

# Search for Lepton Flavor Violating Decays of Heavy Resonances and Quantum Black Holes in Dilepton Final States With Full Run2 CMS Data

<u>Amandeep Kaur Kalsi</u>

for CMS Collaboration

Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana



# Introduction

Flavour conservation is not a fundamental symmetry in the SM

Fermions do change flavour: Quarks: CKM matrix ->quark mixing observed Leptons: PMNS matrix ->neutrino mixing observed

How about charged leptons? ○ → (charged) Lepton Flavour Violation (cLFV) • Not observed yet

In the SM Loop with neutrino oscillations • Vanishingly small branching ratios





# DISCOVERY OF CHARGED LEPTON FLAVOR VIOLATION IS NEW PHYSICS!

66

## violation of a (so-far) conservation law



\*Various BSM models: Supersymmetry, extended gauge models, heavy neutrinos, etc.

#Predict LFV couplings to be tested at the LHC

Low Energy Results Provide Indirect **Constraints (Often With Assumptions)** 

- lepton-flavor violating processes

- $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ , etc.
- $-\mu \rightarrow eee, \tau \rightarrow \mu ee, etc.$

$$- \mu^+ e^- \rightarrow e^- \mu^+$$

- 
$$Z^0 \rightarrow \mu e$$
,  $\tau e$ ,  $e \dagger c$ .

- $H \rightarrow \mu e$ ,  $\tau e$ , etc.
- $K^0$  (B<sup>0</sup>, D<sup>0</sup>, ...)  $\rightarrow \mu e$ ,  $\tau e$ , etc.
- K<sup>+</sup> (B<sup>+</sup>, D<sup>+</sup>, ...)  $\rightarrow \pi^+\mu e$ ,  $\pi^+\pi e$ , etc.
- $\mu$ <sup>-</sup> + (A, Z) → e<sup>-</sup> + (A, Z)





Need Multiple Measurements To Understand the Full Picture



$LFV Z \rightarrow 11$	2000     ATLAS       0     1800       1800     √s=13 Te       1600     √s=13 Te       1400     1400       1200     1000	eV, 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> • Data Total Background $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ Non-resonant $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$ Signal (hypothetical)	LFV H(125)	$\rightarrow$ ll' decays <i>Phys Rev L</i>	D.104.032013
	800 600 400 200		Null result for $\mu \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ and other m	eγ strongly constrains 1 easurements constrain	B(H $\rightarrow$ eµ) to < 10 <sup>-8</sup> while B(H $\rightarrow$ e $\tau$ ) and B(H $\rightarrow$ µ $\tau$ )
<b>PRL 127, 27180</b> arXiv:2204.10783	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ 0.9 \\ & & & & & \\ 0.7 \\ & & & & \\ 0.7 \\ & & & & \\ 0.7 \\ & & & & \\ 0.7 \\ & & & \\ 70 \\ & & & 75 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \bullet$	B(H→μτ)	CMS (Run2) < 0.15 %	ATLAS (2016) < 0.28 %
Nature Phys. 17 (20	<u>)21) 819</u>		B(H→eτ)	< 0.22 %	< 0.47 %
CMS (8 TeV data)	B(Z→eµ)	<7.3 x 10-7	CMS τ→3μ	137 fb <sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) CMS τ→	137 fb <sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 3€
ATLAS 139 fb <sup>-1</sup> (Run 1 + Run2)	$B(Z \rightarrow e\mu)$ $B(Z \rightarrow \mu\tau)$ $B(Z \rightarrow e\tau)$	< 2.62 x 10-7 < 6.5 x 10-6 < 5.0 x 10-6	$10^{-1}$ $\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$ $10^{-2}$ $= t^{\pm}$ $10^{-3}$ $= t^{\pm}$ $t^{\pm}$	$10^{-1}$ $10^{-2}$ $10^{-2}$ $= \frac{10^{-2}}{10^{-3}}$ $= \frac{10^{-3}}{10^{-3}}$	eeγ ected $H \rightarrow e\tau$
LEP	$B(Z \rightarrow e\mu)$ $B(Z \rightarrow \mu\tau)$ $B(Z \rightarrow e\tau)$	< 1.7 x 10-6 < 1.2 x 10-5 < 9.8 x 10-6	$10^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -4 & -4$	<b>EVED H</b> $10^{-4}$ $10^{-4}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$ $10^{-5}$	$10^{-4}  10^{-3}  10^{-2}  10^{-1}   Y_{er} _{er}$













ATLAS (8 TeV, 90% CL) W decays	< 3.76 x 10-7 Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76:232
CMS (13 TeV, 33.2 fb <sup>-1</sup> , 90%CL) B/D and W decays	< 8.0 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> JHEP01(2021)163
BELLE BABAR LHCb	<2.1 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> <5.3 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> <4.6 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>





