Quarkonium transport in weakly and strongly coupled plasmas

8th International Conference on High Energy Physics in the LHC Era Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María January 12, 2023

Bruno Scheihing (MIT) with Xiaojun Yao (UW) and Govert Nijs (MIT) based on 2107.03945, 2205.04477, 2302.XXXXX



Quarkonium in Heavy-**Ion Collisions**

- Heavy quarks and quarkonia are amongst the most informative probes of the QGP (talks by Aichelin, Kabana, Kopeliovich, ...).
- To interpret the full wealth of data, we need a precise theoretical understanding of heavy quarks in a thermal medium,
 - as single open heavy flavors, and 0
 - as pairs of heavy flavors that can bind into quarkonia.

credit: Paul Sorensen and Chun Shen, 1304.3634









Quarkonium in Heavy-**Ion Collisions**

- Heavy quarks and quarkonia are amongst the most informative probes of the QGP (talks by Aichelin, Kabana, Kopeliovich, ...).
- To interpret the full wealth of data, we need a precise theoretical understanding of heavy quarks in a thermal medium,
 - as single open heavy flavors, and 0
 - as pairs of heavy flavors that can bind into quarkonia.



credit: Paul Sorensen and Chun Shen, 1304.3634









Quarkonium in Heavy-**Ion Collisions**

- Heavy quarks and quarkonia are amongst the most informative probes of the QGP (talks by Aichelin, Kabana, Kopeliovich, ...).
- To interpret the full wealth of data, we need a precise theoretical understanding of heavy quarks in a thermal medium,
 - as single open heavy flavors, and 0
 - as pairs of heavy flavors that can bind into quarkonia.



credit: Paul Sorensen and Chun Shen, 1304.3634









Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark

 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed





color octet; unbound state



 \bar{Q}

color singlet; bound state

Q

Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark



$M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed

color octet; unbound state



 \bar{Q}

color singlet; bound state

Q

Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark



 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed

color octet; unbound state



 \bar{Q}

color singlet; bound state

Q

Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark



 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed

color octet; unbound state



At high T, quarkonium "melts" because the medium screens the interactions between heavy quarks (Matsui & Satz 1986)

 $Q\bar{Q}$ melts if $r \sim \frac{1}{M_V} \gg \frac{1}{T}$

Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark



 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed



color octet; unbound state





Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark

$M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed

color octet; unbound state





Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark

 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed



color octet; unbound state





 $M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed



color octet; unbound state



 \bar{Q}

color singlet; bound state

 \mathcal{Q}

 \implies We need to understand the above dynamics in the hierarchy

 $Mv \gg T$

Q: c or b quark \bar{Q} : \bar{c} or \bar{b} quark



$M \gg Mv \gg Mv^2$

M: heavy quark mass v: typical relative speed

[*] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto. A. Vairo hep-ph/9907240, hep-ph/0410047









Time scales of quarkonia





X. Yao, hep-ph/2102.01736

Time scales of quarkonia





X. Yao, hep-ph/2102.01736

Time scales of quarkonia





X. Yao, hep-ph/2102.01736

Interaction with the QGP (the environment) environment $\sim \frac{H_{\rm int}^2}{\sim} \sim T - \frac{T^2}{\sim}$ $(Mv)^2$ $- \sim T$ au_E + $V_A(O^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}S + h.c.) + \frac{V_B}{2}O^{\dagger}\{\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}, O\} + \cdots$

Time scales of quarkonia





X. Yao, hep-ph/2102.01736

Interaction with the QGP (the environment) environment $\sim \frac{H_{\rm int}^2}{\sim} \sim T - \frac{T^2}{\sim}$ $(M_V)^2$ $- \sim T$ τ_E + $V_A(O^{\dagger}\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}S + h.c.) + \frac{V_B}{2}O^{\dagger}\{\mathbf{r} \cdot g\mathbf{E}, O\} + \cdots$

Open quantum systems "tracing/integrating out" the QGP

evolves as

X. Yao, hep-ph/2102.01736

• Given an initial density matrix $\rho_{tot}(t=0)$, quarkonium coupled with the QGP

