PONT 2011 AVIGNON - 20 APR 2011 NON-GAUSSIANITIES IN HALO CLUSTERING PROPERTIES

#### ANDREA DE SIMONE



BASED ON: ADS, M. MAGGIORE, A. RIOTTO - 1102.0046 ADS, M. MAGGIORE, A. RIOTTO - MNRAS (1007.1903)

#### OUTLINE

Excursion Set Theory

Path Integral formulation

Computation of NG corrections to:

Halo mass function

### Halo bias

Halo formation time distribution

#### INTRODUCTION

The formation and evolution of structures is a very complex phenomenon.

Clustering properties of DM haloes (e.g. mass function) can be sensitive probes of NG and will be tested in the near future.

• At present, quantitative knowledge comes mainly from N-body sims.

A full theoretical understanding is still lacking. A successful theory of structure formation must be able to make predictions. Need for an analytical control.

 Focus on: analytical description of the formation of DM haloes and impact of NG.

**Excursion Set Theory** 

## **EXCURSION SET THEORY** [Bond, Cole, Efstathiou, Kaiser 1991] [Peacock, Heavens 1990] It allows to map the statistics of initial conditions with the subsequent formation of structures. Smooth out the density pert. $\delta = \frac{\delta \rho}{\delta}$ on a sphere of radius *R* $\delta(R, \mathbf{x}) = \int d^3x' W(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, R) \,\delta(\mathbf{x}')$ Study the evolution of $\delta$ as a function of R • At $R = \infty$ , $\delta(R) = 0$ .

3/19

- EXCURSION SET THEORY [Bond, Cole, Efstathiou, Kaiser 1991] [Peacock, Heavens 1990] It allows to map the statistics of initial conditions with the subsequent formation of structures.
- Smooth out the density pert.  $\delta = \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho}$  on a sphere of radius R $\delta(R, \mathbf{x}) = \int d^3x' W(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, R) \,\delta(\mathbf{x}')$

Study the evolution of  $\delta$  as a function of R

 At R=∞, δ(R)=0. Lowering R, δ(R) evolves stochastically and performs a random walk.



δ(S)

#### EXCURSION SET THEORY [Bond, Cole, Efstathiou, Kaiser 1991] [Peacock, Heavens 1990] It allows to map the statistics of initial conditions with the subsequent formation of structures.

• Smooth out the density pert.  $\delta = \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho}$  on a sphere of radius R $\delta(R, \mathbf{x}) = \int d^3x' W(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, R) \,\delta(\mathbf{x}')$ 

Study the evolution of  $\delta$  as a function of *R* 

At R=∞, δ(R)=0. Lowering R,
 δ(R) evolves stochastically and performs a random walk.

• Use  $S = \sigma^2(R)$  as "time". S increases as R decreases.



 $S \rightarrow$ 

 $\delta(S)$ 

#### **EXCURSION SET THEORY**

## $\delta(R, \mathbf{x} = 0) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \tilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{W}(\mathbf{k}, R)$

stochastic variable Window function ("filter")

 $(\eta(S))$  (Langevin eq.) "noise"

Ex: for sharp k-space filter  $\langle \eta(S_1)\eta(S_2)\rangle = \delta_D(S_1 - S_2)$ "white noise"

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{S} \ \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ 

 $\frac{\partial \delta(S)}{\partial S}$ 

 $\delta(S)$ 

#### **EXCURSION SET THEORY**

(Langevin eq.)

# $\delta(R, \mathbf{x} = 0) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \widetilde{\delta}_{\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{W}(\mathbf{k}, R)$

stochastic variable Window function ("filter")

A region collapses if it is dense enough: when  $\delta$  crosses  $\delta_c$  the first time

> Halo formation probability is mapped into a *first passage time problem*



S → ←M

 $\frac{\partial \delta(S)}{\partial S}$ 

*Problem*: find the probability that a particle subject to a random walk passes for the first time through a given point

 $\Pi(\delta, S):$ 

prob. that the density contrast arrives for the first time at  $\delta$  in a time S

Knowledge of  $\Pi$  solves the problem and allows computation of the mass function

First-crossing rate:  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  :

