Studies on the performance of RPCs operated with R-1234ze gas mixtures

Gianluca Rigoletti, R. Guida, B. Mandelli

CERN, EP-DT R&D Days, 21/06/2022



EP-DT Detector Technologies

RPC emissions at CERN

RPC accounted for **87%** of **GHG emissions** from particle detectors at LHC during Run 2

- **Leaks** at detector level + R-134a in the gas mixture, GWP 1430

Search for alternatives to R-134a:

- Hydro-Fluoro-Olefins family: low GWP (< 10) and good refrigerant properties
- **R-1234ze** identified as main alternative by refrigerant industry

<u>Goal:</u>

Find a **eco-friendly** gas mixture **suitable** for **LHC** operation, that requires **no change** in the currents RPC systems (FEB, HV, Gas systems, etc.)







Reduction of R-134a: addition of He or CO2

Addition of an "inert" gas to the standard gas mixture

- Best candidates are **Helium** or **CO2**
 - Availability
 - Well studied in gas detectors
 - Lower detector working point
 - Non toxic, non flammable

Detector performance with cosmic muons

- Working point decreases (~590 V/10% of He, 190 V / 10% of CO2)
- Low streamer probability up to 30-40% of He/CO2. Streamer probability increases at higher concentrations
- Helium usage represent an issue for LHC operation → CO2 used as main candidate



Charge distribution



EP-DT Detector Technologies

R-1234ze vs R-134a

R-1234ze cannot be replaced 1:1 to R-134a:

 Working point > 12 kV, not allowed by HV systems and detector design

R-1234ze vs **R-134a** properties when used in similar gas mixtures (CO2/i-C4H10/SF6 69/5/1) and cosmic muons:

- 1. **R-1234ze** increases working point
- Streamers are similar between the two gases → higher streamers than std. gas mixture due to high presence of CO2 / low presence of fluorinated gas
- 3. **R-1234ze** currents are higher than **R-134a** ones → possible higher drop of efficiency at high rates
- Cluster size similar between the two gases, but higher than std. gas mixture → charge transversal size increase due to high concentration of CO2



R-1234ze + R-134a with muon beam and gamma background

<u>R-1234ze + R-134a + 50% CO2</u>

- Working point around **1** kV higher than std.
- 2% more of efficiency drop at 500 Hz/cm2
- Currents at 500 Hz/cm2 up to 80% higher

<u>R-1234ze + R-134a + 30% He</u>

- Working point around **400 V** higher than std.
- **2%** more of **efficiency drop** at 500 Hz/cm2
- Currents up to **50%** higher at 500 Hz/cm2



Impurities studies: setup and methodology development

R-134a and **R-1234ze** break under electric field and gamma irradiation \Rightarrow **HF** production \Rightarrow detector inner surface possible damage

Studies on HF production

- **Gas** analyzed at the **output** of the detector irradiated and operated at working point with different gas mixtures
- Ion Selective Electrode technique employed: gas is sampled into a F- capturing solution, the concentration of HF is measured

Setup and methodology development

- **Partial** gas sampling or **full** gas sampling → Both have pros and cons
- **Optimization** of the existing **methods**: increase the **accuracy** of measurement by improving parameter **monitoring** and measurements **procedure**
- **Tests** on **hardware** components for optimal measurements: long lasting electrodes, mass flow meters, stirrers, etc.

The setup and methodology will be used by the RPC ECOGAS @ GIF++ collaboration (AIDA Innova WP 7.2)



Impurities studies: HF production of different gas mixtures

2019 Measurements

- Comparison between standard and R-1234ze/R-134a + CO2 gas mixture
- Detector operated at w.p. and different background rates
- **R-1234ze** gas mixture produced around 4 times more HF than std. gas mixture



Gas mixture

- Std. Std + 30% CO2
- Std + 30% CO2 Std + 30% CO2, 1% SF6
- R-1234ze + R134a + 50% CO2
- R-1234ze + R134a + 30% He

Ongoing campaign

- R-1234ze gas mixtures and Std. + CO2 gas mixtures
- R-1234ze gas mixtures have the highest HF production → R-1234ze higher chemical reactivity
- HF production is not proportional to amount of F-gases in the mixture:
 30% CO2 + R-134a produces the same amount of HF as the std. gas mixture
- Ongoing studies to understand correlation between HF and gases in the mixture





Usage of CO2 for R-134a reduction

The simple addition of CO2 **could mitigate** R-134a consumption on the mid-term:

- 30% of CO2 shows lower streamer probability and lower current increase w.r.t to R-1234ze (~ +20%
- 30%+ of CO2 may require **SF6** concentration **to be increased** → increases **detector's plateau** of avalanche operation
- Aging studies required: CO2/R-134a expected to be similar to standard gas mixture (no additional F-pollutants)

Investigation of correlations between performance and environment:

- Lower R-134a amount \rightarrow higher **GWP** reduction
- Difficulty: **lower GWP** gas mixture seem to have higher **currents** → under investigation



320 rradiation currents [uA] 310 F 300 290 280 270 260 250 2000 2250 1750 2500 2750 3000 3250

330

GWP

Conclusions

R-1234ze studies with cosmic muons and muon beam

- **CO2** added to the gas mixture to **lower** the **working point**
- High amount of CO2 increases currents and streamers
- R-1234ze/CO2 shows similar streamer contamination but higher currents than equivalent R-134a/CO2 gas mixture
- No R-1234ze gas mixtures were found to match standard gas mixture performance:
 - R-134a + R-1234ze could be a compromise between GWP and performance

Impurities studies

- Ongoing studies on the improving **methodology** and **setup**
- **Preliminary tests** performed on R-1234ze, CO2 and He gas mixtures
 - **R-1234ze** produces **more HF** than **R-134a** → confirmed 2019 results
 - **Non linear** HF **production**: adding 30% of CO2 to std. mixture does not reduce HF production

CO2 gas mixtures

- **CO2** to R-134a could help **lowering GWP** and reduce GHG consumptions
 - CO2 ionization properties are well studied → simulations possible
 - GWP can be reduced by 30-50%
- R-134a/CO2 gas mixtures show lower currents increase than R-1234ze/CO2 ones
- SF6 concentrations may need to be adjusted depending on the CO2 amount





Usage of CO2 for R-134a reduction

GWP reduction w.r.t to STD gas mixture

SF6\CO2	30 %	40 %	50 %
0.3 %	29.4 %	39.2 %	49 %
0.6 %	23 %	32.8 %	42.6 %
0.9 %	16.6 %	26.4 %	36.1 %



Greenhouse gases emissions from RPCs

https://hse.cern/environment-report-2019-2020



¹Reparation of leaks campaign performed in CMS and ATLAS

¹³

Safety-Environment-Performance

Safety:

Safety first for LHC operation. Due to gas leaks and currents design:

- Gas mixture must not be **flammable**
- Gas components should not have **high toxicity levels**

Tradeoff between **flammability** and **GWP**. Lowering GWP by:

- Replacing F with Cl or H:
 - Shortens atmospheric lifetime
 - Increases flammability limit
- Adding C=C bound:
 - Increases reaction with O_2

Safety Performance Safety Performance Fervironment Official Environment: GWP represents the main environmental concern

Performance:

RPC **short** and **long** term **performance** shouldn't be affected

- Good **quenching** gases required for **high rate** capability
- **Radiation-hard** gases required to minimize **impurities** affecting long term performances

GWP is related to Infrared absorption over time. **Low-GWP** gases have short **atmospheric lifetimes**:

- Water solubility → Rain out
- OH^- reactivity \rightarrow Oxidation
- UV absorbance → Photolysis