Vertex	Int.	$C_{e\mu tq}/$	$\Lambda^2$ [TeV $^{-2}$ ]	$\mathcal{B}(1$	$0^{-6})$
	type	Exp	Obs	Exp	Obs
	Vector	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.13
eµtu	Scalar	0.23	0.24	0.06	0.07
	Tensor	0.07	0.06	0.27	0.25
	Vector	0.39	0.37	1.49	1.31
eµtc	Scalar	0.87	0.86	0.91	0.89
	Tensor	0.24	0.21	3.16	2.59

# LFV Heavy Higgs (200-900 GeV) 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>

## JHEP 03 (2020) 103

	CMS
$\sigma(gg \rightarrow H) \ge B(H \rightarrow \mu \tau)$	51.9 fb - 1.6 fb
$\sigma(gg \rightarrow H) \ge B(H \rightarrow e\tau)$	97.4 fb- 2.3 fb



# What about heavier states? Can we find them @ LHC

# History of LFV heavy mass $X \rightarrow 11^{\circ}$ searches

Heavy state	CMS (2016, eµ ) JHEP 04 (2018) 073	20 Phys. Rev.
Z'	4.4 TeV	4.5
RPV	4.2 TeV (λ=0.1) 3.8 TeV (λ=0.01)	3 λ <sub>31</sub>
QBH	5.3 TeV	5.5, 4.9 3.4, 2.9

ATLAS 16 eμ, eτ, μτ D 98 (2018) 092008

3.7, 3.5 TeV

/

.4, 2.9, 2.6 TeV = 0.11,  $\lambda_{313}$  = 0.07

4.5 TeV (ADD n=6) 9, 2.6 TeV ( RS )



#### No results from CMS in tau final states till then..

# *CMS-EXO-19-014*

Search for heavy resonances and quantum black holes in eµ, eτ, and µτ final states in proton-proton collisions at √s = 13 TeV (138 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
 Model-independent, inclusive, signature-based search
 Interpretation in three models

 +model independent limits



#### arXiv: 2205.06709v1 Submitted to JHEP

#### Tau neutrino in R-parity violating (RPV) SUSY

 $\nu_{\tau}$  resonance: lightest SUSY particle
All RPV couplings = 0 except those
allowing  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  and LFV decay to
a specific final state

 $\lambda'_{311} \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \lambda_{i3k}$ 

#### Quantum Black Holes QBH

Extra dimensions → TeV scale QBH: Spin 0, colorless, neutral n=4 extra dimensions (ADD)







#### Signal processes generation

# RPV : CalcHEP simulation (LO, cross-section scaled to NLO) QBH : Dedicated QBH generator v3.0 (LO) Z' : PYTHIA8 (LO) CUETP8M1/CP5 tunes



data collected by CMS detector at a center-ofmass energy of 13 TeV (138 fb<sup>-1</sup>)





## Model independent selection criteria

		58
and the second		
	Sec. Carlos	

Events selected by single muon			
and electromagnetic cluster triggers	<b>Events selected by single electron and electromagnetic cluster triggers</b>	<b>Events selected by single muon</b> <b>triggers</b>	
μ: p <sub>T</sub> >53 GeV,  η <2.4, passing high- p <sub>T</sub> muon identification criteria, tracker iso < 10% of muon p <sub>T</sub>	e : p <sub>T</sub> > 50 GeV, passing high energy electron ID criteria	μ: p <sub>T</sub> >53 GeV,  η  < 2.4, high-p <sub>T</sub> muon identification criteria , tracker iso < 10% of muon p <sub>T</sub>	
E :p <sub>T</sub> > <b>35 GeV passing high energy</b> electron ID criteria.	$\tau$ : $p_T > 50$ GeV, $ \eta  < 2.3$ passing DEEP TAU tight anti-jet, loose anti-e and tight anti- $\mu$ discriminators	<b>τ</b> : p <sub>T</sub> >50 GeV,  η <2.3 passing DEEPTAU tight anti-jet, loose anti-e and tight anti-μ discriminators	
At least an eµ pair	At least one et pair m <sub>T</sub> (e,E <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup> ) > 120 GeV No extra electron or muon in an event	At least one μτ pair m <sub>T</sub> (μ,E <sub>T</sub> <sup>miss</sup> ) > 120 GeV No extra electron or muon in an event	

No requirement on charge of lepton pairs

# Analysis Strategy



## eµ final state

## No other signal specific cut in order to stay model independent

11

### Main backgrounds:

- **\* Top and Diboson events: estimated from** simulation
- W+jets and multijet events using fake rate method from data

## Fake rate method:

- Derived a jet dominated control region to calculate probability of a jet passing pre-selection cuts to also pass lepton ID cuts
- Fake rate parametrized as function of pt and eta of lepton





# Tau final states (eτ, μτ)

Backgrounds with

- Prompt taus from simulation
- Misidentified taus are estimated from data

## Main backgrounds: **\*** Top and Diboson events ₩ <u>W+jets and multijet events</u> determined from data using Fake factors obtained in jet enriched region.

