

南京航空航天大学

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Light Front Wave Functions of Vector Mesons From CSMs

Chao Shi

(cshi@nuaa.edu.cn)

Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

2022.05.13@EHM Workshop VII, CERN

Outline

From Bethe-Salpeter WFs to Light-Front WFs: Formalism

EF-LFWFs of light and heavy pseudoscalar mesons.

EF-LFWFs of light and heavy vector mesons.

Diffractive vector meson electroproduction.

Vector meson TMDs.

BSE approach

An alternative way to calculate the LFWFs.

"...'t Hooft did not use the light-cone formalism and which nowadays might be called standard. Instead, he started from covariant equations... The light-cone Schrodinger equation was then obtained by projecting the Bethe-Salpeter equation onto hyper-surfaces of equal light-cone time."

(T. Heinzl arXiv:hep-th/0008096)

$$\int \frac{dk^{-}}{2\pi} \Psi_{BS}(k;p) = \frac{u^{(1)}(x_{1},k_{\perp})}{\sqrt{x_{1}}} \frac{u^{(2)}(x_{2},-k_{\perp})}{\sqrt{x_{2}}} \psi(x_{i},k_{\perp}) \qquad (G. \text{ Lepage and S. Brodsky, PRD 1980})$$

$$\psi(x,\mathbf{p};s_{1},s_{2}) = \frac{1}{2P^{+}} \int \frac{dp^{-}}{2\pi} \bar{u}(xP^{+},\mathbf{p};s_{1})\gamma^{+}\Phi(p)\gamma^{+}v((1-x)P^{+},-\mathbf{p};s_{2}).$$

$$(H. \text{ Liu and D. Soper, PRD1993})$$

(W. de Paula, E. Ydrefors, J.H. Alvarenga Nogueira, T. Frederico, and G. Salme, PRD2021)

 $\langle 0|\bar{d}_{+}(0)\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}u_{+}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp})|\pi^{+}(P)\rangle = i\sqrt{6}P^{+}\psi_{0}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp}),$ $\langle 0|\bar{d}_{+}(0)\sigma^{+i}\gamma_{5}u_{+}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp})|\pi^{+}(P)\rangle = -i\sqrt{6}P^{+}\partial^{i}\psi_{1}(\xi^{-},\xi_{\perp}).$ (M. Burkardt, X. Ji, F. Yuan, PLB 2002)

$$2P^{+}\Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(k^{+},\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k^{-}}{2\pi} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}\chi(k,P)\right],$$
$$ik^{i}2P^{+}\Psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(k^{+},\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k^{-}}{2\pi} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\sigma^{+i}\gamma_{5}\chi(k,P)\right].$$

(C. Mezrag, H. Moutarde and J. Rodríguez-Quintero, Few-Body Syst 2016)

All equivalent.

BSE approach

From BS WF to LF WF

Covariant Bethe-Salpeter wave function in the instant form

(C. Mezrag, H. Moutarde, and J. Rodriguez-Quintero, Few Body Syst. 2016)

4

Bethe-Salpeter WFs

The BS wave function can be solved by aligning the quark DSE and meson BSE.



The simplest (and widely used) truncation is the Rainbow-Ladder (RL) truncation



The RL truncation preserves QCD's explicit chiral symmetry by respecting the axial vector WTI, and thereby place a firm ground for its dynamical breaking.



Pion and kaon: (P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, PRC1997)

ρ and φ: (P. Maris and P. C. Tandy, PRC1999)

Heavy mesons (M. Black, A Krassnigg, et al)

Pseudo-scalar LF-LFWFs



4 Lorentz scalar functions $\begin{aligned} &\varphi_i(x,\vec{k}_T) \sim \int dk^- dk^+ \delta(xP^+ - k^+) \text{Tr}[\Gamma_i \chi(k,P)] \\ &\Gamma_i \sim \gamma^+ \gamma_5, \sigma^{+i} \gamma_5 \end{aligned}$

Pion (at different masses)



FIG. 1. The $\psi_0(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ and $\psi_1(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ of pion at $m_{\pi} = 130$ (top row), 310 (middle row), and 690 MeV (bottom row).

(C. S., M. Li, X. Chen, W. Jia, PRD2021)

Lattice&LaMET formalism: X. Ji and Y. Liu, PRD2022



FIG. 3. The PDA of the pion at masses of $m_{\pi} = 130$ (solid), 310 (dashed), and 690 MeV (dotted). The colored bands are results from lattice QCD [22] at the same masses of $m_{\pi} = 130$ (red), 310 (green), and 690 MeV (blue).

(M. Ding, et al, PLB2016)

(R. Zhang, et al, PRD2020)

 Prdiction: LF-LFWFs evolve slowly from pion mass of 130 MeV to 310 MeV, but significantly different at 690 MeV (ss pion)

eta_c and eta_b LF-LFWFs



(C. S., M. Li, X. Chen, W. Jia, PRD2021)

Narrowly distributed in x in heavy pseudoscalar mesons.
 Evolution of LF-LFWF with current quark mass (EHM & Higgs mechanism).

