

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results

Angular resolution and pointing accuracy of the GRAPES-3 experiment obtained by Moon shadow observation

Diptiranjan Pattanaik

On behalf of the ${\bf GRAPES-3}$ collaboration

XXV DAE-BRNS High Energy Physics Symposium 2022 12 - 16 December, 2022 IISER Mohali



Motivation : VHE Gamma ray astronomy

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results

 \Rightarrow Very High Energy (E > 50 TeV) gamma rays travel undeflected directly from the source region, which can be detected by the ground based large air shower array experiment.



Figure: Illustration of gamma ray propagation from the source.

Challenges:

- Extremely small flux of gamma rays from the sources.
- Overwhelming cosmic ray background.

Tools required:

- 1 Excellent angular resolution.
- 2 Effective rejection of background cosmic rays.



The GRAPES-3 experiment

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm GRAPES-3} \\ {\rm expt} \end{array}$

Data selection

Analysis method

Results

GRAPES-3 stands for Gamma Ray Astronomy at PeV EnergieS phase-3.



- ▶ Dense array of scintillator detectors with 8 m inter-detector separation.
- ▶ Records $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ air showers/day in TeV-PeV energy range.



Air shower reconstruction

Moon shadow



Introduction

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm GRAPES-3} \\ {\rm expt} \end{array}$

Data selection

Analysis method

Results





Precision measurement of time offset (T_Z)

Moon shadow



Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selectior

Analysis method

Results



- Time offset (T_Z) = Delay in the measurements of arrival time.
- T_Z varries with temperature.

• Air shower data used based on random walk method to calculate hourly variation of T_Z .





Shower front curvature

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

GRAPES-3 expt



0.9

0.8

1.2

1.3

1.1

age (s)

0.

0.15

0.7



V. B. Jhansi et al., JCAP 2020 (07), 024]

6/15



Data selection

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

 ${
m Analysis} \\ {
m method}$

Results

- ▶ Data period: 1 January, 2014 31 December, 2016
- ▶ 2.98×10^9 events recorded.

Quality cuts:

- Events with good quality NKG fit.
- Showers with core inside the fiducial area.
- Shower age between [0.2, 1.8].
- ► Zenith angle (θ) below 40°.



Figure: The angular resolution using Left-Right method for 2014, 2015 and 2016.

 $\Rightarrow 1.65 \times 10^9$ events remained after the quality cuts.



Analysis method

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results

Moon shadow:





Background selection:



- ► A circular region of angular radius 3.5° from the center of the Moon was selected.
- The region was then divided into 14 annular bins of equal bin width i.e. 0.25°.
- ▶ The central bin is comparable to the size of the Moon (angular radius = $\sim 0.26^{\circ}$).



Moon shadow D. Pattanaik,

DAE-HEP

Symposium 2022

Analysis method

▶ Event density in each annuar bin is given by,

Event density
$$(N_{\Omega_i}) = \frac{N_i}{\Omega_i} \times \Omega_{\circ}$$

 N_i = Observed events in i^{th} annular bin. Ω_i = Solid angle of the i^{th} annular bin. Ω_{\circ} = Solid angle of the central bin

Events density 34000 33500 Moon 33000 ٠ Fake-Moon • 32500 2 3 Angular distance (degree)

morodacorom

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results



Results : Cosmic ray flux deficit





Results: Angular resolution



D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results



[D. Pattanaik et al., Phys. Rev. D, 106, 022009 (2022)]



Results : Pointing accuracy

Moon shadow





GRAPES-: expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results



 $\Delta \delta = \delta_{event} - \delta_{Moon}$ $\Delta \alpha = \alpha_{event} - \alpha_{Moon}$

Relative Intensity

- HEALPix map between $\Delta \delta$ and $\Delta \alpha$ generated.
- Location of the maximum deficit determines the pointng accuracy.

Pointing accuracy

- Pointing accuracy along $\alpha = 0.032^{\circ} \pm 0.004^{\circ}$
- Pointing accuracy along $\delta = 0.09^{\circ} \pm 0.003^{\circ}$



Summary

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results



 Despite being located at 2200 meter, GRAPES-3 angular resolution is comparable to other experiments located at twice the altitude.



Summary and outlook

Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results

 \checkmark Shower front curvature dependance on shower size and age was studied.

 \checkmark Significant improvements in the angular resolution (0.44°±0.07° at E > 50 TeV and 0.29°±0.06° at E > 200 TeV) have been achieved after curvature correction.

 \checkmark From the Moon shadow analysis, pointing accuracy was calculated to be $0.032^\circ \pm 0.004^\circ$ along Right ascension and $0.09^\circ \pm 0.003^\circ$ along Declination.

 \checkmark Muon telescope helps to distinguish between cosmic rays and gamma rays based on the muon multiplicity.

Excellent angular resolution and small pointing accuracy combined with the muon measurement can help detecting the multi-TeV gamma ray sources.



Moon shadow

D. Pattanaik, DAE-HEP Symposium 2022

Introduction

GRAPES-3 expt

Data selection

Analysis method

Results

