

南京航空航天大学

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Light Front Wave Functions of Vector Mesons From CSMs

Chao Shi

(cshi@nuaa.edu.cn)

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

2022.05.13@EHM Workshop VII, CERN

Outline

From Bethe-Salpeter WFs to Light-Front WFs: Formalism

OLF-LFWFs of light and heavy pseudoscalar mesons.

OLF-LFWFs of light and heavy vector mesons.

Diffractive vector meson electroproduction.

Vector meson TMDs.

BSE approach

An alternative way to calculate the LFWFs.

"...'t Hooft did not use the light–cone formalism and which nowadays might be called standard. Instead, he started from covariant equations... The light–cone Schrodinger equation was then obtained by projecting the Bethe–Salpeter equation onto hyper-surfaces of equal light–cone time."

(T. Heinzl arXiv:hep-th/0008096)

$$
\int \frac{dk^2}{2\pi} \Psi_{\text{BS}}(k; p) = \frac{u^{(1)}(x_1, k_1)}{\sqrt{x_1}} \frac{u^{(2)}(x_2, -k_1)}{\sqrt{x_2}} \psi(x_i, k_1)
$$
\n(G. Lepage and S. Brodsky, PRD 1980)
\n
$$
\psi(x, \mathbf{p}; s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{2P^+} \int \frac{dp^-}{2\pi} \bar{u}(xP^+, \mathbf{p}; s_1) \gamma^+ \Phi(p) \gamma^+ v((1-x)P^+, -\mathbf{p}; s_2).
$$
\n(H. Liu and D. Soper, PRD1993)

(W. de Paula, E. Ydrefors, J.H. Alvarenga Nogueira, T. Frederico, and G. Salme, PRD2021)

 $\langle 0|\bar{d}_{+}(0)\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}u_{+}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp})|\pi^{+}(P)\rangle = i$ $\sqrt{ }$ $\overline{6}P^{+}\psi_{0}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp}),$ $\langle 0|\bar{d}_+(0)\sigma^{+i}\gamma_5 u_+(\xi^-, \xi_\perp)|\pi^+(P)\rangle = -i$ $\sqrt{ }$ $\overline{6}P^+\partial^i$ (M. Burkardt, X. Ji, F. Yuan, PLB 2002)

$$
2P^+\Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(k^+, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \text{Tr}\left[\gamma^+\gamma_5 \chi(k, P)\right],
$$

$$
ik^i 2P^+\Psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(k^+, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \text{Tr}\left[\sigma^{+i}\gamma_5 \chi(k, P)\right].
$$

(C. Mezrag, H. Moutarde and J. Rodríguez-Quintero, Few-Body Syst 2016)

All equivalent.

BSE approach

OFrom BS WF to LF WF

Covariant Bethe-Salpeter wave function in the instant form

$$
\underbrace{\phi_i(x, \vec{k}_T)}_{\text{LFWF}} \sim \int \frac{dk^-}{k^+} \frac{dk^+ \delta(xP^+ - k^+)}{\text{Tr}[\Gamma_i \chi(k, P)]}
$$
\n
$$
\text{sech } k^+ = xP^+
$$
\nProject onto the light front null plane $\xi^+ = 0$

(C. Mezrag, H. Moutarde, and J. Rodriguez-Quintero, Few Body Syst. 2016)

4

Bethe-Salpeter WFs

The BS wave function can be solved by aligning the quark DSE and meson BSE.

The simplest (and widely used) truncation is the Rainbow-Ladder (RL) truncation

The RL truncation preserves QCD's explicit chiral symmetry by respecting the axial vector WTI, and thereby place a firm ground for its dynamical breaking.

Pion and kaon: (P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, PRC1997)

ρ and ϕ: (P. Maris and P. C. Tandy, PRC1999)

Heavy mesons (M. Black, A Krassnigg, et al)

Pseudo-scalar LF-LFWFs

4 Lorentz scalar functions
\n
$$
\phi_i(x, \vec{k}_T) \sim \int dk^- dk^+ \delta(xP^+ - k^+) \text{Tr}[\Gamma_i \chi(k, P)]
$$
\n
$$
\Gamma_i \sim \gamma^+ \gamma_5, \sigma^{+i} \gamma_5
$$

Pion (at different masses)

The $\psi_0(x, k_T^2)$ and $\psi_1(x, k_T^2)$ of pion at $m_\pi = 130$ (top FIG. 1. row), 310 (middle row), and 690 MeV (bottom row).

(C. S., M. Li, X. Chen, W. Jia, PRD2021)

Lattice&LaMET formalism: X. Ji and Y. Liu, PRD2022

FIG. 3. The PDA of the pion at masses of $m_{\pi} = 130$ (solid), 310 (dashed), and 690 MeV (dotted). The colored bands are results from lattice QCD [22] at the same masses of $m_{\pi} = 130$ (red) , 310 (green) , and 690 MeV (blue).