Normalization

Normalization of LFWFs.

In general, all LFWFs (including higher Fock states) should normalize to 1.

Condition 1:
$$\sum_{n,\lambda_i} \int \overline{\prod_i} dx_i \frac{d^2 k_{\perp i}}{16\pi^3} |\psi_{n/\pi}(x_i, \mathbf{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)|^2 = 1$$
.

In practice, two normalization conditions were usually employed.

Condition 1': $1 = ||\psi_2||^2 = ||\psi_{2\uparrow\downarrow}||^2 + ||\psi_{2\uparrow\uparrow}||^2 + ||\psi_{2\downarrow\uparrow}||^2 + ||\psi_{2\downarrow\downarrow}||^2$ Condition 2: $\int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2k_{\perp}}{16\pi^3} \psi_{2\uparrow\downarrow}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{f_{\pi}}{2\sqrt{3}}$ "While Condition 1' enforces a constituent picture, Condition 2 is exact and holds beyond a constituent picture." (T. Heinzl arXiv:hep-th/0008096)

Canonical normalization of BS wave functions

$$G_4(p,q;P) = \sum_i \frac{\chi_i(p,P_i)\bar{\chi}_i(q,-P_i)}{P^2 - P_i^2} + R(p,q;P)$$
Condition 1
$$f_{\pi}P_{\mu} = \int_q^{\Lambda} \operatorname{Tr}[\gamma_5\gamma_{\mu}\chi(q;P)]$$
Condition 2

Leading Fock state contribution to total normalization



Vector meson LF-LFWFs

BS WFs $\chi^M_\mu(k,P) = \sum_{i=1}^8 T^i_\mu(k,P) F^i(k^2,k\cdot P,P^2)$ $A_1 = k_{\mu} - \frac{P_{\mu}P \cdot k}{P^2}, A_2 = \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{P_{\mu}P}{P^2},$ $B_1 = I_4, B_2 = I\!\!\!/, B_3 = I\!\!\!/, B_4 = [I\!\!\!/, I\!\!\!/],$ $T_{\mu}^2 = A_1 \cdot B_2(k \cdot P),$ $T^1_{\mu} = iA_1.B_1,$ $T^3_{\mu} = A_1.B_3, \qquad T^4_{\mu} = -iA_1.B_4,$ $T^5_{\mu} = A_2.B_1, \qquad T^6_{\mu} = -iA_2.B_2,$ $T_{\mu}^7 = -i[A_2, B_3](k \cdot P), T_{\mu}^8 = \{A_2, B_4\}.$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{LF}-\mathbf{LFWFs} \\ |M\rangle^{\Lambda} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \int \frac{d^{2}k_{T}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{dx}{2\sqrt{x\bar{x}}} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ &\Phi_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{\Lambda}(x, \mathbf{k}_{T}) b_{f,\lambda,i}^{\dagger}(x, \mathbf{k}_{T}) d_{f,\lambda',j}^{\dagger}(\bar{x}, \bar{k}_{T}) |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\mp}^{0} &= \Psi_{(1)}^{0}, \qquad \Phi_{\pm,\pm}^{0} &= \pm k_{T}^{(\mp)} \Psi_{(2)}^{0}, \\ &\Phi_{\pm,\pm}^{\pm 1} &= \Psi_{(1)}^{1}, \qquad \Phi_{\pm,\mp}^{\pm 1} &= \pm k_{T}^{(\pm)} \Psi_{(2)}^{1}, \\ &\Phi_{\pm,\pm}^{\pm 1} &= \pm k_{T}^{(\pm)} \Psi_{(3)}^{1}, \\ &\Phi_{\pm,\mp}^{\pm 1} &= (k_{T}^{(\pm)})^{2} \Psi_{(4)}^{1}. \end{aligned}$$

8 Lorentz scalar functions

6 independent scalar functions, reduce to 5 for Charge parity eigenstate

$$\Phi^{\Lambda}_{\lambda,\lambda'}(x,\vec{k}_T) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \int \frac{dk^- dk^+}{2\pi} \delta(xP^+ - k^+) \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Gamma_{\lambda,\lambda'} \gamma^+ \chi^M(k,P) \cdot \epsilon_{\Lambda}(P) \right].$$

$$\Gamma = I \pm \gamma_5, \mp (\gamma^1 \pm i\gamma^2)$$

Vector meson LF-LFWFs

 $\Lambda = \lambda + \lambda' + L_z$



Vector meson LF-LFWFs



All LF-LFWFs are nonvanishing.
Evolution of LF-LFWF with current quark mass (EHM & Higgs).

