Determining the CEP via the Dyson-Schwinger Equation Approach of QCD

Yuxin Liu

Department of Physics, leking University, China

vxliu@piku.edu.en

Outline

I. Introduction II. Dyson-Schwinger Eq. Approach III. Sign. Quantity & Numerical results IV. Summary & Remarks

7th International Workshop on CPOD, Wuhan, Nov.7-11, 2011

I. Introduction QCD Phase Diagram: Phase Boundary, Specific States, e.g., CEP, sQGP, Quakyonic,



Critical Endpoint (CEP) is one of the most concerned item

The Position of CEP is a highly debated problem!

(p)NJL model & others give quite large μ_E/T_E (> 3.0)

Fodor, et al., JHEP 4, 050 (2004); Gavai, et al., PRD 71, 114014 (2005);

▲ RHIC Experimental observables

- R.A. Lacey, et al., nucl-ex/0708.3512 =→ μ_E/T_E ≅ 1 ; ……
 M Stophonov et al. DDI 91 4916 (109). DDI 102 032301 (100).
 ▲ Simple DSE Calculations with Different Effective Gluon Propagators Generate Different Results (0.0, 1.3)
- What can sophisticated DSE calculation produce ?
- Why different models give distinct results ?

II. Dyson-Schwinger Equation **Approach of QCD**

Theory The Frontiers of Nuclear Science A LONG RANGE PLAN December 2007 The primary goal of the RHIC scientific program in the coming years is to progress from qualitative statements to rigorous quantitative conclusions. Quantitative conclusions require sophisticated modeling of relativistic heavy-ion collisions and rigorous comparison of such models with

Thus, an essential requirement for the field as a whole is strong support for the ongoing theoretical studies of QCD matter, including finite temperature and finite baryon density lattice QCD studies and phenomenological modeling, and an increase of funding to support <u>new initiatives enabled</u> by experimental and theoretical breakthroughs. The success of this effort mandates significant additional investment in theoretical resources in terms of focused collaborative initia-

General view of Theor. Aps. ▲ Lattice QCD:

> Running coupling behavior, Vacuum Structure, **Temperature effect**, "Small chemical potential";

▲ Continuum:

(1) Phenomenological models (p)NJL、(p)QMC、QMF、 (2) Field Theoretical

Chiral perturbation, **Renormalization Group,** OCD sum rules.

The approach should manifest simultaneously: (1) DCSB & its Restoration, **(2)** Confinement & Deconfinement.

The Dyson-Schwinger Equation Approach



C. D. Roberts, et al, PPNP 33 (1994), 477; 45-S1, 1 (2000); EPJ-ST 140(2007), 53; R. Alkofer, et. al, Phys. Rep. 353, 281 (2001); C.S. Fischer, JPG 32(2006), R253;

Practical Algorithm at Present Stage

Quark equation at zero chemical potential

 $G^{-1}(p) = Z_2(i\gamma \cdot p + m_{bar}) + \frac{4}{3} \int_q^{\Lambda} 4\pi \alpha (p-q) D_{\mu\nu}^{free}(p-q) \gamma_{\mu} G(q) \Gamma_{\nu}, \ (1)$

where $D_{ab}^{free}(p-q)$ is the effective gluon propagator, $G^{-1}(p)$ can be conventionally decomposed as $G^{-1}(p) = i\gamma \cdot pA(p^2) + B(p^2), \quad M(p^2) = \frac{B(p^2)}{A(p^2)}$ • Quark equation in medium

 $G^{-1}(p) \implies \mathcal{G}^{-1}(p,\omega_n,\mu)$

with

 $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(p,\omega_n,\mu) = iA(p,\omega_n,\mu)\vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{p} + iC(p,\mu)\gamma_4(\omega_n+i\mu) + B(\tilde{p}) + \cdots (3)$