(M. Ding, et al, PLB2016)

(R. Zhang, et al, PRD2020)

• Prdiction: LF-LFWFs evolve slowly from pion mass of 130 MeV to 310 MeV, but significantly different at 690 Me \bar{V} (ss pion)

eta_c and eta_b LF-LFWFs

(C. S., M. Li, X. Chen, W. Jia, PRD2021)

Narrowly distributed in x in heavy pseudoscalar mesons. Evolution of LF-LFWF with current quark mass (EHM & Higgs mechanism).

Normalization

Confidence 1 Mormalization of LFWFs.

In general, all LFWFs (including higher Fock states) should normalize to 1.

$\sum_{n,\lambda_i}\int\overline{\prod_i}dx_i\frac{d^2k_{\perp i}}{16\pi^3}|\psi_{n/\pi}(x_i,{\bf k}_{\perp i},\lambda_i)|^2=1\;.$ **Condition 1:**

In practice, two normalization conditions were usually employed.

"While Condition 1' enforces a constituent picture, Condition 2 is exact and holds beyond a constituent **Condition 1':** $1 = ||\psi_2||^2 = ||\psi_{2\uparrow\downarrow}||^2 + ||\psi_{2\uparrow\uparrow}||^2 + ||\psi_{2\downarrow\uparrow}||^2 + ||\psi_{2\downarrow\downarrow}||^2$ **Condition 2:** $\int_{0}^{1} dx \int \frac{d^2 k_{\perp}}{16\pi^3} \psi_{2\uparrow\downarrow}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{f_{\pi}}{2\sqrt{3}}$

picture." (T. Heinzl arXiv:hep-th/0008096)

Canonical normalization of BS wave functions

$$
G_4(p,q;P) = \sum_i \frac{\chi_i(p,P_i)\bar{\chi}_i(q,-P_i)}{P^2 - P_i^2} + R(p,q;P)
$$
\nCondition 1

\n
$$
f_{\pi}P_{\mu} = \int_q^{\Lambda} \text{Tr}[\gamma_5 \gamma_{\mu} \chi(q;P)]
$$
\nCondition 2

Leading Fock state contribution to total normalization

Vector meson LF-LFWFs

BS WFs $\chi^M_\mu(k, P) = \sum_{i=1}^8 T^i_\mu(k, P) F^i(k^2, k \cdot P, P^2)$ $A_1 = k_{\mu} - \frac{P_{\mu} P \cdot k}{P^2}$, $A_2 = \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{P_{\mu} P}{P^2}$, $B_1 = I_4, B_2 = \mathbf{P}, B_3 = \mathbf{I}, B_4 = [\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{P}],$ $T_{\mu}^2 = A_1.B_2(k \cdot P),$ $T_{\mu}^1 = iA_1.B_1,$ $T_{\mu}^3 = A_1.B_3,$ $T_{\mu}^4 = -iA_1.B_4,$ $T_{\mu}^5 = A_2.B_1,$ $T_{\mu}^6 = -iA_2.B_2,$ $T_{\mu}^7 = -i[A_2, B_3](k \cdot P), T_{\mu}^8 = \{A_2, B_4\}.$

$$
LF-LFWFs
$$
\n
$$
|M\rangle^{\Lambda} = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \int \frac{d^{2}k_{T}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{dx}{2\sqrt{x\bar{x}}} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
\Phi_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{\Lambda}(x, k_{T}) b_{f,\lambda,i}^{\dagger}(x, k_{T}) d_{f,\lambda',j}^{\dagger}(\bar{x}, k_{T})|0\rangle.
$$
\n
$$
\Phi_{\pm,\mp}^{0} = \psi_{(1)}^{0}, \qquad \Phi_{\pm,\pm}^{0} = \pm k_{T}^{(\mp)} \psi_{(2)}^{0},
$$
\n
$$
\Phi_{\pm,\pm}^{1} = \psi_{(1)}^{1}, \qquad \Phi_{\pm,\mp}^{1} = \pm k_{T}^{(\pm)} \psi_{(2)}^{1},
$$
\n
$$
\Phi_{\mp,\pm}^{1} = \pm k_{T}^{(\pm)} \psi_{(3)}^{1}, \Phi_{\mp,\mp}^{1} = (k_{T}^{(\pm)})^{2} \psi_{(4)}^{1}
$$

8 Lorentz scalar functions

6 independent scalar functions, reduce to 5 for Charge parity eigenstate

$$
\Phi^{\Lambda}_{\lambda,\lambda'}(x,\vec{k}_T) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int \frac{dk^-dk^+}{2\pi} \delta(xP^+ - k^+) \text{Tr} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda,\lambda'} \gamma^+ \chi^M(k,P) \cdot \epsilon_{\Lambda}(P) \right].
$$

\n
$$
\Gamma = I \pm \gamma_5, \mp (\gamma^1 \pm i\gamma^2)
$$

Vector meson LF-LFWFs

 $\Lambda = \lambda + \lambda' + L_z$

12

Vector meson LF-LFWFs

CAII LF-LFWFs are nonvanishing. Evolution of LF-LFWF with current quark mass (EHM & Higgs).

