# Electromagnetic Design of Accelerator Magnets and ROXIE User's Course

Theory 1

Stephan Russenschuck, CERN, 2022



## Timetable

Week	Мо	Ти	We	Th	Fr
15 (03.04)		Introduction, lumped circuits	Vector fields, field harmonics	14:00 Line currents and coil design	Magnet X- sections
16 (10.04)	Coil-ends (Brookhaven session)				
17 (17.04)			Optimization techniques	X-sec optimization	Numerical field comp., BEM- FEM
18 (24.04)	Yoke design	Integrated quant./ Dynamic effects / Computations		14:00 Diff. geom. /Coil ends, CCT / Cos theta ends	
19 (01.05)		Quench simulation	Demands and future plans/ Quench simulation (TBC)		

Perhaps a Master Class later in the year

Faraday paradoxes, coil magnetometers, stretched-wire measurements, CCT, Tori, ROXIE 22



## Iron dominated

#### Coil dominated

Normal conducting

Superferric Permanent Class 1 magnet large area, "medium" field Class 2 Small area high field high current density





$$S = R\left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right] \approx \frac{R\phi^2}{8} = \frac{L^2}{8R} = \frac{eB_0L^2}{8p}$$





String of LHC Magnets in the Tunnel (Class 2 Magnets)

# ${p}_{GeV/C} \approx 0.3 {Q}_{e} {R}_{m} {B_{0}}_{T}$



High field and high current density



## LEP Dipole (Iron Dominated Magnet)



 $N \cdot I = 4480 \text{ A}$   $B_1 = 0.13 \text{ T}$   $B_s = 0.042 \text{ T}$  Fill.fac. 0.27



## H Magnet (LHC transfer line)











 $N \cdot I = 96000 \text{ A}$   $B_1 = 1.18 \text{ T}$   $B_s = 0.26 \text{ T}$ 



#### Window Frame Magnet



## $N \cdot I = 360 \text{ kA}, B_{t} = 2.0 \text{ T}, B_{s} = 1.04 \text{ T}$



## $N \cdot I = 625 \text{ kA}, B_{\mathrm{t}} = 2.38 \text{ T}, B_{\mathrm{s}} = 1.36 \text{ T}$



## **Example: SIS 100 Magnets**





## Cos $\theta$ (Warm iron yoke) - Tevatron Dipole (Coil Dominated Magnet)



 $N \cdot I = 471000 \text{ A}$   $B_1 = 4.16 \text{ T}$   $B_s = 3.39 \text{ T}$ 



Notice the lower field in the iron yoke compared to the window frame



## LHC Coil Test Facility for LHC (Based on HERA/RHIC Magnet Technology)



 $N \cdot I = 960000 \text{ A}$   $B_1 = 8.33 \text{ T}$   $B_s = 7.77 \text{ T}$ 





## $N \cdot I = 2 \cdot 944$ kA, $B_t = 8.32$ T, $B_s = 7.44$ T)





 $N \cdot I = 2 \cdot 1034 \text{ kA}, B_{t} = 8.34 \text{ T}, B_{s} = 7.35 \text{ T}$ 



## ➔ Normal conducting magnets

- Important ohmic losses require water cooling
- Field is defined by the iron pole shape (max 1.5 T)
- Easy electrical and beam-vacuum interconnections
- Voltage drop over one coil of the MBW magnets = 22 V

## ➔ Superconducting magnets

- Field is defined by the coil layout
- Maximum field limited to 10 T (NbTi), 14 T (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn)
- Enormous electromagnetic forces (400 tons/m in MB for LHC)
- Quench detection and magnet protection system required
- Cryogenic installation (1.8 K)
- Electrical interconnections in cryo-lines
- Voltage drop on LHC magnet string (154 MB) 155 V



## ➔ Beam physics

- → Material science: Superconducting cable, Steel, Insulation
- → Mechanics and large-scale mechanical engineering
- ➔ Vacuum technology
- Cryogenics (Superfluid helium)
- Metrology and alignment
- → Field measurements
- Electrical engineering (Power supplies, leads, buswork, quench detection and magnet protection
- ➔ Analytical and numerical field computation