$\rho_{\text{tot}}(t) = U(t)\rho_{\text{tot}}(t=0)U^{\dagger}(t).$

Open quantum systems "tracing/integrating out" the QGP

evolves as

$$\rho_{\text{tot}}(t) = U(t)\rho_{\text{tot}}(t=0)U^{\dagger}(t).$$

final state abundances

$$\implies \rho_{S}(t) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{QGP}} \left[U(t) \rho_{\mathrm{tot}}(t=0) U^{\dagger}(t) \right].$$

• Given an initial density matrix $\rho_{tot}(t=0)$, quarkonium coupled with the QGP

• We will only be interested in describing the evolution of quarkonium and its

Open quantum systems "tracing/integrating out" the QGP

evolves as

$$\rho_{\text{tot}}(t) = U(t)\rho_{\text{tot}}(t=0)U^{\dagger}(t).$$

final state abundances

$$\implies \rho_{S}(t) = \operatorname{Tr}_{QGP} \left[U(t)\rho_{tot}(t=0)U^{\dagger}(t) \right].$$

time we have $\rho_{\text{tot}}(t=0) = \rho_S(t=0) \otimes e^{-H_{\text{QGP}}/T} / \mathcal{Z}_{\text{OGP}}$.

• Given an initial density matrix $\rho_{tot}(t=0)$, quarkonium coupled with the QGP

• We will only be interested in describing the evolution of quarkonium and its

• Then, one derives an evolution equation for $\rho_{S}(t)$, assuming that at the initial

Open quantum systems "tracing/integrating out" the QGP: semi-classic description



Unitary evolution of environment + subsystem

Trace out the environment degrees of freedom

OQS: ρ_S has non-unitary, time-irreversible evolution

Markovian approximation \iff weak coupling in H_I

OQS: Lindblad equation

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}, t) \equiv \int_{k'} e^{i\mathbf{k}'\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left\langle \mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{k}'}{2} \right| \rho_S(t) \left| \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{k}'}{2} \right\rangle$$

Semi-classic subsystem: Boltzmann/Fokker-Planck equation



How does the QGP enter the dynamics?

QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport $[g_E^{--}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \langle ($



 $[g_{E}^{++}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2},t_{1},\mathbf{R}_{2},\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2},t_{2})\mathscr{W}_{2}\right)^{a} \left(\mathscr{W}_{1}E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1},t_{1})\right)^{a}\right\rangle_{T}$

 $[g_{E}^{--}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2},t_{1},\mathbf{R}_{2},\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(\mathscr{W}_{2'}E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2},t_{2}) \right)^{a} \left(E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1},t_{1}) \mathscr{W}_{1'} \right)^{a} \right\rangle_{T}$





QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport



 $[g_E^{++}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_2}(\mathbf{R}_2, t_2) \mathcal{W}_2 \right)^a \left(\mathcal{W}_1 E_{i_1}(\mathbf{R}_1, t_1) \right)^a \right\rangle_T$



bound state: color singlet







QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport



 $[g_E^{++}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_2}(\mathbf{R}_2, t_2) \mathcal{W}_2 \right)^a \left(\mathcal{W}_1 E_{i_1}(\mathbf{R}_1, t_1) \right)^a \right\rangle_T$

X. Yao and T. Mehen, hep-ph/2009.02408





for quarkonia transport



 $[g_{E}^{++}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2}, t_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2}, t_{2}) \mathscr{W}_{2} \right)^{a} \left(\mathscr{W}_{1}E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1}, t_{1}) \right)^{a} \right\rangle_{T}$



for quarkonia transport



 $[g_E^{++}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_2}(\mathbf{R}_2, t_2) \mathcal{W}_2 \right)^a \left(\mathcal{W}_1 E_{i_1}(\mathbf{R}_1, t_1) \right)^a \right\rangle_T$

for quarkonia transport



See also: N. Brambilla et al. hep-ph/1612.07248, hep-ph/1711.04515, hep-ph/2205.10289

for quarkonia transport



 $[g_E^{++}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_2}(\mathbf{R}_2, t_2) \mathcal{W}_2 \right)^a \left(\mathcal{W}_1 E_{i_1}(\mathbf{R}_1, t_1) \right)^a \right\rangle_T$

QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport $[g_E^{--}]_{i,i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \langle ($

unbound state: color octet

the unbound state carries color charge and interacts with the medium



 $[g_{E}^{--}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2},t_{1},\mathbf{R}_{2},\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(\mathscr{W}_{2'}E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2},t_{2}) \right)^{a} \left(E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1},t_{1}) \mathscr{W}_{1'} \right)^{a} \right\rangle_{T}$





QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport $[g_E^{--}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \langle ($

medium-induced transition

unbound state: color octet

the unbound state carries color charge and interacts with the medium



 $[g_{E}^{--}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2},t_{1},\mathbf{R}_{2},\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(\mathscr{W}_{2'}E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2},t_{2}) \right)^{a} \left(E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1},t_{1}) \mathscr{W}_{1'} \right)^{a} \right\rangle_{T}$





QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport $[g_E^{--}]_{i,i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \langle ($

bound state: color singlet

medium-induced transition

unbound state: color octet

the unbound state carries color charge and interacts with the medium



 $[g_{E}^{--}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2}, t_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(\mathscr{W}_{2'} E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2}, t_{2}) \right)^{a} \left(E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1}, t_{1}) \mathscr{W}_{1'} \right)^{a} \right\rangle_{T}$





QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport $[g_E^{--}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \langle ($

bound state: color singlet

medium-induced transition

unbound state: color octet

the unbound state carries color charge and interacts with the medium







QGP chromoelectric correlators for quarkonia transport $[g_E^{--}]_{i_2i_1}^{>}(t_2, t_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_1) = \langle ($



 $[g_{E}^{++}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2},t_{1},\mathbf{R}_{2},\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2},t_{2})\mathscr{W}_{2}\right)^{a} \left(\mathscr{W}_{1}E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1},t_{1})\right)^{a}\right\rangle_{T}$

 $[g_{E}^{--}]_{i_{2}i_{1}}^{>}(t_{2},t_{1},\mathbf{R}_{2},\mathbf{R}_{1}) = \left\langle \left(\mathscr{W}_{2'}E_{i_{2}}(\mathbf{R}_{2},t_{2}) \right)^{a} \left(E_{i_{1}}(\mathbf{R}_{1},t_{1}) \mathscr{W}_{1'} \right)^{a} \right\rangle_{T}$





Why are these correlators interesting?

These determine the dissociation and formation rates of quarkonia (in the quantum optical limit):

$$\Gamma^{\text{diss}} \propto \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{\text{rel}}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} |\langle \psi_{\mathscr{B}} | \mathbf{r} | \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\text{rel}}} \rangle|^{2} [g_{E}^{++}]_{ii}^{>} \left(q^{0} = E_{\mathscr{B}} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\text{rel}}^{2}}{M}, \mathbf{q}\right),$$

$$\Gamma^{\text{form}} \propto \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{p}_{\text{cm}}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} |\langle \psi_{\mathscr{B}} | \mathbf{r} | \Psi_{\mathbf{p}_{\text{rel}}} \rangle|^{2} [g_{E}^{--}]_{ii}^{>} \left(q^{0} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\text{rel}}^{2}}{M} - E_{\mathscr{B}}, \mathbf{q}\right)$$

$$\times f_{\mathscr{S}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_{\text{cm}}, \mathbf{r} = 0, \mathbf{p}_{\text{rel}}, t).$$


So, let's calculate

Weakly coupled calculation in QCD



T. Binder, K. Mukaida, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2107.03945





contour

The spectral function at NLO

It is simplest to write the integrated spectral function:

$$\varrho_E^{++}(p_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{ad} \delta_{ij} [\rho_E^{++}]_{ji}^{da}(p_0, \mathbf{p}) \,.$$

We found

$$g^{2}\varrho_{E}^{++}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}}\right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right) N_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F\left(\frac{p_{0}}{T}\right) \right\} \right\}$$

