

Present: Mar Capeans (CERN), Matteo Cavalli-Sforza (IFAE), Kalevi Ekman (Aalto University), Sijbrand de Jong (Radboud, Chair), Michela Magas (ICF); Oday Darwich*, Oscar Lillelokken, Markus Nordberg, Saku Makinen*, Claudia Marcelloni, Romain Muller, Jani Sarnikorpi, Tuuli Utriainen*, Pablo Garcia Tello, Laetitia Veyrat, Laura Wirtavuori.

Excused: Julian Birkinshaw (LBS), Erika Garutti (Hamburg), Ezri Tarazi (Technion).

Meeting agenda: <https://indico.cern.ch/event/1122147/>

*part-time

1. Concerning the IdeaSquare activities in 2021, ISAB-G expresses its appreciation how IdeaSquare activities have progressed despite the many challenges it has been facing during 2021, in particular due to the enduring COVID-19 pandemic. IdeaSquare demonstrates a clear focus and is executing an articulated set of selected choices. ISAB-G thanked the IdeaSquare personnel for their achievements and for clear and informative presentations.
2. Concerning the updated planning for the coming years, ISAB-G was pleased to see that ATTRACT Phase-2 is now approved and provides the main funding stream for IdeaSquare activities, as laid out in the Strategy Plan endorsed in 2021.
3. ISAB finds the longer-term prospects of IdeaSquare beyond 2024 worrisome. It considers the current strategy of mainly relying on ATTRACT funding as risky, even if understandable under current circumstances. ISAB **recommends** that IdeaSquare updates its longer-term resources planning beyond 2024 (i.e. the end of ATTRACT Phase 2, the main source of income) and discusses it internally with its hierarchy. ISAB strongly encourages IdeaSquare also to seek other external funding opportunities.
4. Given the important role of ATTRACT in the innovation scene in Europe, ISAB-G **recommends** that an update on ATTRACT and the new active role of European Research Infrastructures as innovation drivers within it, is presented to the Finance Committee.
5. If, for legal reasons, the GRADE framework is not suitable for supporting ATTRACT-related initiatives, ISAB-G recommends either to populate GRADE with new activities that are unrelated to ATTRACT (e.g., Green Village, see below), or to consider closing it down altogether.
6. ISAB-G supports the presented Green Village initiative, which visibly will connect IdeaSquare with future CERN strategies as supported by the CERN management, and **recommends** incorporating new technologies from the outside world inside CERN, therefore offering to re-vitalize one of the key ideas behind GRADE.
7. Being impressed by the achievements of IdeaSquare in Crowd4sdg, ISAB-G recognises that it only tangentially touches on the IdeaSquare aims and strategy. Nevertheless, because of its innovative role model, ISAB-G **recommends** that IdeaSquare continues developing its ideas in this respect (focusing on citizen science for *innovation* through applying its CBI methodology), available resources so permitting.

8. In its efforts to further strengthen the role and visibility of IdeaSquare in supporting CERN in its future plans, ISAB-G **recommends** that IdeaSquare hosts a high-level hybrid Sprint event to bring together experts from several different domains, including the EC and other European policy makers related to, e.g., the Bauhaus initiative and Cohesion Funds.
9. ISAB-G **recommends** drafting a Roadmap on how IdeaSquare will support the goals of Science Gateway, to avoid unintendedly becoming a “simple” extension for it. ISAB-G considers that there may be a continuum from Science Gateway displays, via interactive experience and discovery activities, to creative experiments at IdeaSquare, where IdeaSquare can profit from, if the interface is clearly defined. ISAB-G **recommends** that the identified security and access questions related to IdeaSquare now being on the public side of CERN, are addressed as part of this Roadmap, as much as possible without compromising the inviting character of the IdeaSquare building.