider tracks. → collider tracks has higher N_{cls} .
 - ★ Fit with PoIN and exp function → for continuous value for Fast decay sim.



Charged particles p_T resolution in the TPC



TPC efficiency for collider events



Fig. 19: TPC track finding efficiency for primary particles in pp and Pb–Pb collisions (simulation). The efficiency does not depend on the detector occupancy.