- ➔ Linear algebra
- ➔ Vector analysis
- ➔ Harmonic fields
- Green's functions and the method of images
- ➔ Complex analysis
- ➔ Differential geometry
- ➔ Numerical field computation
- ➔ Hysteresis modeling
- Coupled (thermo, magnetic, electric) systems
- ➔ Mathematical optimization

Stephan Russenschuck

Wiley-VCH

#### Field Computation for Accelerator Magnets

Analytical and Numerical Methods for Electromagnetic Design and Optimization





- ➔ Field harmonics
  - Toroidal harmonics
  - Pseudo-multipoles
- ➔ Coil Magnetometers
- ➔ Stretched-Wire Measurements
- ➔ Synchrotron Radiation
- ➔ Faraday Paradoxes
- → Iron-dominated magnets
  - Wigglers and Undulators
- ➔ Coil-dominated magnets
  - CCT Magnets



Wiley-VCH

## Field Simulation for Accelerator Magnets





## → Normal conducting (iron dominated) magnets

- Ideal pole shape known from potential theory
- One-dimensional (analytical) field computation for main field
- Commercial FEM software can be used as a black box (hysteresis modeling)

## → Superconducting (coil dominated) magnets

- Decoupling of coil and yoke optimization
- Accuracy of the field solution
- Modeling of the coils
- Filament magnetization
- Quench simulations



#### The CERN Field Computation Program ROXIE





9

## The LHC Magnet Zoo





## ➔ Automatic generation of coil and yoke geometries

- Features: Layers, coil-blocks, conductors, strands, holes, keys
- → Field computation specially suited for magnet design (BEM-FEM)
  - No meshing of the coil
  - No artificial boundary conditions
  - Higher order quadrilateral meshes, Parametric mesh generator
  - Dynamic effects (SC magnetization, quench)
- ➔ Mathematical optimization techniques
  - Genetic optimization, Pareto optimization, Search algorithms

## → CAD/CAM interfaces

Drawings, End-spacer design and manufacture



- ➔ Bug fixes
- ➔ Dynamic memory allocation
- Zonal harmonics for solenoid design
- ➔ K-values of search coils
- ➔ CCT magnets
- ➔ External HMO files (HyperMesh Interface)
- ➔ Wigglers and Undulators
- Platform-independent version
- ➔ Quench simulation update
- ➔ Python interface (post-processing, multiphysics, traceability)
- ➔ Material databases



## Rutherford (Roebel) Kabel, Strand, Nb-Ti Filament











## **Excitation Cycle**







### **Superconductor Properties**

- → Hard Superconductors (Type 2)
  - Magnetic field can penetrate
  - Transport current -> non-uniform flux distr.
  - Magnetization with hysteresis
- $\rightarrow$  Critical current density  $J_{c}$ 
  - De-pinning creates electric field
  - Current density at spec. electric field ( $E_c = 1 \mu V/cm$ )
- ➔ Critical surface
  - Dependence of  $J_c$  on T and B





## Superconducting Magnetization (Hysteresis Model)







## **Eddy Currents in Rutherford Cables**





## Field Generated by ISCC



Computation relying on empirical parameters such as RRR, and adjacent/transversal contact resistances in the cable



## **Quench Simulation (Multi-Physics, Multi-Scale)**









**Quench Simulation in ROXIE** 









## **Maxwell Equations**





## Faraday's Law (Inner Oriented Surface, Voltage along its Rim)



<u>д.</u>А

$$U(\partial \mathscr{A}) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Phi(\mathscr{A})$$

#### The potential to induce a voltage

B. Auchmann, S. Kurz and S. Russenschuck, "A Note on Faraday Paradoxes," in *IEEE Transactions* on *Magnetics*, vol. 50, no. 2, Feb. 2014







 $V_{\mathbf{m}}(\partial \mathscr{A}) = I(\mathscr{A})$ 

## Gauss Law (Outer Oriented Volume; Electric Charge that can be influenced)



#### The capacity to induce charge



Stephan Russenschuck, CERN TE-MSC-TM, 1211 Geneva 23

 $\Psi(\partial \mathscr{V}) = Q(\mathscr{V})$ 

#### Conservation of flux



$$\Phi(\partial \mathscr{V}) = 0$$



## Maxwell's Extension





## Maxwell's Equations in Global Form

Ampere
$$V_{\rm m}(\partial a) = I(a) + \frac{{\rm d}}{{\rm d}t}\Psi(a)$$
Faraday $U(\partial a) = -\frac{{\rm d}}{{\rm d}t}\Phi(a)$ Flux conservation $\Phi(\partial V) = 0$ Gauss $\Psi(\partial V) = Q(V)$ 