Leading Fock state contribution to total normalization

Application I: Diffractive vector meson production

Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 268

Diffractive vector mesons production

Colorless exchange

Color Dipole Picture of diffractive VM production

light-cone perturbation

DS-BSEs LF-LFWFs

$$
\sigma \sim \phi_{\gamma^*}^{q\bar{q}} \otimes \sigma_{q\bar{q},N} \otimes \phi_V^{q\bar{q}} \qquad \phi_{\gamma^*} \qquad \text{hypertrivial for}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{q\bar{q},N} \sim g^2(x) \qquad \text{(A. H. Rezaelan and I. Schmidt, PRD2013)}
$$
\n
$$
\phi_V^{q\bar{q}} \qquad \text{DS-BSEs LF-LFWFs}
$$

 $\phi^{q\bar{q}}$

Sensitive to small x gluons!

Calculation & HERA data

- No new parameters introduced.
- Rho and J/psi production agrees well with HERA data in a reasonable kinematical range.

Application II: vector meson TMDs

$$
\Theta_{\beta\alpha}^{(\Lambda)\vec{s}}(x,\vec{k}_T)=\int\frac{dz^-~d^2\vec{z}_T}{(2\pi)^3}~e^{i(xP^+~z^- -\vec{k}_T\cdot\vec{z}_T)}\vec{s}\langle P,\Lambda|\overline{\psi}_{\alpha}(0)\psi_{\beta}(z^-,\vec{z}_T)|P,\Lambda\rangle_{\vec{S}}.
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[\gamma^{+}\Theta^{(\Lambda)s}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T})\right] = f_{1}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + S_{LL}f_{1LL}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{S_{LT}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}}{m_{V}}f_{1LT}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot S_{TT}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}}{m_{V}^{2}}f_{1TT}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}),
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}\Theta^{(\Lambda)s}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T})\right] = \Lambda\left[S_{L}g_{1L}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot S_{T}}{m_{V}}g_{1T}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2})\right],
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[-i\sigma^{+i}\gamma_{5}\Theta^{(\Lambda)s}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T})\right] = \Lambda\left[S_{T}^{i}h_{1}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + S_{L}\frac{k_{T}^{i}}{m_{V}}h_{1L}^{+}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2m_{V}^{2}}\left(2\,k_{T}^{i}\,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot S_{T} - S_{T}^{i}\,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}\right)h_{1T}^{+}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2})\right].
$$

TMD overlap representation (Helicity basis)

$$
M^{(\Lambda)\vec{S}}(x,\vec{k}_T) = [\Theta_{\beta\alpha}^{(\Lambda)\vec{S}}(x,\vec{k}_T)\gamma^+]^T
$$

(S. Kaur, et al, JHEP2021)

Rho & Upsilon TML $\frac{k_T^{2^{1.2}}+6}{2^{1.2}}$ $\frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{0.8}{x}$ $\frac{1.2}{1.6}$ $\frac{1}{2^{1.2}}$ $\frac{0.8}{1.6}$ $\frac{0.8}{2^{1.2}}$ $\frac{0.8}{1.6}$ $\frac{0.8}{2^{1.2}}$ $\frac{0.8}{x}$ $\frac{0.8}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{x}$ $\frac{1}{$ **FRO & OpenOIT 11**

the other hand, the other hand, the η highly relativistic system, the syst

x(1 *x*)

row) and *^h*1*^T* (*x*,*k*²

FIG. 4. 3-d plot of the *^f*1*LT* (*x*,*k*²

Here *ab*,*cd* = *a*,*bc*,*^d* , with *a*,*^b* the Kronecker delta. The

Ø *dx d*²*k^T* [|]*k^T* |F (*x*,*k*² **all postsible** *definition* and nonrelativistic limits. The contractive parties. $\ddot{}$ ic limits. $\overline{}\bullet$ to $\overline{}\hspace{-0.9cm}\overline{}\hspace{-0.9cm}$ **• TMDs in relativistic and nonrelativistic limits.** FIG. 3. 3-d plot of the 1*^T* (*x*,*k*² LF-LFWFs to zero, and re-calculate all the TMDs. While

 A units are given in GeV. The first three columns are taken in GeV. The first three columns are taken in GeV.