Models of the Vertex

$$\Gamma^a_\mu(q,p) = t^a \Gamma_\mu(q,p)$$

- (1) Bare Vertex $\Gamma_{\mu}(q, p) = \gamma_{\mu}$ (Rainbow-Ladder Approx.)
- (2) Ball-Chiu Vertex $\Gamma_{\mu}^{BC}(p,q) = \frac{A(p^2) + A(q^2)}{2} \gamma_{\mu} + \frac{(p+q)_{\mu}}{p^2 - q^2} \{ [A(p^2) - A(q^2)] \frac{(\gamma \cdot p + \gamma \cdot q)}{2} - i[B(p^2) - B(q^2)] \},$

(3) Curtis-Pennington Vertex

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{CP}_{\mu}(p,q) &= & \Gamma^{BC}_{\mu}(p,q) + \frac{1}{2}(A(p^2) - A(q^2))\frac{\gamma_{\mu}(p^2 - q^2) - (k+p)_{\mu}\gamma \cdot (p+q)}{d(p,q)}, \\ d(p,q) &= & \frac{(p^2 - q^2)^2 + [M^2(p^2) + M^2(q^2)]^2}{p^2 + q^2}, \end{split}$$

(4) BC+ACM Vertex (Chang, Liu, Roberts, PRL 106, 072001 ('11) $\Gamma_{\mu}^{acm}(p_f, p_i) = \Gamma_{\mu}^{acm_4}(p_f, p_i) + \Gamma_{\mu}^{acm_5}(p_f, p_i),$

Effective Gluon Propagators

$$g^2 D_{\rho\sigma}(k) = 4\pi \frac{\mathcal{G}(k^2)}{k^2} \left(\delta_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{k_\rho k_\sigma}{k^2}\right)$$

(1) MN Model $g^2 D(p-q) = \frac{3}{16} \eta^2 \delta(p-q),$

- (2) $(q^4 + \Delta)^{-1}$ Model
- (3) More Realistic model



(4) An Analytical Expression of the Realistic Model: Maris-Tandy Model

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}(t)}{t} = \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^6} D \, t \mathrm{e}^{-t/\omega^2} + \frac{8\pi^2 \, \gamma_m}{\ln\left[\tau + \left(1 + t/\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2\right)^2\right]} \frac{1 - \exp(-t/[4m_{\mathcal{F}}^2])}{t}$$

5) Point Interaction: (P) NJL Model

Dynamical chiral symmetry breaking (χSB) generates the mass of Fermion



DSE approach meets the requirements!

Effect of the Running Coupling Strength on the Chiral Phase Transition

(W. Yuan, H. Chen, Y.X. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 637, 69 (2006))



(BC Vertex: L. Chang, Y.X. Liu, R.D. Roberts, et al., Phys. Rev. C 79, 035209 (2009))

Part of the QCD Phase Diagram in terms of the Current Mass and Coupling Strength



The one with multi-node solutions is more complicated and more interesting.

A comment on the DSE approach of QCD



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Nuclear Physics A 796 (2007) 83-100

Phases of dense quarks at large N_c

Larry McLerran^{a,b}, Robert D. Pisarski^{a,*}

^a Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA ^b RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

Received 15 July 2007; received in revised form 14 August 2007; accepted 15 August 2007

Available online 14 September 2007

One way of computing the properties of a quarkyonic phase is to use approximate solutions of Schwinger-Dyson equations [23]. These are, almost uniquely, the one approximation scheme which includes both confinement and chiral symmetry breaking. They do have features reminiscent of large N_c : at low momentum, if chiral symmetry breaking occurs, the gluon propagator for $N_f = 3$ is numerically close to that for $N_f = 0$. At present, solutions at $\mu \neq 0$ assume a Fermi surface dominated by quarks; if quark screening is not too large at moderate μ , these models should exhibit a quarkyonic phase.

III. A Quantity Identifying the CEP & Numerical Results in DSE Approach

A Phase transitions result from non-perturbation effects;

one can then not get the thermal potential of the strongly interacting (quark, gluon) system.