$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial S} \int_{-\infty}^{\delta_c} d\delta \,\Pi(\delta, S)$$

number of trajectories that did not cross the  $\frac{1}{2}$  barrier before S

Halo mass function:  $\frac{dn}{dM}dM = \frac{\overline{\rho}}{M}\mathcal{F}(S)\left|\frac{dS}{dM}\right|dM$ 

## **EXCURSION SET THEORY Assumptions: 1.** Top-hat filter in k-space: $\widetilde{W}(\mathbf{k}, R) = \theta(R^{-1} - |\mathbf{k}|)$

**2.** Spherical collapse  $(\delta_c)$ 

3. Gaussian initial conditions

**EXCURSION SET THEORY Assumptions: 1.** Top-hat filter in k-space:  $\widetilde{W}(\mathbf{k}, R) = \theta(R^{-1} - |\mathbf{k}|)$ 2. Spherical collapse ( $\delta_c$ ) 3. Gaussian initial conditions  $\frac{\partial \Pi(\delta, S)}{\partial S} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Pi(\delta, S)}{\partial \delta^2} \quad \text{(Fokker-Planck eq.)}$  $\Pi(\delta_c, S) = 0$  $\Pi(\delta, S) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi S}} \left[ e^{-\delta^2/(2S)} - e^{-(2\delta_c - \delta)^2/(2S)} \right]$ solution  $\longrightarrow$  $\rightarrow$   $\mathcal{F}(S) = \frac{\delta_c}{\sqrt{2\pi S^3}} e^{-\delta_c^2/(2S)}$  (Press-Schechter)



At large masses, the PS theory underestimates the halo masses by a factor ~ 10; at small halo masses it overestimates them by a factor ~ 2

A. DE SIMONE

### **1.** Top-hat filter in k-space ?

Unphysical, one may not identify well-defined mass. N-body sim. use top-hat filter in real space.

Smoothing with the top-hat filter in real space and/or dealing with non-Gaussianities makes the various random steps correlated: the dynamics is <u>non-Markovian</u> and memory effects are introduced.

## 2. Spherical collapse ?

Formation of DM haloes proceeds through an ellipsoidal collapse along each of the principal ellipsoidal axes under the action of external tides (DM haloes carry angular momentum)

One can describe it by changing the collapse barrier into a moving collapse barrier

 $\delta(S)$ 

$$\delta_c \to B(S)$$
$$B(S) = \delta_c \left[ 1 + 0.4 \left( \frac{S}{\delta_c^2} \right)^{0.6} \right]$$

It tends to sph. coll. in large mass limit



S ≁M

A. DE SIMONE

## 3. Gaussian initial conditions ?

 Want to include effects of primordial NG on structure formation.

NG introduces non-markovian dynamics and memory effects

## 3. Gaussian initial conditions ?

Want to include effects of primordial NG on structure formation.

 NG introduces non-markovian dynamics and memory effects

If any of the assumptions is not met, FP eq is non-local. Need to go to a more fundamental level.

Excursion Set Theory has stuck for years because of this technical difficulty.

[Maggiore, Riotto 2009]

Take "ensemble" of trajectories of the smoothed density contrast  $\xi(S)$  and follow them for a time *S*.



[Maggiore, Riotto 2009]

Take "ensemble" of trajectories of the smoothed density contrast  $\xi(S)$  and follow them for a time *S*.

Discretize S:  $S_k = k \varepsilon$ .  $\xi(S_k) = \delta_k$ . A given trajectory is defined by the set  $\{\delta_{l, \dots, \delta_n}\}$ . The prob. density in the space of trajectories is  $W(\delta_0; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n; S_n) \equiv \langle \delta_D(\xi(S_1) - \delta_1) \dots \delta_D(\xi(S_n) - \delta_n) \rangle$ 

[Maggiore, Riotto 2009]

Take "ensemble" of trajectories of the smoothed density contrast  $\xi(S)$  and HH S follow them for a time S.

Discretize S:  $S_k = k \varepsilon$ .  $\xi(S_k) = \delta_k$ . A given trajectory is defined by the set  $\{\delta_{1,\ldots}, \delta_{n}\}$ . The prob. density in the space of trajectories is  $W(\delta_0; \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_n; S_n) \equiv \langle \delta_D(\xi(S_1) - \delta_1) \cdots \delta_D(\xi(S_n) - \delta_n) \rangle$ 

0

 $\Pi$  is constructed by summing over all paths that never exceed the threshold

$$\Pi_{\epsilon}(\delta_0; \delta_n, S_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\delta_c} d\delta_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\delta_c} d\delta_{n-1} W(\delta_0; \delta_1, \cdots, \delta_{n-1}, \delta_n; S_n)$$

The problem is reduced to the evaluation of a path integral with boundaries.