 $E_{\rm eff}$ (2), and project out the LF-LFWFs from 's Bethe-LFWFs from 's Bethe-LFWFs from 's Bethe-LFWFs from 's Bethe-

(bottom row) for $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(n)$

OAM & Rho TMDs (C.S. J. Li, M. Li, X. Chen and W. Jia, arXiv:2205.02757)

FIG. 5. The ρ TMDs from the full BSE-based LF-LFWFs.

FIG. 6. The ρ TMDs obtained by setting $\phi_{|l_z|=1}^{\Lambda=0} = \phi_{|l_z|=2}^{\Lambda=1} = 0$ in the full BSE-based LF-LFWFs. (Y. Ninomiya, et al, PRC2017) (S. Kaur, et al, JHEP2021)

 $\frac{1}{2}$ from $\frac{2}{3}$

SE-based TMDs agree v • BSE-based TMDs agree with NJL and/or holographic model if higher OAM are set to zero.

• High OAM LF-LFWFs have sizable effect in determining certain polarized TMDs. *^T*) , (30)

*dx d*²*k^T* F (*x*,*k*²

PDFs

(blue dashed) of vector mesons at hadron scale. At *x* = 0.5,

carry small relative longitudinal momentum as in heavy small relative longitudinal momentum as in heavy small mesons. Moreover, nonzero OAM configurations become Ξ

Ξ

 $s_{\rm eff}$ (green dotted), LF $_{\rm eff}$ (green dotted), LF $_{\rm eff}$

FIG. 7. The $f_1(x)$ (gray solid), $g_{1L}(x)$ (red dotted) and $h_1(x)$ (blue dashed) of vector mesons at hadron scale. At $x = 0.5$, FIG. 8. The $xf_{1,v}^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic scale (black by experiment, lattice prediction directly, and $x e^{\rho}$ from top to bottom, the three sets of curves correspond to scale of 2.4 GeV (blue dotted), a
 $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}$ I/ψ and ρ respectively. Υ , J/ψ and ρ respectively. FIG. 7. The $f_1(x)$ (gray solid), $g_{1L}(x)$ (red dotted) and $h_1(x)$ FIG. 8. The $xf_i^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic If $\int f(x) dx$ and f $\int f(x) dx$ it is presented.

FIG. 8. The $xf_{1,v}^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic scale (black solid) and evolved and to scale of 2.4 GeV (blue dotted), and $xg_{1L,v}^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic scale vely. (purple dashed) and evolved scale of 2.4 GeV (red dot-dashed). lid) and evolved red dot-dashed).
x \log_{10} evolution \log_{10} $h_1(x)$ FIG. 8. The $xf_{1-x}^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic scale (black solid) and evolved (purple dashed) and evolved scale of 2.4 GeV (red dot-dashed).
4 $rac{r}{x}$ and *x* and *x* behavior is the large *x* behavior *x* $\overset{v}{\text{GeV}}$ (red dot-dashed).

stituent quark model [69] (green dotted), LF quark model [28] (green dotted), LF quark model [28] (green dotted $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}})$ dot-dashed), NJL model \mathcal{L}^{max}

Moments:
$$
a_n = \langle x^{n-1} \rangle_{f_{1,v}}
$$
 $r_n = \langle x^{n-1} \rangle_{g_{1L,v}}$
lattice (C. Best et al, PRD1997):
 $a_2 = 0.334(21), a_3 = 0.174(47), a_4 = 0.066(39)$

 $r_1 = 0.57(32)$, $r_2 = 0.212(17)$, $r_3 = 0.077(34)$ $r_1 r_2 \ldots r_{2n}$ $r_1 = 0.57(32), r_2 = 0.212(17), r_3 = 0.077(34)$ $r_1 = 0.077(34)$

Herein (C.S. et al, arXiv:2205.02757): \mathbf{H}_{mean} Herein (C.S. et al, arXiv:2205.02757):

FIG. 9. The tensor polorized PDF *f*1*LL*(*x*) of from LF con $a_2 = 0.316$, $a_3 = 0.155$, $a_4 = 0.091$ $r_1 = 0.66, r_2 = 0.227, r_3 = 0.111.$

at the scale of 2.4 GeV. They agree with lattice predictions $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$ GeV. They agree with lattice predictions $\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{A}$

Summary

- LF-LFWFs are extracted from BS wave functions of light and heavy, pseudoscalar and vector mesons, based on rainbow-ladder & Maris-Roberts-Tandy model.
- The LF-LFWFs contribution decrease from heavy to light mesons, indicating the presence of higher Fock-states and thereby revealing a complex parton picture.
- The LF-LFWFs in light mesons are generally broad in x, in connection with EHM.
- Diffractive vector meson production data are well reproduced, in a combined study of DSEs, color dipole model and color glass condensate.
- LF-LFWFs with higher OAM have sizable effect in determining polarized distributions.

Thank you!