- Usual way identifying a phase transition that analyzes the thermal potential gets invalid !!
- ★ We propose the chiral susceptibility $\chi = \frac{\partial M}{\partial m}$ or $\chi = \frac{\partial B}{\partial m}$ can be the signature to identify not only the phase transition but also the critical point (tricritical point).

Example 1 for the Chiral Susceptibility (χS & χSB phases simultaneously) to be a Signature of the Chiral Phase Transition



Y. Zhao, L. Chang, W. Yuan, Y.X. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C 56, 483 (2008)

Example 2: The Chiral Phase Evolution is a second order phase transition in chiral limit, but a crossover beyond chiral limit



FIGURE 2. (color online) Calculated (with bare vertex approximation, Gaussian-like dressed gluon propagator with $\xi = 0.5$ GeV) variation behaviors of the chiral susceptibility at and beyond the chiral limit (with $m_0 = 0.5$ MeV(in red), 5.0 MeV(in yellow), respectively) with respect to the coupling strength D (left panel) and those against the current-quark mass with a fixed D = 1.0 GeV² (right panel).

Y.X. Liu, S.X. Qin, L. Chang, & C.D. Roberts, AIP Conf. Proc. 1354, 91 (2011)

Example 3: In Ginzberg-Landau Theory Thermal Potential:

$$\Omega(T,\mu,\eta) = \Omega_0(T,\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(T,\mu)\eta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\beta(T,\mu)\eta^4 + \frac{1}{6}\gamma(T,\mu)\eta^6 + \cdots$$

Stable 🗯 🖬 0 corresponds symmetric phase, Stable 🗯 🕒 0 stands for symmetry broken phase. Second order phase transition:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha_0 (T - T_c), \ \beta > 0, \ \gamma = \dots = 0; \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \eta^2} \Big|_{\eta = 0} &= \alpha_0 (T - T_c), \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \eta^2} \Big|_{\eta \neq 0} = -2\alpha_0 (T - T_c); \end{aligned}$$

The $\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \eta^2}\right)^{-1}$ s of the two phases diverge at the same T_c , but in opposite direction.

First order phase transition: Thermal Potential:

 $\Omega(T,\mu,\eta) = \Omega_0(T,\mu) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(T,\mu)\eta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\beta(T,\mu)\eta^4 + \frac{1}{6}\gamma(T,\mu)\eta^6 + \cdots$

$$\begin{split} \gamma > 0, \ \beta &= \beta_0 (\mu - \mu_{c,2}), \ \alpha \leq \frac{\beta^2}{4\gamma}; \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \eta^2} \Big|_{\eta=0} &= \alpha, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \eta^2} \Big|_{\eta\neq 0} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma} (\sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma} - \beta)}{\gamma}; \end{split}$$

The $\left(\frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \eta^2}\right)^{-1}$ s of the two phases diverge at different O_c , even in opposite direction. The state at which the chiral susceptibilities of the two phases begin to diverge at different O_c is just the critical endpoint (tricritcal point).

Numerical Results: Phase Diagram & CEP



S.X. Qin, L. Chang, H. Chen, Y.X. Liu, C.D. Roberts, PRL 106, 172301('11)

Unifying previous results in diff. approaches

model			result				
vertex	$\hat{D}^{1/2}$	σ	T_c	$\Delta_{\rm C}$	$(\mu_{\rm E}, T_{\rm E})/T_c$	$\mu_{\rm E}/T_{\rm E}$	
BC	0.7	0.50	0.124	0.026	(1.13, 0.89)	1.27	
BC	"	0.45	0.128	0.048	(0.69, 0.92)	0.75	
BC	"	0.40	0.139	0.076	(0.16, 0.96)	0.17	
Bare	1.0	0.50	0.133	0.220	(0.98, 0.90)	1.08	
Bare	"	0.45	0.136	0.280	(0.81, 0.89)	0.91	
Bare	"	0.40	0.148	0.360	(0.17, 0.95)	0.18	

Small σ → short range in momentum space → long range in coordinate space
MN model → infinite range in r-space
NJL model → "zero" range in r-space
Longer range Int. → Smaller μ_E/T_E

IV. Summary & Remarks

- A Discussed some aspects of the Early Universe Matter Evolution in view of the QCD phase transitions in the DS equation approach of QCD
 - Dynamical Mass is Generated by DCSB;
 - Phase Diagram is given;
 - CEP is fixed & the different results are unified;
 - Coexisting Phase is discussed.
 - Far from Well Established !
 - Observables ?!
 - Mechanism ?! Process ?!