Leading Fock state contribution to total normalization



Application I: Diffractive vector meson production



Eur. Phys. J. A (2016) 52: 268

Diffractive vector mesons production





Colorless exchange

16

Color Dipole Picture of diffractive VM production

light-cone perturbation

DS-BSEs LF-LFWFs

Sensitive to small x gluons!

Calculation & HERA data



- No new parameters introduced.
- Rho and J/psi production agrees well with HERA data in a reasonable kinematical range.

Application II: vector meson TMDs

$$\Theta_{\beta\alpha}^{(\Lambda)\vec{s}}(x,\vec{k}_T) = \int \frac{dz^- d^2\vec{z}_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(xP^+ z^- - \vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{z}_T)} {}_{\vec{S}} \langle P,\Lambda | \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}(0)\psi_{\beta}(z^-,\vec{z}_T) | P,\Lambda \rangle_{\vec{S}}.$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[\gamma^{+}\Theta^{(\Lambda)_{S}}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T})\right] = f_{1}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + S_{LL}f_{1LL}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{S_{LT}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}}{m_{V}}f_{1LT}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}_{TT}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}}{m_{V}^{2}}f_{1TT}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}\Theta^{(\Lambda)_{S}}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T})\right] = \Lambda\left[S_{L}g_{1L}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}_{T}}{m_{V}}g_{1T}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2})\right],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_{D}\left[-i\sigma^{+i}\gamma_{5}\Theta^{(\Lambda)_{S}}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T})\right] = \Lambda\left[S_{T}^{i}h_{1}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + S_{L}\frac{k_{T}^{i}}{m_{V}}h_{1L}^{\perp}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2m_{V}^{2}}\left(2\,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{i}\,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{S}_{T} - S_{T}^{i}\,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2}\right)h_{1T}^{\perp}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{T}^{2})\right].$$

TMD overlap representation (Helicity basis)

$$M^{(\Lambda)\vec{S}}(x,\vec{k}_T) = [\Theta_{\beta\alpha}^{(\Lambda)\vec{S}}(x,\vec{k}_T)\gamma^+]^T$$

(S. Kaur, et al, JHEP2021)

Rho & Upsilon TML $k_T^{2} = \frac{12}{1.6} \sum_{0}^{0.4} \sum_{0.2}^{0.4} \sum_{0.4}^{0.6} x^{0.6}$





0.8

• TMDs in relativistic and nonrelativistic limits.

OAM & Rho TMDs

(C.S. J. Li, M. Li, X. Chen and W. Jia, arXiv:2205.02757)



FIG. 5. The ρ TMDs from the full BSE-based LF-LFWFs.



FIG. 6. The ρ TMDs obtained by setting $\phi_{|l_r|=1}^{\Lambda=0} = \phi_{|l_r|=2}^{\Lambda=1} = 0$ in the full BSE-based LF-LFWFs. (Y. Ninomiya, et al, PRC2017) (S. Kaur, et al, JHEP2021)

• BSE-based TMDs agree with NJL and/or holographic model if higher OAM are set to zero.

• High OAM LF-LFWFs have sizable effect in determining certain polarized TMDs.

PDFs





FIG. 7. The $f_1(x)$ (gray solid), $g_{1L}(x)$ (red dotted) and $h_1(x)$ (blue dashed) of vector mesons at hadron scale. At x = 0.5, from top to bottom, the three sets of curves correspond to Υ , J/ψ and ρ respectively.

FIG. 8. The $x f_{1,v}^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic scale (black solid) and evolved scale of 2.4 GeV (blue dotted), and $x g_{1L,v}^{\rho}(x)$ at hadronic scale (purple dashed) and evolved scale of 2.4 GeV (red dot-dashed).

Moments:
$$a_n = \langle x^{n-1} \rangle_{f_{1,v}}$$
 $r_n = \langle x^{n-1} \rangle_{g_{1L,v}}$
lattice (C. Best et al, PRD1997):
 $a_2 = 0.334(21), a_3 = 0.174(47), a_4 = 0.066(39)$

 $r_1 = 0.57(32), r_2 = 0.212(17), r_3 = 0.077(34)$

Herein (C.S. et al, arXiv:2205.02757):

 $a_2 = 0.316, a_3 = 0.155, a_4 = 0.091$ $r_1 = 0.66, r_2 = 0.227, r_3 = 0.111.$

Summary

- EF-LFWFs are extracted from BS wave functions of light and heavy, pseudoscalar and vector mesons, based on rainbow-ladder & Maris-Roberts-Tandy model.
- The LF-LFWFs contribution decrease from heavy to light mesons, indicating the presence of higher Fock-states and thereby revealing a complex parton picture.
- The LF-LFWFs in light mesons are generally broad in x, in connection with EHM.
- Diffractive vector meson production data are well reproduced, in a combined study of DSEs, color dipole model and color glass condensate.
- EF-LFWFs with higher OAM have sizable effect in determining polarized distributions.

Thank you!