Conservation of charge / Kirchhoff law

$$V_{\mathsf{m}}(\partial(\partial V)) = 0 = I(\partial V) + \frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}t}Q(V)$$

In words: The current exiting a volume is equal to the negative rate of the charge in that volume



## Maxwell's Equations in Integral Form

$$\int_{\partial \mathscr{A}} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\mathscr{A}} \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{a} + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathscr{A}} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{a},$$
$$\int_{\partial \mathscr{A}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathscr{A}} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a},$$
$$\int_{\partial \mathscr{V}} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = 0,$$
$$\int_{\partial \mathscr{V}} \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = \int_{\mathscr{V}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}V.$$
$$U(\partial \mathscr{A})$$

$$\begin{split} V_{\mathbf{m}}(\partial \mathscr{A}) &= I(\mathscr{A}) + \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Psi(\mathscr{A}) \,, \\ U(\partial \mathscr{A}) &= -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Phi(\mathscr{A}) \,, \\ \Phi(\partial \mathscr{V}) &= 0 \,, \\ \Psi(\partial \mathscr{V}) &= Q(\mathscr{V}) \,. \end{split}$$



Global quantity	SI unit	Relation		SI unit	Field	
MMF	1 A	$V_{\rm m}(\mathscr{S})$	=	∫ <sub>𝒴</sub> H · dr	$1 {\rm A}{\rm m}^{-1}$	Magnetic field
Electric voltage	1 V	$U(\mathscr{S})$	=	∫ <sub>ℒ</sub> E · dr	$1\mathrm{V}\mathrm{m}^{-1}$	Electric field
Magnetic flux	1Vs	$\Phi(\mathscr{A})$	=	∫ <sub>⊿</sub> B · da	$1\mathrm{Vsm^{-2}}$	Magnetic flux density
Electric flux	1As	$\Psi(\mathscr{A})$	=	∫ <sub>⊿</sub> D · da	$1\mathrm{Asm^{-2}}$	Electric flux density
Electric current	1A	$I(\mathscr{A})$	=	∫ <sub>⊿</sub> J · da	$1  \text{A}  \text{m}^{-2}$	Electric current density
Electric charge	1As	$Q(\mathscr{V})$	=	$\int_{\mathscr{V}} \rho \cdot \mathrm{d}V$	$1  \mathrm{A  s  m^{-3}}$	Electric charge density



## Flux Tubes of Mother Earth (or what is a magnetic field)





## Erdmagnetfeld







## **Different Renderings of the Same Vector Field**









#### **Vector and Scalar Fields**

$$\mathbf{a} \,:\, \Omega \,\to\, \mathbb{R}^3 \,:\, \mathbf{r} \,\mapsto\, \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) :\, \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}) = (a^1(\mathbf{r}), a^2(\mathbf{r}), a^3(\mathbf{r}))$$

$$\mathbf{x}: \Omega \to \bigcup_{\mathscr{P} \in \Omega} T_{\mathscr{P}} \Omega : \mathscr{P} \mapsto \mathbf{x}(\mathscr{P})$$



(

- → Linear (vector) space structure
- Metric space (distance and angles)
- Origin and basis -> coordinate representation
- → Basis field by translation
- Field components are projections on this basis field

$$\phi\,:\,\Omega\,
ightarrow\,\mathbb{R}\,:\,\phi\,\mapsto\phi(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\Phi_i = \int_{\mathscr{A}_i} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathrm{d}a$$



$$\int_{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{t} \, ds = \int_{\tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da$$



Outer oriented by the current

$$\Phi_i = \int_{\mathscr{A}_i} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathrm{d}a$$



## Inner and Outer Oriented Surfaces

$$\int_{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{t} \, ds = \int_{\tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da$$

Embedding into oriented ambient

space (Origin, coordinates)





Inner oriented, because flux is a measure for the voltage that can be generated on the rim

$$\Phi_i = \int_{\mathscr{A}_i} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathrm{d}a$$