## **PATH INTEGRAL FORMULATION**

[Maggiore, Riotto 2009]

$$\Pi_{\epsilon}(\delta_{0};\delta_{n},S_{n}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\delta_{c}} d\delta_{1}\cdots d\delta_{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda_{1}}{2\pi}\cdots \frac{d\lambda_{n}}{2\pi}$$

$$\times \underbrace{e^{i\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_{i}\delta_{i}+\sum_{p=2}^{\infty}\frac{(-i)^{p}}{p!}\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n}\cdots\sum_{i_{p}=1}^{n}\lambda_{i_{1}}\cdots\lambda_{i_{p}}\langle\xi_{i_{1}}\cdots\xi_{i_{p}}\rangle_{c}}_{\equiv e^{Z}}$$

$$i\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}\delta_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}\langle\xi(S_{i})\xi(S_{j})\rangle_{c} + \frac{(-i)^{3}}{3!}\sum_{i,j,k}\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\langle\xi(S_{i})\xi(S_{j})\xi(S_{k})\rangle_{c} + \cdots$$

 $_{i,j,k}$ 

#### Generating functional of connected correlators!

Z =

i

[Maggiore, Riotto 2009]

$$\Pi_{\epsilon}(\delta_{0};\delta_{n},S_{n}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\delta_{c}} d\delta_{1}\cdots d\delta_{n-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda_{1}}{2\pi}\cdots \frac{d\lambda_{n}}{2\pi}$$

$$\times \underbrace{e^{i\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_{i}\delta_{i}+\sum_{p=2}^{\infty}\frac{(-i)^{p}}{p!}\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n}\cdots\sum_{i_{p}=1}^{n}\lambda_{i_{1}}\cdots\lambda_{i_{p}}\langle\xi_{i_{1}}\cdots\xi_{i_{p}}\rangle_{c}}_{\equiv}}_{\equiv e^{Z}}$$

$$i\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}\delta_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}\langle\xi(S_{i})\xi(S_{j})\rangle_{c} + \frac{(-i)^{3}}{3!}\sum_{i,j,k}\lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}\lambda_{k}\langle\xi(S_{i})\xi(S_{j})\xi(S_{k})\rangle_{c} + \cdots$$

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

i,j,k

Generating functional of connected correlators!

• NG:  $\langle \xi(S_i)\xi(S_j)\xi(S_k)\rangle_c \neq 0$ 

Non-spherical collapse:  $\delta_c \to B(S)$  in the integrals 

Z =

i

#### HALO MASS FUNCTION

#### [DS, Maggiore, Riotto 2010]

Using path integrals, we computed the halo mass function with and without NG, for a generic barrier B(S).

Sheth&Tormen (2002) showed that the empirical formula fits well numerical sims:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rm ST}(S) = \frac{e^{-B^2(S)/(2S)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}S^{3/2}} \sum_{p=0}^5 \frac{(-S)^p}{p!} \frac{\partial^p B(S)}{\partial S^p}$$

For NG=0, recover (numerically) the ST ansatz (better than 10%) and put it on firmer grounds.

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = \frac{B(S)}{\sqrt{2\pi}S^{3/2}}e^{-B^2(S)/(2S)} - \frac{B'(S)}{\sqrt{2\pi}S}e^{-B(S)^2/(2S)} + \frac{B''(S)}{4\pi} \left\{ \sqrt{2\pi}Se^{-B(S)^2/(2S)} - \pi B(S) \text{Erfc}\left[\frac{B(S)}{2S}\right] \right\}$$



#### HALO MASS FUNCTION

#### [DS, Maggiore, Riotto 2010]

Using path integrals, we computed the halo mass function with and without NG, for a generic barrier B(S).

