



D. Wollmann, CERN/BE

11.05.2011

EuCARD 2nd Annual Meeting,

CNRS-Paris, France

10-13 May 2011



On behalf of



O. Aberle, R.W. Assmann, A. Bertarelli, C. Boccard, F. Burkart, R. Bruce, M. Cauchi, A. Dallochio, D. Deboy, M. Gasior, R. Jones, A. Masi, A. Rossi, S. Redaelli, G. Valentino, E. Veyrunes, CERN, Geneva







- Introduction
- Why Collimators with Beam Diagnostics Functionality?
- Design overview
- Results from First Beam Measurement

• Conclusion & Outlook

FRN

Challenges for LHC collimation



- 362MJ stored energy per beam at 7TeV with 3e14 protons
- Quench limit (7TeV): 7.6e6 ps⁻¹m⁻¹



Courtesy R. Assmann

proton beam

• Phase-I collimator





Installed Phase-I Collimation System





- 44/43 collimators per beam installed in the LHC ring
- 4 stage cleaning

- IR3 momentum cleaning
- IR7 betatron cleaning
- Injection and Dump protection
- Protection of Experimental insertions and triplets





- Beam based setup and qualification of collimation system
- Centre collimator jaws around beam (by touching the beam halo)
- Determine local beam size at collimators
- Set up system with agreed collimator settings

→~15mins per collimator & machine state (two beams in parallel)

- Qualify system by measuring the cleaning efficiency
 - β-tron losses by crossing a third integer tune resonance (B1-h, B1-v, B2-h, B2-v)
 - Momentum losses by changing the RF frequency (± 1000 Hz, B1+B2). 1000Hz to make sure that full beam is lost with off-momentum error. Could use smaller.



Why Collimators with Beam Diagnostics Functionality?



- Drastic reduction of setup time of collimation system (gain time for physics).
- **Continuous monitoring** of beam offsets at collimators.
- Measurement of **jaw angle** w.r.t. the closed orbit.
- Less strict requirements on long-term orbit stability.
- More flexibility for local orbit changes in the experimental IPs (crossing angle, separation for luminosity leveling, etc.).
- **Relaxed restrictions** for luminosity optimization in the experimental IPs.
- Increased passive machine protection as collimators can follow slow orbit drifts.
- Allows reduction of margins between collimator families, as collimators can follow slow orbit drifts → tighter collimator settings possible → better cleaning.



Daniel Wollmann



First CERN Phase-II prototype collimator with integrated BPM buttons





From the lab into the SPS tunnel

Courtesy A. Bertarelli, A. Dallocchio et. al

Tank

8th January 2010

Daniel Wollmann

Jaws



Measurement: Shift of collimator gap







Measurement with a local orbit bump













- Collimators with integrated BPMs have the potential to:
 - Drastically decrease setup time.
 - Increase passive machine protection.
 - Allow for **better cleaning** due to tighter collimator settings.
 - First CERN prototype was installed into the SPS in 2010.
 - First measurements showed:
 - Good linearity of BPM signals even at big gaps.
 - Reproducibility of BPM signals better than 28µm.
 - No disturbance in BPM signal due to primary protons impacting on collimator jaws seen so far.
 - No disturbance of BPM signal due to secondary showers from upstream seen so far (variation of BPM signals $< 35 \mu m$).
 - Drift of BPM signals for low beam intensities (due to electronics).





Conclusion & Outlook II



- Ongoing work to improve the acquisition electronics to achieve a better
 - Signal quality (linearity, etc).
 - Accuracy and Reproducibility.
 - Increase speed of signal acquisition.
- Several **experiments** with the prototype in the SPS are scheduled within this year.
- **Design work on phase-II** collimators with integrated BPM buttons is ongoing at CERN.
- **Tertiary collimators** (around experimental IPs) are most probably the **first candidates** to be replaced.







Thank you for your attention!



Backup slides





Daniel Wollmann