The location of the CEP depends on the flavor mixing interaction strength and the current quark mass

(W.J. Fu, Z. Zhao, Y.X. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 77, 014006 (2008) ((2+1) flavor pNJL model);

more simple case: 2-flavor, Z. Zhang, Y.X. Liu, Phys. Rev. C 75, 064910 (2007))



Density & Temperature Dependence of some Properties of Nucleon in DSE Soliton Model



(Y. Mo, S.X. Qin, and Y.X. Liu, Phys. Rev. C 82, 025206 (2010))

and **D**-**D** S-L. in the model with contact



(Wei-jie Fu, and Yu-xin Liu, Phys. Rev. D 79, 074011 (2009))

Fluctuation & Correlation of Conserved Charges in the model with contact interaction



(W.J. Fu, Y.X. Liu, & Y.L. Wu, Phys. Rev. D 79, 014028 (2010))

Distinguishing Strange Quark Matter from Hadron Matter in Compact Stars Neutron Star: RMF, Quark Star: Bag Model

Frequency of g-mode oscillation



W.J. Fu, H.Q. Wei, and Y.X. Liu, arXiv: 0810.<u>1084</u>, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 181102 (2008)

Taking into account the MSB effect

Newly obtained results for QS in NJL Model

Radial order	Neutron Star			Strange Quark Star			
of g -mode	t = 100	t = 200	t = 300	t = 100	t = 200	t = 300	
n = 1	717.6	774.6	780.3	100.2	115.4	107.4	
n = 2	443.5	467.3	464.2	60.1	57.0	51.8	
n = 3	323.8	339.0	337.5	42.9	40.9	40.2	

Ott et al. have found that these g-mode pulsation of supernova cores are very efficient as sources of g-waves (PRL 96, 201102 (2006))

DS Cheng, R. Ouyed, T. Fischer, ·····



FIG. 4 (color). Characteristic strain spectra contrasted with initial and advanced LIGO (optimal) rms noise curves.

The g-mode pulsation frequency can be a signal to identify the deconfinement phase transition in compact stars.



Analytic Continuation from Euclidean Space to Minkowskian Space



(W. Yuan, S.X. Qin, H. Chen, & YXL, PRD 81, 114022 (2010))

Special topic (2): Coexistence region (Quarkyonic ?)

▲ Lattice QCD Calculation

de Forcrand, et al., Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 153, 62 (2006); …

and Generaal (large-N_c) Analysis

McLerran, et al., NPA 796, 83 ('07); NPA 808, 117 ('08); NPA 824, 86 ('09), ...



claim that there exists a quarkyonic phase.

▲ Inconsistent with Coleman-Witten Theorem !!

- ▲ Can sophisticated continuous field approach of QCD give the coexistence (quarkyonic) phase ?
- ▲ What can we know more for the coexistence phase?

Special Topic (3): Quark Matter at T above but near T_c

- HTL Cal. (Pisarski, PRL 63, 1129('89); Blaizot, PTP S168, 330('07)), Lattice QCD (Karsch, et al., NPA 830, 223 ('09); PRD 80, 056001 ('09))
 NJL (Wambach, et al., PRD 81, 094022(2010))
- & Simple DSE Cal. (Fischer et al., EPJC 70, 1037 (2010)) show: there exists thermal & Plasmino excitations in hot QM.
 Other Lattice QCD Simulations
 - (Hamada, et al., Phys. Rev. D 81, 094506 (2010)) claims:
 - **No** qualitative difference between the quark propagators in the deconfined and confined phases near the T_c .
- RHIC experiments (Gyulassy, et al., NPA 750, 30 (2005); Shuryak,

PPNP 62, 48 (2009); Song, et al., JPG 36, 064033 (2009);) indicate:

the matter is in sQGP state.What is the nature of the matter in DSE?

