# Effect of inhomogeneities on GW observables XXV DAE-BRNS HEP Symposium, IISER Mohali



## Shashank Shekhar Pandey

## Supervisor - Prof. Archan S. Majumdar

Department of Astrophysics and High Energy Physics, S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Kolkata

### December 14, 2022

# Inhomogeneities in the Universe

- The FLRW model of the Universe  $\xrightarrow{based}_{\text{on the}}$  Cosmological Principle. CP  $\rightarrow$  universe is spatially homogeneous and isotropic. Surveys have revealed that inhomogeneities are important on length scales even as large as 500  $h^{-1}$  Mpc. [Wiegand, 2014]
- Backreaction approach → such an approach considers the universe to be only statistically homogeneous and isotropic at very large scales.
- At scales much larger than the scale of inhomogeneites, the global domain is assumed to be homogeneous.

# Buchert's Approach

Irrotational fluid motion with dust. Flow is geodesic. Choose a flow-orthogonal coordinate system  $x^{\mu} = (t, X^k)$ , vanishing 3- velocity and comoving coordinates.

The metric -

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + g_{ij}dX^i dX^j \tag{1}$$

Proposed by Buchert - simplify the problem and consider only scalar quantities and average them. [Buchert, 2000]

Buchert's approach - he decomposed Einstein's equations into a set of dynamical equations for scalar quantities.

The volume average of a scalar quantity  $\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}(t)$  over the rest mass preserving domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , is given by [Wiegand(2010)]

$$\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}(t) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|_g} \int_{\mathcal{D}} f d\mu_g$$
 (2)

where  $|\mathcal{D}|_g = \int_{\mathcal{D}} d\mu_g$  is the volume of the domain and  $d\mu_g = \sqrt{{}^{(3)}g(t, X^1, X^2, X^3)} dX^1 dX^2 dX^3$ . The volume scale factor  $a_{\mathcal{D}}$  is defined as :

$$a_{\mathcal{D}}(t) = \left(\frac{|\mathcal{D}|_{g}}{|\mathcal{D}_{0}|_{g}}\right)^{1/3}$$
(3)

where  $|\mathcal{D}_0|_g$  is the volume of the domain at a reference time  $t_0$  which we may take as the present time.

Using Buchert's averaging scheme, we can derive from Einstein's equations, the following equations governing evolution,

averaged Raychaudhuri equation,

$$3\frac{\ddot{a}_D}{a_D} = -4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D + Q_D + \Lambda \,, \tag{4}$$

averaged Hamiltonian constraint,

$$3H_D^2 = 8\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D - \frac{1}{2} \langle R \rangle_D - \frac{1}{2} Q_D + \Lambda \,, \tag{5}$$

averaged continuity equation,

$$\partial_t \langle \rho \rangle_D + 3H_D \langle \rho \rangle_D = 0, \qquad (6)$$

where  $Q_D$  is called the backreaction term,

$$Q_D := \frac{2}{3} \left( \langle \theta^2 \rangle_D - \langle \theta \rangle_D^2 \right) - 2 \langle \sigma^2 \rangle_D , \qquad (7)$$

where  $\theta$  is the local expansion rate and  $\sigma^2 := \frac{1}{2} \sigma_j^i \sigma_j^j$  is the shear-scalar.

- The scalar functions are domain dependent.
- Global domain  $\xrightarrow{\text{partitioned}}_{\text{into}}$  non interacting subregions  $\mathcal{F}_{I} \xrightarrow{\text{themselves}}_{\text{consists of}}$  elementary space entities  $\mathcal{F}_{I}^{(\alpha)}$ .
- Mathematically,  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{I} \mathcal{F}_{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{I} = \bigcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{I}^{(\alpha)}$ .
- Average of the scalar function f on the domain D can be constructed by summing all the averages f on the subregions F<sub>1</sub> as,

$$\langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \sum_{I} |\mathcal{D}|_{g}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{I}^{(\alpha)}} f d\mu_{g} = \sum_{I} \lambda_{I} \langle f \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{I}}$$
(8)

where  $\lambda_l = |\mathcal{F}_l|_g/|\mathcal{D}|_g$ , is the volume fraction of the subregion  $\mathcal{F}_l$ .

# Toy Model

The model considered consists  $\rightarrow$  ensemble of 2 types of FLRW regions  $\rightarrow$  empty underdense FLRW region and an overdense region described by a closed, dust-only FLRW model.[Koksbang, 2019]

**Toy Model** 

$$t = t_0 \frac{\phi - \sin \phi}{\phi_0 - \sin \phi_0}$$

$$a_o = \frac{f_o^{1/3}}{2} (1 - \cos \phi)$$

$$a_u = \frac{f_u^{1/3} (\phi_0 - \sin \phi_0)}{\pi t_0} t^{\beta}$$
(9)

where  $f_0$  and  $f_u = 1 - f_o$  are the volume fractions of the over- and underdense regions and  $\beta$  is a parameter which controls the evolution of  $a_u$  with time. Here we are taking,  $2/3 < \beta \le 1$ .  $\phi$  is the development angle of the overdense region and  $\phi_0 = 3\pi/2$ .