T. Binder, K. Mukaida, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2107.03945



The spectral function at NLO and a comparison with its heavy quark counterpart

It is simplest to write the integrated spectral function:

$$\varrho_E^{++}(p_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{ad} \delta_{ij} [\rho_E^{++}]_{ji}^{da}(p_0, \mathbf{p}) \,.$$

We found

$$g^{2}\varrho_{E}^{++}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}}\right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right) N_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F\left(\frac{p_{0}}{T}\right) \right\} \right\}$$

$$g^{2}\rho_{E}^{\mathrm{HQ}}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}}\right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3}\right) N_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F\left(\frac{p_{0}}{T}\right) + \frac{1}{3}N_{f} + \frac{1}$$

and the heavy quark counterpart is, with the same T-dependent function $F(p_0/T)$, Y. Burnier, M. Laine, J. Langelage and L. Mether, hep-ph/1006.0867







The spectral function at NLO and a comparison with its heavy quark counterpart

It is simplest to write the integrated spectral function:

$$\varrho_E^{++}(p_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{ad} \delta_{ij} [\rho_E^{++}]_{ji}^{da}(p_0, \mathbf{p}) \,.$$

We found

$$g^{2}\varrho_{E}^{++}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \right) N_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{T} \right) \right] \right\}$$
and the heavy quark counterpart is, with the same *T*-dependent function $F(p_{0}/T)$,
Y. Burnier, M. Laine, J. Langelage and L. Mether, hep-ph/1006.0867

$$g^{2}\rho_{E}^{\mathrm{HQ}}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{c}^{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} \right) \right] I_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{T} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$g^{2}\varrho_{E}^{++}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \right) N_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{T} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{T} + \frac{1}{3}N_{f} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}} \right) \right\} \right\}$$
and the heavy quark counterpart is, with the same *T*-dependent function $F(p_{0}/T)$,
Y. Burnier, M. Laine, J. Langelage and L. Mether, hep-ph/1006.0867
$$g^{2}\rho_{E}^{HQ}(p_{0}) = \frac{g^{2}(N_{c}^{2}-1)p_{0}^{3}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left\{ 4\pi^{2} + g^{2} \left[\left(\frac{11}{12}N_{c} - \frac{1}{3}N_{f} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{4p_{0}^{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{149}{36} - \frac{2\pi^{2}}{3} \right) \right] I_{c} - \frac{10}{9}N_{f} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{T} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{3} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{3} + F \left(\frac{p_{0}}{3} + F \right) \right) \right] \right]$$







But they look so similar...

Heavy quark and quarkonia correlators a small, yet consequential difference

The heavy quark diffusion coefficient can be defined from the real-time Correlator J. Casalderrey-Solana and D. Teaney, hep-ph/0605199; see also A. M. Eller, J. Ghiglieri and G. D. Moore, hep-ph/1903.08064

$$\left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{color}}\left[U(-\infty,t)E_{i}(t)U(t,0)E_{i}(0)U(0,-\infty)\right]\right\rangle_{T},$$

whereas for quarkonia the relevant quantity is

$$T_F\left\langle E_i^a(t)\mathcal{W}^{ab}(t,0)E_i^b(0)\right\rangle_T.$$

Heavy quark and quarkonia correlators a small, yet consequential difference

What we just found, and had been noticed even earlier by Eller, Ghiglieri and Moore, is simply stating that: They compared M. Eidemuller and M. Jamin, hep-ph/9709419 with Y. Burnier, M. Laine, J. Langelage and L. Mether, hep-ph/1006.0867

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) \mathcal{W}^{ab}(t,0) E_i^b(0) \right\rangle_T \neq \left\langle \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{colo}} \right\rangle_T$$



A. M. Eller, J. Ghiglieri and G. D. Moore, hep-ph/1903.08064

$\int_{OP} \left[U(-\infty, t) E_i(t) U(t, 0) E_i(0) U(0, -\infty) \right] \right\}_{T}$





• This finding presents a puzzle:

- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - ^o Let's say we were able to set axial gauge $A_0 = 0$.

- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axia 0
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same:

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T.$$

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
.

- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axia 0
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: 0

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T.$$

• If true, this would imply that:

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
.

- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axia Ο
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: 0

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T.$$

- If true, this would imply that:
 - A. one of the calculations is wrong, or

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
.

- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axia Ο
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: 0

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T.$$

- If true, this would imply that:
 - A. one of the calculations is wrong, or
 - B. one of the correlators is not gauge invariant.

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
.

- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axia Ο
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: 0

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T$$

- If true, this would imply that:
 - A. one of the calculations is wrong, or
 - B. one of the correlators is not gauge invariant.

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
.

Unlikely: we verified this independently



- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axia Ο
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: 0

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T$$

- If true, this would imply that:
 - A. one of the calculations is wrong, or
 - B. one of the correlators is not gauge invariant.

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
.

Unlikely: we verified this independently

False: both definitions are explicitly invariant



- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - 0 Let's say we were able to set axia
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: Ο

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T$$

- If true, this would imply that:
 - A. one of the calculations is wrong, or
 - B. one of the correlators is not gauge invariant.

al gauge
$$A_0 = 0$$
. \implies The problem is here

Unlikely: we verified this independently

False: both definitions are explicitly invariant









- This finding presents a puzzle:
 - Let's say we were able to set axial gauge $A_0 = 0$. Ο
 - Then, the two correlation functions would look the same: 0

$$T_F \left\langle E_i^a(t) E_i^a(0) \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}_{\text{color}} \left[E_i(t) E_i(0) \right] \right\rangle_T$$

- If true, this would imply that:
 - A. one of the calculations is wrong, or
 - B. one of the correlators is not gauge invariant.



We verified that this difference between the correlators is gauge invariant using an interpolating gauge condition:

$$G_M^a[A] = \frac{1}{\lambda} A_0^a(x) + \partial^\mu A_\mu^a(x)$$

 \implies The problem is here

Unlikely: we verified this independently

False: both definitions are explicitly invariant





The difference in terms of diagrams operator ordering is crucial!



Perturbatively, one can isolate the difference between the correlators to these diagrams.

The difference in terms of diagrams operator ordering is crucial!

Perturbatively, one can isolate the difference between the correlators to these diagrams.

The difference is due to different operator orderings (different possible gluon insertions).

The difference in terms of diagrams operator ordering is crucial!

Perturbatively, one can isolate the difference between the correlators to these diagrams.

The difference is due to different operator orderings (different possible gluon insertions).

Can we calculate this difference nonperturbatively in QCD?

A Lattice QCD perspective the imaginary time counterparts

• The heavy quark diffusion coefficient has been studied by evaluating the following correlation function (e.g., Altenkort et al. 2009.13553, Leino et al. 2212.10941):

$$G_{\text{fund}}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle \text{ReTr}_{c}[U(\beta, \tau) gE_{i}(\tau) U(\tau, 0) gE_{i}(0)] \rangle}{\langle \text{ReTr}_{c}[U(\beta, 0)] \rangle}$$

 The heavy quark diffusion coefficient is extracted by reconstructing the corresponding spectral function (Caron-Huot et al. 0901.1195):

$$G_{\text{fund}}(\tau) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{\cosh\left(\omega(\tau - \frac{1}{2T})\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right)} \rho_{\text{fund}}(\omega) , \quad \kappa_{\text{fund}} = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{T}{\omega} \rho_{\text{fund}}(\omega) .$$

Main difficulty: it is a noisy observable to extract.

A Lattice QCD perspective the imaginary time counterparts

lhe

COLLE

However, the quarkonia correlator counterpart in imaginary time has received much less attention:

 $G_{\rm adj}(\tau) = \frac{T_F g^2}{3N_o} \left\langle E_i^a(\tau) W^{ab}(\tau, 0) E_i^b(0) \right\rangle \,.$

[ongoing work with P. Petreczky and X. Yao]

Main difficulty: it is a noisy observable to extract.