Sheth&Tormen (2002) showed that the empirical formula fits well numerical sims:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\rm ST}(S) = \frac{e^{-B^2(S)/(2S)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}S^{3/2}} \sum_{p=0}^{5} \frac{(-S)^p}{p!} \frac{\partial^p B(S)}{\partial S^p}$$

For NG=0, recover (numerically) the ST ansatz (better than 10%) and put it on firmer grounds.

$$\mathcal{F}(S) = \frac{B(S)}{\sqrt{2\pi}S^{3/2}}e^{-B^2(S)/(2S)} - \frac{B'(S)}{\sqrt{2\pi}S}e^{-B(S)^2/(2S)} + \frac{B''(S)}{4\pi} \left\{ \sqrt{2\pi}S e^{-B(S)^2/(2S)} - \pi B(S) \text{Erfc}\left[\frac{B(S)}{2S}\right] \right\} +$$

For NG≠0, ~10% corrections



#### HALO MASS FUNCTION WITH NG

[DS, Maggiore, Riotto 2010]

#### The halo mass function with NG is usually computed hoping that

 $\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\rm NG}(f_{\rm NL},S)}{\mathcal{F}_{\rm G}(S)} = \left. \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\rm NG}(f_{\rm NL},S)}{\mathcal{F}_{\rm G}(S)} \right|_{\rm PS} \equiv \mathcal{R}(S)$ 

No rigorous justification.

 We computed directly *F<sub>NG</sub>* for ellipsoidal collapse, with "saddle-point" improvement
 [D' Amico, Musso, Norena, Paranjape 2010]

Proved that the above ansatz is incorrect.



#### HALO MASS FUNCTION WITH NG

Conditional probabilities are useful for formation history.

- Given a halo of mass  $M_0$  at redshift  $z_a$ , how was its mass partitioned among smaller haloes of mass M at redshift  $z_b > z_a$ .
- In Excursion Set Theoy: two-barrier problem.

[Lacey, Cole 1993]

[DS, Maggiore, Riotto 2010]

Conditional mass function for generic barrier, with/without NG



A. DE SIMONE

#### HALO BIAS

The bias is the proportionality of halo overabundance wrt matter overdensity:

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\text{galaxies}} = b \left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\text{mass}}$$

$$b(S) = 1 + \frac{\nu(S)^2 - 1}{\delta_c} = 1 + \frac{\delta_c}{S} - \frac{1}{\delta_c}$$
 [Cole, Kaiser 1989] [Mo, White 1996]

Our result for generic barrier, without NG:

$$b(S) = 1 + \frac{B(S)}{S}$$
$$- \frac{1}{B(S) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-S)^p}{p!} \frac{\partial^p B(S)}{\partial S^p}}$$



A. DE SIMONE

#### HALO BIAS WITH NG

We computed the (linear and quadratic) bias for generic barrier and with NG. For ellipsoidal collapse:

#### HALO BIAS WITH NG

We computed the (linear and quadratic) bias for generic barrier and with NG. For ellipsoidal collapse:

$$\Delta b_{\rm NG}^{(1)} \simeq -\frac{1}{6} S_3 \left[ 3a\nu^2 - 1.6(a\nu^2)^{0.4} \right]$$

Factor 2/3 discrepancy with [Desireques Marian Smith 2009] which uses the "form factor" prescription. Our calculation is from "first principles".

Non-Markov corrections due to filter: [Ma, Maggiore, Riotto, Zhang 2010]

N-body sims began to study the bias with NG.

[Wagner, Verde 2011]

 $\mathcal{S}_3 = \langle \delta^3(S) \rangle / S^2$ 

#### HALO FORMATION TIME WITH NG

Formation time: the earliest time when at least half of its mass was assembled into a single progenitor.





A. DE SIMONE

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Excursion Set Theory (with path integrals) is a convenient and powerful framework to compute properties of LSS analytically.
- It allowed a consistent derivation of the effects of NG.
- "First-principles" calculation of halo mass function, bias and formation time for generic barrier, with and without NG.
- Look forward to comparing with N-body sims and new data.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Excursion Set Theory (with path integrals) is a convenient and powerful framework to compute properties of LSS analytically.
- It allowed a consistent derivation of the effects of NG.
- "First-principles" calculation of halo mass function, bias and formation time for generic barrier, with and without NG.
- Look forward to comparing with N-body sims and new data.

## THANK YOU !