Property of the matter above but near the T_c

Solving quark's DSE -> Quark's Propagator In M-Space, only Yuan, Liu, etc, PRD 81, 114022 (2010) Usually in E-Space, Analytical continuation is required.

Maximum Entropy Method

(Asakawa, et al., PPNP 46,459 (2001); Nickel, Ann. Phys. 322, 1949 (2007))

→ Spectral Function



Qin, et al., PRD 84, 014017(2011)

Disperse Relation and Momentum Dependence of the Residues of the Quasi-particles' poles



and is long-range correlation (λ ~ ω⁻¹ >λ_{FP}).
 The quark at the *T* where χS is restored involves still rich phases. And the matter is sQGP.
 S.X. Qin, L. Chang, Y.X. Liu, C.D. Roberts, PRD 84, 014017('11)

Effect of the Chemical Potential on the Chiral Phase Transition

Chiral channel:

(L. Chang, H. Chen, B. Wang, W. Yuan, and Y.X. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 644, 315 (2007))



Diquark channel:

(W. Yuan, H. Chen, Y.X. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 637, 69 (2006))



Some Refs. of DSE study on CSC

- 1. D. Nickel, et al., PRD 73, 114028 (2006);
- 2. D. Nickel, et al., PRD 74, 114015 (2006);
- 3. F. Marhauser, et al., PRD 75, 054022 (2007);
- 4. 5. D. Nickel, et al., PRD 77, 114010 (2008);

Hadron Structure

Meson Bethe-Salpeter Eqn

Quantum field theory bound states: BSE

$$\Gamma_M(p;P) = \int_k^{\Lambda} K(p,k;P) S(k_+) \Gamma_M(k;P) S(k_-)$$



- Light quark propagator $\frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot pA(p^2) + B(p^2)}$
- Heavy quark propagator $\frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p + M^{\text{cons}}}$
- Fit M^{cons} to lightest ps meson

Some Numerical Results

DSE and Lattice results for M_V and M_{ps}





Our prediction · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/
o 0.2 ⊑ √ o JLab, 2006a	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	

2006a: V. Tadevosyan et al, [nucl-ex/0607007], 2006b: T. Horn et al, [nucl-ex/0607005

$m_{u=d} = 5.5 \text{ MeV}, m_s = 125 \text{ MeV}$ at $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}$				
Pseudoscalar (PM, Roberts, PRC56, 3369)				
	expt.	calc.		
$-\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^{0}_{\mu}$	(0.236 GeV) ³	(0.241 [†]) ³		
m_{π}	0.1385 GeV	0.138 [†]		
ſπ	0.0924 GeV	0.093 [†]		
m_K	0.496 GeV	0.497 [†]		
ſĸ	0.113 GeV	0.109		
Charge r	adii (PM, Tandy	, PRC62, 055204)		
r_{π}^2	0.44 fm ²	0.45		
$r_{K^{+}}^{2}$	0.34 fm ²	0.38		
$r_{K^{0}}^{2}$	-0.054 fm ²	-0.086		
γπγtransition (PM, Tandy, PRC65, 045211)				
gπγγ	0.50	0.50		
$r_{\pi\gamma\gamma}^2$	0.42 fm ²	0.41		
Weak K _{i3} decay (PM, Ji, PRD64, 014032)				
$\lambda_+(e3)$	0.028	0.027		
$\Gamma(K_{e3})$	7.6 ·10 ⁶ s ⁻¹	7.38		
$\Gamma(K_{\mu3})$	5.2 ·10 ⁶ s ⁻¹	4.90		