## Toy model setup

 $o \rightarrow$  overdense and  $u \rightarrow$  underdense.

$$a_D = \left(\frac{a_u^3 + a_o^3}{a_{u,0}^3 + a_{o,0}^3}\right)^{1/3} \tag{10}$$

$$H_D = H_u \frac{a_u^3}{a_u^3 + a_o^3} + H_o \frac{a_o^3}{a_u^3 + a_o^3}$$
(11)

using (8),

$$\langle \rho \rangle_{D} \simeq \langle \rho \rangle_{2region} = \frac{\langle \rho \rangle_{o} a_{o}^{3} + \langle \rho \rangle_{u} a_{u}^{3}}{a_{o}^{3} + a_{u}^{3}} = \frac{\langle \rho \rangle_{o} a_{o}^{3}}{a_{o}^{3} + a_{u}^{3}}$$
(12)

∃ ⇒

э

<u>Covariant Scheme</u>: we need to relate this theoretically calculated quantity with some observable in a sensible manner.

$$1 + z = \frac{1}{a_D}$$

$$H_D \frac{d}{dz} \left( (1+z)^2 H_D \frac{dD_A}{dz} \right) = -4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle_D D_A$$
(13)

 $D_A 
ightarrow$  Angular Diameter Distance

<u>Angular Diameter Distance</u> - The angular diameter distance is defined as the ratio of an object's physical transverse size to its angular size (in radians). It is used to convert angular separations in telescope images into proper separations at the source.

# Plots of Distances

 $D_H$  = Hubble Distance = 3000  $h^{-1} Mpc(h = 0.7)$ 



Figure 1: Plot of the ratio of angular diameter distance  $D_A$  to the present Hubble length-scale  $D_H$  w.r.t. redshift, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM case with different combinations of the fractions fu and fo for  $\beta = 1$ . For the combination fu = 0.845 and fo = 0.155, with  $\beta = 1$ , our model  $\simeq \Lambda$ CDM model.

If we consider the whole universe as our global domain, then an N-body simulation [Wiegand(2010)] of large scale structure formation indicates that value of  $(f_{o0}, f_{u0}) = (0.91, 0.09)$ ,  $(0 \rightarrow \text{present time})$ .



Figure 2: Plot of the ratio of angular diameter distance  $D_A$  to the present Hubble length-scale  $D_H$  w.r.t. redshift, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM case with different values of the parameter  $\beta$ , with the combination of the fractions fu = 0.91 and fo = 0.09. For  $\beta = 0.92$ , our model  $\simeq \Lambda$ CDM.

Redshift dependent part of GW amplitude



Figure 3: Plot of the redshift dependent part of the gravitational wave amplitude for various combinations of the volume fractions *fo* and *fu*, with  $\beta = 1$ . Position of the minima in curves have been denoted by dots.

Shashank (SNBNCBS)

Effect of inhomogeneities on GW observables



Figure 4: Plot of redshift dependent part of the gravitational wave amplitude w.r.t. redshift z, for the  $\Lambda$ CDM model and for our model for different values of the parameter  $\beta$ , for the combination of fractions fu = 0.91 and fo = 0.09. Position of the minima in curves have been denoted by dots. The shifting of the minima points is clearly visualized.

 Shashank Shekhar Pandey et al JCAP06(2022)021
 Image: Comparison of the state of the state

## Conclusions -

- We studied the impact of the inhomogeneities present in the underlying space on the amplitude of GWs - the amplitude of GWs comes out to be different for regions of spacetime with different fractions of f<sub>u</sub> & f<sub>o</sub>.
- The amplitude of GWs are also affected by the parameter  $\beta$  which governs the time evolution of the scale factor of the underdense region.

**Acknowledgement** - I would like to thank Mr. Arnab Sarkar, Dr. Amna Ali, Dr. Ashadul Halder and Prof. A. S. Majumdar for their continued help and support. I would like to thank CSIR for fellowship.

14/15

# References

## T. Buchert,

On average properties of inhomogeneous fluids in general relativity I: dust cosmologies, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **32** (2000), 105-125.

## S.M. Koksbang,

Another look at redshift drift and the backreaction conjecture, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **10** (2019), 036.

#### A. Wiegand and T. Buchert,

Multi-scale cosmology and structure-emerging Dark Energy: a plausibility analysis, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010), 023523.

#### S. S. Pandey, A. Sarkar, A. Ali, and A. S. Majumdar,

Effect of inhomogeneities on the propagation of gravitational waves from binaries of compact objects, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **06** (2022), 021.

## A. Wiegand, T. Buchert, and M. Ostermann,

Direct Minkowski Functional analysis of large redshift surveys: a new high-speed code tested on the luminous red galaxy Sloan Digital Sky Survey-DR7 catalogue, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **443** (2014), 241-259.