## The Right-Hand Rule or "Magnetic Discussion"



--- Bruno Touschek (1921-1978)



### Maxwell's House





$$\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}, \qquad \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}, \qquad \mathbf{J} = \varkappa \mathbf{E},$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Permeability:} & [\mu] = 1 \, \text{V} \, \text{s} \, \text{A}^{-1} \, \text{m}^{-1} = 1 \, \text{H} \, \text{m}^{-1}, \\ \text{Permittivity:} & [\epsilon] = 1 \, \text{A} \, \text{s} \, \text{V}^{-1} \, \text{m}^{-1}, \\ \text{Conductivity:} & [\varkappa] = 1 \, \text{A} \, \text{V}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1} = 1 \, \Omega^{-1} \, \text{m}^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Linear (field independent, homogeneous (position independent), lossless, isotropic (direction independent), stationary

$$\mu = \mu_r \mu_0, \qquad \varepsilon = \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0,$$
  
$$\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \,\mathrm{H\,m^{-1}}, \qquad \varepsilon_0 = 8.8542 \ldots \times 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{F\,m^{-1}},$$





 $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{H}) = \mu_0 (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{H})) ,$ 

CERN

#### **Nonlinear Iron Magnetization**



$$L\left(\frac{H}{a}\right) := \coth\left(\frac{H}{a}\right) - \left(\frac{a}{H}\right)$$
$$M(H) = M_{a}L\left(\frac{H}{a}\right) + M_{b}\tanh\left(\frac{|H|}{b}\right)L\left(\frac{H}{b}\right)$$

Wlodarski: Analytical description of magnetization curves, Physica B, Elsevier, 2005

#### Measured curve does not fulfill the smoothness requirements for M(B) and Newton-Raphson iterative solvers



### Surface Charge and (Fictitious) Surface Current



Thin layer with  $\rho_{mag}$   $\Delta Q = \Delta x \Delta y d \rho_{mag}$   $\rho_{mag} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $d \rightarrow 0$   $\sigma_{mag} = d \rho_{mag}$  $[\sigma_{mag}] = 1 \text{ V·s/m}^2$  Thin layer with J  $\Delta I = Jd\Delta l$   $J \to \infty \text{ and } d \to 0$   $\alpha = Jd$   $[\alpha] = 1 \text{ A} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$ 

#### **Fictitious quantities to define boundary values**



## **Continuity Conditions (1)**

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Applying Ampère's law } \int_{\partial \mathscr{A}} \mathbf{H} \\ \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} &= \int_{\mathscr{A}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{a} \text{ to the rectangular loop, yields for } \delta \to 0 \\ \int_{\mathscr{S}_2} \mathbf{H}_2 \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} + \int_{\mathscr{S}_1} \mathbf{H}_1 \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} &= \int_{\mathscr{S}} (\mathbf{H}_1 - \mathbf{H}_2) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} = - \int_{\mathscr{S}} (\mathbf{n} \times \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}, \end{array}$$

where the surface normal vector **n** points from  $\Omega_2$  to  $\Omega_1$  a







## **Continuity Conditions (2)**



$$\int_{\partial V} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = 0 \qquad \delta \to 0$$
$$\int_{a} \sigma_{\text{mag}} da = \int_{a} \mathbf{B}_{1} \cdot d\mathbf{a}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{2} \cdot d\mathbf{a}_{2}$$
$$= \int_{a} (\mathbf{B}_{1} - \mathbf{B}_{2}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{1} da$$

Holds for any surface a if

$$\sigma_{\text{mag}} = (\mathbf{B}_1 - \mathbf{B}_2) \cdot \mathbf{n}$$
$$= [\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}]_{12}$$

 $B_{n1} = B_{n2} \equiv (B_1 - B_2) \cdot n = 0 \equiv [B \cdot n]_{12} = 0$ 



#### Surface Current and Surface Charge





## **Continuity Conditions (3)**

No surface currents:







#### **Continuity at Iron Boundaries**



![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Stacking Factor for Yoke Laminations**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$H_{t}^{0} = H_{t}^{Fe} = \overline{H}_{t}$$

$$\overline{B}_{t} = \frac{1}{l_{Fe} + l_{0}} \left( l_{Fe} \mu \overline{H}_{t} + l_{0} \mu_{0} \overline{H}_{t} \right)$$

$$B_{z}^{0} = B_{z}^{Fe} = \overline{B}_{z}$$

$$\overline{H}_{z} = \frac{1}{l_{Fe} + l_{0}} \left( l_{Fe} \frac{\overline{B}_{z}}{\mu} + l_{0} \frac{\overline{B}_{z}}{\mu_{0}} \right)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{l_{Fe}}{l_{Fe} + l_{0}}$$

$$\overline{\mu}_{t} = \lambda \mu + (1 - \lambda) \mu_{0}$$

$$\overline{\mu}_{z} = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\mu_{0}} \right)^{-1}$$

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Main Field in Normal Conducting Dipole

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\int_{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da \,,$$
$$\int_{\mathscr{S}_{\text{iron}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{\mathscr{S}_0} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\tilde{\mathscr{A}}_{\text{coil}}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, da \,,$$
$$H_{\text{iron}} s_{\text{iron}} + H_0 \, s_0 = N \, I \,,$$
$$\frac{1}{\mu_0 \mu_r} B_{\text{iron}} s_{\text{iron}} + \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_0 \, s_0 = N \, I \,,$$
$$B_0 = \frac{\mu_0 N \, I}{s_0} \,.$$

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Gradient in Normal Conducting Quadrupole**

$$\int_{\partial \tilde{\mathscr{A}}} \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\mathscr{S}_0} \mathbf{H}_0 \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{\mathscr{S}_1} \mathbf{H}_1 \cdot d\mathbf{r} + \int_{\mathscr{S}_2} \mathbf{H}_2 \cdot d\mathbf{r} = NI.$$

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Dipole with Varying Cut-Section**

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} H_i s_i = N I$$

$$H_i = \frac{B_i}{\mu_i} = \frac{\Phi}{a_i \,\mu_i}$$

$$\Phi \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{s_i}{a_i \,\mu_i} = N \,I = V_{\mathsf{m}}$$

Ohm's law: 
$$I \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{s_i}{a_i \kappa_i} = U$$

$$NI = \Phi \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{s_i}{a_i \mu_i} = \Phi \left( \frac{s_0}{a_0 \mu_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{s_i}{a_i \mu_i} \right)$$

#### **Conclusion: Magnet with large air-gap is stabilized against variations in permeability**

![](_page_58_Picture_8.jpeg)

The Mass of the Iron Yoke

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $A = 2(h + s + 0.5\gamma p) (p + 2s + \gamma p) - 2h (p + 2s)$ 

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **Permanent Magnet Excitation**

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$H_0 = \sqrt{\frac{(a_{\rm m}s_{\rm m})(-B_{\rm m}H_{\rm m})}{\mu_0(a_0s_0)}} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{\rm m}(-B_{\rm m}H_{\rm m})}{\mu_0V_0}}$$

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

### BH Maximum

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$H_0 s_0 + H_m s_m = 0$$

$$B_{\rm m}a_{\rm m} = B_0 a_0 = \mu_0 H_0 a_0$$

$$H_0 s_0 = -H_m s_m,$$
  

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0} B_m \frac{a_m}{a_0} s_0 = -H_m s_m,$$
  

$$B_m = -\mu_0 \frac{s_m}{s_0} \frac{a_0}{a_m} H_m,$$
  

$$\frac{B_m}{\mu_0 H_m} = -\frac{s_m}{s_0} \frac{a_0}{a_m} = P$$

Permeance P, Slope s

$$(BH)_{\max}^{id} := \frac{B_{r}^{2}}{4\mu_{0}}, \qquad s = \frac{B_{m}}{H_{m}} = \mu_{0}P = -\mu_{0}\frac{s_{m}}{s_{0}}\frac{a_{0}}{a_{m}} = \mu_{0}\frac{M(1-N)}{H_{m}-NM}$$

![](_page_61_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Permanent Magnet Circuits**

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Dynamic Operation (Flux is Reduced)**

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Optimal Position of Permanent Magnets**

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

## The Homopolar Generator

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $d\mathbf{F} = I d\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{B}$ 

Einstein: All physics is local

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)