Summary of light meson results

Vector mesons	(PM, Ta	andy, PRC60, 055214)			
$m_{\rho/\omega}$	0.770 GeV	0.742			
$f_{\rho/\omega}$	0.216 GeV	0.207			
$m_{K^{\star}}$	0.892 GeV	0.936			
f _{K*}	0.225 GeV	0.241			
m_{Φ}	1.020 GeV	1.072			
Ĵφ	0.236 GeV	0.259			
Strong decay (J	Strong decay (Jarecke, PM, Tandy, PRC67, 035202)				
Ζρππ	6.02	5.4			
Z <i>\phiKK</i>	4.64	4.3			
Sκ*κπ	4.60	4.1			
SK*Kπ Radiative decay	4.60	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022)			
<i>Sκ</i> *κπ Radiative decay g _{ρπγ} /m _ρ	4.60 0.74	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69			
$S_{K^*K\pi}$ Radiative decay $S_{\rho\pi\gamma}/m_{\rho}$ $S_{\omega\pi\gamma}/m_{\omega}$	4.60 0.74 2.31	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69 2.07			
$g_{K^*K\pi}$ Radiative decay $g_{\rho\pi\gamma}/m_{\rho}$ $g_{\omega\pi\gamma}/m_{\omega}$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^+$	4.60 0.74 2.31 0.83	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69 2.07 0.99			
$\begin{split} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K}\pi} \\ & \textbf{Radiative decay} \\ & \mathcal{G}_{\rho\pi\gamma}/m_{\rho} \\ & \mathcal{G}_{\omega\pi\gamma}/m_{\omega} \\ & (\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K}\gamma}/m_{\mathcal{K}})^+ \\ & (\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K}\gamma}/m_{\mathcal{K}})^0 \end{split}$	4.60 0.74 2.31 0.83 1.28	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69 2.07 0.99 1.19			
$g_{K^*K\pi}$ Radiative decay $g_{\rho \pi \gamma}/m_{\rho}$ $g_{\omega \pi \gamma}/m_{\omega}$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^+$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^0$ Scattering length	4.60 0.74 2.31 0.83 1.28 h (PM, Cota	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69 2.07 0.99 1.19 anch, PRD66, 116010)			
$g_{K^*K\pi}$ Radiative decay $g_{\rho\pi\gamma}/m_{\rho}$ $g_{ω\pi\gamma}/m_ω$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^+$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^0$ Scattering lengt a_0^0	4.60 0.74 2.31 0.83 1.28 h (PM, Cota 0.220	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69 2.07 0.99 1.19 anch, PRD66, 116010) 0.170			
$g_{K^*K\pi}$ Radiative decay $g_{\rho\pi\gamma}/m_{\rho}$ $g_{ω\pi\gamma}/m_ω$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^+$ $(g_{K^*K\gamma}/m_K)^0$ Scattering lengt a_0^0 a_0^2	4.60 0.74 2.31 0.83 1.28 h (PM, Cota 0.220 0.044	4.1 (PM, nucl-th/0112022) 0.69 2.07 0.99 1.19 anch, PRD66, 116010) 0.170 0.045			

Axial anomaly and $\eta - \eta'$ states

- Ch symm: $\partial_{\mu}(z)\langle j_{5\mu}^{\alpha}(z) q(x)\bar{q}(y)\rangle$ involves $2 \operatorname{tr}_{f}(\mathcal{F}^{\alpha})\langle Q_{t}(z)q(x)\bar{q}(y)\rangle$
- Matrix elements, amputated \Rightarrow AV-WTI

 $+S^{-1}(k_{+})i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}+i\gamma_5\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}S^{-1}(k_{-})$

 $P_{\mu}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{5\mu}(k;P) = -2i \mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{5}(k;P) - \delta_{\alpha,0} \Gamma_{A}(k;P)$

$$K_A \sim \sum_{IS} \underbrace{f_1 \qquad f_2}_{IS}$$

$$e.g. \qquad IS = \underbrace{f_1 \qquad f_2}_{IS}$$

• Residues at PS poles \Rightarrow PS mass formula for arbitrary m_q , any flavor:

$$m_p^2 f_p^{\alpha} = 2 \mathcal{M}^{\alpha\beta} \rho_p^{\beta} + \delta^{\alpha,0} n_p \quad , \quad n_p = 2 \operatorname{tr}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathcal{F}^0) \langle 0 | Q_t | p$$

$$i
ho_p^{lpha}(\mu)=Z_4\operatorname{tr}\int_q^\Lambda \mathcal{F}^lpha\gamma_5\chi_p(q;P)\;,\quad p= ext{any PS state}$$