#### Fake rate method:

- Invert  $m_T(e/\mu, E_T^{miss})$  cut i.e. < 120 GeV
- Calculate the probability for an accompanying jet to be misidentified as a  $\tau_h$  candidate in bins of tau candidate pt, its pt ratio with parent jet and pseudorapadity

## Collinear mass Approximation

• Tau is boosted and tau-decay products are produced collinearly

• Missing transverse energy is only coming from tau-neutrinos

$$m_{coll} = \frac{m_{vis}}{\sqrt{x_{\tau}^{vi}}}$$

 $x_{\tau}^{vis} = \frac{p_T^{vis}}{(p_T^{vis} + p_T^{miss})}$ 

Key variable: collinear mass (m<sub>coll</sub>)



## et final state



# μτ final state

No significant excess observed over SM prediction



# Systematic Uncertainties

<u>Systematics affecting shape and normalization of mass</u> <u>distributions</u>

**\***Uncertainty in estimation of WW/tt background with variations in PDF, renormalisation and factorisation scales.

**\*** Uncertainty in muon momentum scale and resolution, muon efficiency

**\***Uncertainty in electron efficiency, momentum scale and resolution

**\*** Tau identification and energy scale

**\***Pile up reweighing

**\***PDF

**\***Trigger Efficiencies

#Jet energy scale, jet energy resolution

**\***Energy scale of unclustered particles

Systematics affecting normalization of mass distributions

Uncertainty related to integrated luminosity (1.6%)

Uncertainties related to cross sections of simulated processes: WW(3%), DY (2%), single top (5%), WZ and ZZ (4%)

Uncertainty related to data-driven estimation of background consisting of mis-identified jets : 50%

Incertainties associated with limited sizes of event samples in MC signal and background processes.

Correlation of uncertainties across different data-taking periods with exceptions of uncertainties related to taus and unclustered energy  $\rightarrow$  derived from statistically independent sources.



**\***Z' in a model similar to sequential standard model

#### **\***Only one LFV coupling non-zero at a time

#### **\***Z' width 3% of its mass





# **\***QBH produced if $\sqrt{s} > M_P$





#### In narrow width approximation

## Derived limit contours in the plane of mass and coupling of the parameter space of the RPV SUSY model for fixed values of the $\lambda$ .



 $\sigma \mathbf{B} \approx (\lambda_{311}')^2 [(\lambda_{132})^2 + (\lambda_{231})^2] / (3(\lambda_{311}')^2 + [(\lambda_{132})^2 + (\lambda_{231})^2]).$ 



# Model Independent Limits

Devent counting above a mass threshold

- MNo assumptions on the signal shape other than a flat product of acceptance times efficiency as a function of the mass
- To derive limit for a specific model from the MI limit, the model-dependent part of the acceptance & efficiency needs to be applied

If m is obtained by calculating events over m<sup>min</sup> over number of generated MC events



 $(\sigma \mathcal{B}A\varepsilon)_{\text{excl}}(\text{total}) = \frac{(\sigma \mathcal{B}A\varepsilon)_{\text{MI}}(m^{\min})}{f_m(m^{\min})}$ 









A brief overview of LFV searches @ LHC Search for high mass new physics in three LFV final states (eµ,  $e\tau$ , $\mu\tau$ ), with full Run2 data First CMS analysis with high mass LFV tau channels Data is consistent with the SM expectation Upper limits are set on three different LFV models (Z', RPV SUSY and QBH) Model independent limits are reported using counting method Results of this search are currently the best limits from the LHC in the considered models.

Channel	RPV SUSY $\widetilde{\nu}_{ au}$ (1	TeV)	LFV Z' (TeV)	QBH $m_{\rm th}$ (TeV)
	$\lambda = \lambda' = 0.01$ $\lambda =$	$\lambda'=0.1$	${\cal B}=0.1$	n = 4
eμ	2.2 (2.2)	4.2 (4.2)	5.0 (4.9)	5.6 (5.6)
${ m e} au$	1.6 (1.6)	3.7 (3.7)	4.3 (4.3)	5.2 (5.2)
$\mu \tau$	1.6 (1.6)	3.6 (3.7)	4.1 (4.2)	5.0 (5.0)



