# Dark Energy or a Void: no verdict

Wessel Valkenburg (ITTK, RWTH Aachen)

#### • Why consider something else than DE?

• Why consider something else than DE? • A full MCMC analysis.

- Why consider something else than DE?
- A full MCMC analysis.
- What needs to be done next.

- Why consider something else than DE?
- A full MCMC analysis.
- What needs to be done next.
- <sup>Λ</sup>LTB

#### Motivation

#### Motivation

- Dark Energy / Λ:
	- FLRW
	- homogeneous cosmology

#### Motivation

• Dark Energy / Λ:

• FLRW

- homogeneous cosmology
- What do observations say?
	- how homogeneous must the universe be?
	- how necessary is  $\Lambda$ ?

# Why a void?

# Why a void?

#### • Λ: fine tuning in time (why now?)

# Why a void?

- Λ: fine tuning in time (why now?)
- Void: fine tuning in space (why here?)

#### Lemaître-Tolman-Bondi

 $ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + S^{2}(r, t)dr^{2} + R^{2}(r, t)(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})$ 

$$
S(r, t) = \frac{R'(r, t)}{\sqrt{1 + 2r^2k(r)\tilde{M}^2}}
$$

 $S(r, t) = f(\Omega_M(r), \Omega_k(r), t)$ 

Each 'isoradial shell' obeys its own FLRW equation.

#### Lemaître-Tolman-Bondi

$$
S(r, t) = \frac{R'(r, t)}{\sqrt{1 + 2r^2k(r)\tilde{M}^2}}
$$

#### Two functions describing the configuration:  $k(r)$  &  $t_{BB}(r)$

Each 'isoradial shell' obeys its own FLRW equation.

#### Lemaître-Tolman-Bondi

$$
S(r, t) = \frac{R'(r, t)}{\sqrt{1 + 2r^2k(r)\tilde{M}^2}}
$$

#### Two functions describing the configuration:  $k(r)$  &  $t_{BB}(r)$

For all the following we chose  $t_{BB}(r) \equiv 0$ 

Each 'isoradial shell' obeys its own FLRW equation.



[Biswas, Notari, WV, 2009]

## CMB



[ignoring ISW]

## BAO



z

### Supernovae

CMB + BAO + SN + HST



# How good is it?



[Biswas, Notari, WV, 2009]

# How crazy is it?



[Biswas, Notari, WV, 2009]

# Realtime cosmology



[Quartin, Amendola, 2009]

# Compton y distortion

#### departure from black body



#### kinematic SZ

#### change in temperature



[from García-Bellido & Haugbølle, 2008] [Zhang & Stebbins, 2010]

$$
H_0\left[t(A)-t_{BB}(r)\right]=\int_0^A\frac{\sqrt{a}\,da}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(r)+\Omega_k(r)a+\Omega_\Lambda(r)a^3}}
$$

$$
H_0 \left[t(A) - t_{BB}(r)\right] = \int_0^A \frac{\sqrt{a} da}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(r) + \Omega_k(r)a + \Omega_\Lambda(r)a^3}}
$$
  
=  $\frac{2}{3\sqrt{\Omega_\Lambda}} \frac{(-1)^{-\frac{9}{2}}}{\sqrt{\prod_{m=1}^3 y_m}} R_J \left(\frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{y_1}, \frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{y_2}, \frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{y_3}, \frac{1}{A}\right)$ 

$$
H_0\left[t(A)-t_{BB}(r)\right]=\int_0^A\frac{\sqrt{a}\,da}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(r)+\Omega_k(r)a+\Omega_\Lambda(r)a^3}}
$$

$$
=\frac{2}{3\sqrt{\Omega_A}}\frac{(-1)^{-\frac{9}{2}}}{\sqrt{\prod_{m=1}^{3}y_m}}R_J\left(\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{y_1},\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{y_2},\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{y_3},\frac{1}{A}\right)
$$

• ALTB gives the full exact(ly solved!) metric for spherical collapse in ΛCDM **[WV, arXiv:1104.1082]** 

$$
H_0\left[t(A)-t_{BB}(r)\right]=\int_0^A\frac{\sqrt{a}\,da}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(r)+\Omega_k(r)a+\Omega_\Lambda(r)a^3}}
$$

$$
=\frac{2}{3\sqrt{\Omega_A}}\frac{(-1)^{-\frac{9}{2}}}{\sqrt{\prod_{m=1}^{3}y_m}}R_J\left(\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{y_1},\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{y_2},\frac{1}{A}-\frac{1}{y_3},\frac{1}{A}\right)
$$

• ALTB gives the full exact(ly solved!) metric for spherical collapse in ΛCDM

[WV, arXiv:1104.1082]

• ALTB allows for modelling the CMB cold spot to what it has become today

[Starobinsky, WV, in preparation]

# Living in the cold spot



 $\geq$ 

#### Conclusion

- <sup>Λ</sup>CDM is the not only possibility yet.
- Future observations may test the Copernican / cosmological principle.
- Even in  $\Lambda$ CDM,  $\Lambda$ LTB is a useful tool.