-----[Bhagwat, Chang, Liu, Roberts, PCT, PRC (76), 2007; arXiv:0708.1118]

Effect of the F.-S.-B. (m₀) on Meson's Mass

Solving the 4-dimensional covariant **B-S equation** with the kernel being fixed by the solution of **DS equation** and flavor symmetry breaking, we obtain

	Expt. (GeV)	Calc. (GeV)	$\mathrm{Th}/2$	Expt. (GeV)	Calc. (GeV)	Th/Ex-1	(%)
" ρ^{0} "	0.7755	0.7704	π^0	0.13498	0.13460	-0.3	
$ ho^{\pm}$	0.7755	0.7755	π^{\pm}	0.13957	0.13499	-3.3	
" ω "	0.7827	0.7806	K^{\pm}	0.49368	0.41703	-15.5	
$K^{*\pm}$	0.8917	0.8915	K^0	0.49765	0.42662	-14.3	
K^{*0}	0.8960	0.8969	η	0.54751	0.45499	-16.9	
ϕ	1.0195	1.0195	η'	0.95778	0.91960	-4.0	
D^{*0}	2.0067	1.8321	D^0	1.8645	1.6195	-13.1	
$D^{*\pm}$	2.0100	1.8387	D^{\pm}	1.8693	1.6270	-13.0	
$D_s^{*\pm}$	2.1120	1.9871	D_s^{\pm}	1.9682	1.7938	-8.9	
J/ψ	3.0969	3.0969	η_c	2.9804	3.0171	1.2	
$B^{*\pm}$		4.8543	B^{\pm}	5.2790	4.7747	-9.6	
B^{*0}		4.8613	B^0	5.2794	4.7819	-9.4	
B_{s}^{*0}		5.0191	B_s^0	5.3675	4.9430	-7.9	
$B_c^{*\pm}$		6.2047	B_c^{\pm}	6.286	6.1505	-2.2	
Ϋ́	9.4603	9.4603	η_b	9.300	9.4438	1.5	

(L. Chang, Y. X. Liu, C. D. Roberts, et al., Phys. Rev. C 76, 045203 (2007))

DSE Soliton Description of Nucleon

Maris-Tandy模型有效胶子传播子

$$g^{2}D(q) = \frac{4\pi^{2}d}{\omega^{6}}q^{2}e^{-q^{2}/\omega^{2}} + \frac{8\pi^{2}\gamma_{m}\pi}{\ln[\tau + (1 + \frac{q^{2}}{\Lambda_{QCD}^{2}})^{2}]} \frac{1 - \exp(-\frac{q^{2}}{4m_{t}^{2}})}{q^{2}}$$

 $\gamma_m = 12/25$ 、 $\tau = e^2 - 1$ 、 $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.234 \text{ GeV}$ 和 $m_t = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ 。介子性质对于参数的约束 $\omega d = (0.72 GeV)^3$ 。



B. Wang, H. Chen, L. Chang, & Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. C 76, 025201 (2007) Collective Quantization: Nucl. Phys. A790, 593 (2007).

Compositions and Phase Structure of Compact Stars and their Identification Radio Pulses = "Neutron" Stars



Fig. 3. The major regions and possible composition inside a normalmatter neutron star. The top bar illustrates expected geometric transiComposition & Structure of NS are Still Under Study !

J. M. Lattimer, *et al.* Science **304**, 536 (2004)

Conjecture of the Composition of Compact Stars



Fig. 1. Competing structures and novel phases of subatomic matter predicted by theory to make their appearance in the cores ($R \leq 8$ km) of neutron stars [1].