



# Caracterization of neutron irradiated IMB-CNM SiC planar diodes with TPA-TCT

Esteban Currás<sup>1</sup>, Marcos Fernández García<sup>1,2</sup>, Richard Jaramillo<sup>2</sup>, Michael Moll<sup>1</sup>, Raúl Montero<sup>3</sup>, Rogelio F. Palomo<sup>4</sup>, Sebastian Pape<sup>1,5</sup>, Giulio Pellegrini<sup>6</sup>, Cristian Quintana<sup>2</sup>, Joan Marc Rafí<sup>6</sup>, Gemma Rius<sup>6</sup>, Iván Vila<sup>2</sup>, Moritz Wiehe<sup>1,7</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>CERN

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Física de Cantabria (CSIC-UC)
<sup>3</sup>Universidad del País Vasco
<sup>4</sup>Universidad de Sevilla
<sup>5</sup>TU Dortmund University
<sup>6</sup>IMB-CNM(CSIC)
<sup>7</sup>Univeristät Freiburg











- Sample description
- Experimental setup
- Raw data corrections
- Characterization results
  - $\rightarrow$  Non irradiated detectors
  - $\rightarrow$  Irradiated detectors
- Discussion and Summary



### Silicon carbide detectors



CNM SiC planar pad diodes P in N

Neutron-irradiated (ATI Vienna) July/Aug 2021



- $\rightarrow$  1MW2 (Non-irradiated)
- $\rightarrow$  F2W1 (1e15 n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- $\rightarrow$  K6W1 (4e14 n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>)





RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization



### Experimental setup for TPA-TCT





RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization

### Raw data corrections: Energy fluctuations



- $\rightarrow$  Analysis of short term variations on laser's power emission.
- $\rightarrow$  Power variation done with a variable attenuator

Laser power vs TPA Charge

- $\rightarrow$  Correlation between the laser power and the signal.
- $\rightarrow$  Power of two correlation  $\rightarrow$  TPA

Laser power vs Charge log relation



RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization



Raw data corrections: laser temporal instabilities

## In longer time periods (several minutes) the temporal profile of the laser fluctuates



06/22/22

RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization





### Raw data: Transient currents





## Z-scan charge profiles: non-irradiated diodes

$$Q(z) \propto tan^{-1} \left(\frac{z-z_r}{a}\right) + tan^{-1} \left(\frac{z_l-z}{b}\right)$$



- Wiehe, Moritz Oliver - CERN-THESIS-2021-225

06/22/22

RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization





### Depletion width vs bias: non irradiated

iF(A

- $\rightarrow$  Diode behavior
- $\rightarrow$  Diode fully depleted between 300-500 volts.
- $\rightarrow$  Homogeneity in the sensor depletion

 $\rightarrow\,$  Capacitance value matches the direct capacitance measurements

 $\rightarrow$  Effective doping of the bulk over the real doping value: SiC vs Si at room temperature



06/22/22

RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization



### Z-scan charge profiles: irradiated diodes

- $\rightarrow$  The diode behavior lost!
- $\rightarrow\,$  Capacitor-like charge collection
- $\rightarrow$  No charge collection saturation with bias voltage

- $\rightarrow$  Same effect in both detectors
- $\rightarrow\,$  Charge collection drops with irradiation
- $\rightarrow$  Worse fits for F2W1 because the SNR is lower.



### Charge profile K6W1(5e14) P2

Charge profile F2W1(1e15) P2





### Depletion width vs bias: irradiated

 $\rightarrow\,$  Both figures show that the depletion width is constant for the irradiated detectors.

 $\rightarrow$  The depletion width is different if we compare irradiated and non-irradiated detectors, but also between the irradiated ones.

Depletion width vs bias

#### Scans set: Charge / P^3.5 [Normalized] 1 - Zscans K6W1(5e14) P1 Vbias [V] 50 Zscans K6W1(5e14) P2 **—**-50 Zscans K6W1(5e14) P3 0.8 **—**-100 Zscans F2W1(1e15) P1 -200 z dep. [um] 40 Zscans F2W1(1e15) P2 0.6 — Zscans F2W1(1e15) P3 — Zscans 1MW2(NI) P1 30 0.4 -Zscans 1MW2(NI) P2 — Zscans 1MW2(NI) P3 0.2 20 10 -40-200 40 60 80 20 z [um] 200 400 600 800 1000 0 Vbias [V]

Charge profileK6W1(5e14) P2

RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization





## Electrical capacitance vs TPA capacitance



 $\rightarrow$  Very similar capacitance values for nonirradiated. The difference could be attributed to an error in the estimation of the effective area of the diode.

- $\rightarrow$  Irr: Constant capacitance (as z-dep) but different values.
- $\rightarrow\,$  Final TPA-TCT capacitance different for each fluence





### Charge collection efficiency vs fluence

Dependence with irradiation:

resistive electrode.

 $\rightarrow$  Charge collection increases with bias

 $\rightarrow$  Charge collection decreases with irradiation.  $\rightarrow$  Lost of charge due to signal trapping in the



P1: Closest point to the charge collection ring.



13



**Discussion - Summary** 



- We have successfully applied the TPA-TCT method for the first time to SiC diodes.
- The measured effective doping of the silicon carbide substrate agrees with the nominal doping value.
- Neutron irradiated samples do not present a diode-like behavior (bias-independent sensitive region).
- The width of the sensitive region depends on the fluence.
- Further TPA-TCT and TRIBIC (at CNA) campaigns scheduled.

### Thanks for your attention



### Laser fluctuations correction (I)



RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization

### Laser fluctuations correction (II)

Correction comparison vs events



06/22/22

RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization



### SPA subtraction (on z-scans) I:



$$S_i(P, z) = S_i^{SPA}(P) + S_i^{TPA}(P, z)$$
$$S_i(P_i, z_i) = \alpha P_i + \beta(z_i) P_i^2$$



Signal generation as the contribution of two components, the SPA (always present) and the TPA (only if the focus is inside the detector)





### SPA subtraction (on z-scans) II:





06/22/22

RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization

### **SPA** correction



RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization

### Raw z-scan charge profiles



RD50 - C. Quintana - SiC Characterization