 The heavy quark diffusion coefficient has been studied by evaluating the following correlation function (e.g., Altenkort et al. 2009.13553, Leino et al. 2212.10941):

So, we understand the weakly coupled limit in QCD, and are making progress on the lattice QCD formulation. What about other tools at strong

t other tools at strong coupling?

Wilson loops in AdS/CFT setup

- The holographic duality provides a way to formulate the calculation of analogous correlators in strongly coupled theories. [**]
 - Wilson loops can be evaluated by solving classical equations of motion: 0

 $\langle W | \mathscr{C} = \delta$

$$\partial \Sigma] \rangle_T = e^{i S_{\rm NG}[\Sigma]}$$

Strongly coupled calculation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM setup

 Field strength insertions along a Wilson loop can be generated by taking variations of the path \mathscr{C} :

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta f^{\mu}(s_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta f^{\nu}(s_1)} W[\mathscr{C}_f] \bigg|_{f=0} = (ig)^2 \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{color}} \left[U_{f=0} \right]_{f=0}$$

 $U_{[1,s_2]}F_{\mu\rho}(\gamma(s_2))\dot{\gamma}^{\rho}(s_2)U_{[s_2,s_1]}F_{\nu\sigma}(\gamma(s_1))\dot{\gamma}^{\sigma}(s_1)U_{[s_1,0]}$

Strongly coupled calculation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM setup

 Field strength insertions along a Wilson loop can be generated by taking variations of the path \mathscr{C} :

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta f^{\mu}(s_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta f^{\nu}(s_1)} W[\mathscr{C}_f] \bigg|_{f=0} = (ig)^2 \operatorname{Tr}_{\operatorname{color}} \bigg[U_{[1,s_2]} F_{\mu\rho}(\gamma(s_2)) \dot{\gamma}^{\rho}(s_2) U_{[s_2,s_1]} F_{\nu\sigma}(\gamma(s_1)) \dot{\gamma}^{\sigma}(s_1) U_{[s_1,0]} \bigg]_{f=0}$$

 Same in spirit as the lattice calculation of the heavy quark diffusion coefficient:

Our task is to solve for the perturbed worldsheet for arbitrary (but small) changes in the loop ${\mathscr C}$

Quarkonia correlator in AdS/CFT

Quarkonium transport in AdS/CFT

Steps of the calculation:

1. Find the appropriate background solution

G. Nijs, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2302.XXXXX

Quarkonium transport in AdS/CFT

Steps of the calculation:

1. Find the appropriate background solution

2. Introduce perturbations

G. Nijs, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2302.XXXXX

Quarkonium transport in AdS/CFT

Steps of the calculation:

1. Find the appropriate background solution

- 2. Introduce perturbations
- 3. Evaluate the deformed Wilson loop and take derivatives

G. Nijs, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2302.XXXXX

Quarkonium transport in AdS/CFT

Steps of the calculation:

1. Find the appropriate background solution

- 2. Introduce perturbation
- 3. Evaluate the deformed Wilson loop and take derivatives

G. Nijs, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2302.XXXXX



Summary and conclusions

- QGP that govern quarkonium transport
 - A. at weak coupling in QCD
 - B. on a discretized imaginary time lattice
 - C. at strong coupling in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
- Next steps:
 - Generalize the calculations to include a boosted medium 0
 - Use them as input for quarkonia transport codes
- Stay tuned!

We have discussed how to calculate the chromoelectric correlators of the

Summary and conclusions

- QGP that govern quarkonium transport
 - A. at weak coupling in QCD
 - B. on a discretized imaginary time lattice
 - C. at strong coupling in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM
- Next steps:
 - Generalize the calculations to include a boosted medium 0
 - Use them as input for quarkonia transport codes
- Stay tuned!

We have discussed how to calculate the chromoelectric correlators of the







Extra slides

[*] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto, A. Vairo hep-ph/9707481, hep-ph/9907240, hep-ph/0410047

Time scales of quarkonia





X. Yao, hep-ph/2102.01736

Lindblad equations for quarkonia at low Tquantum Brownian motion limit & quantum optical limit in pNRQCD

 After tracing out the QGP degrees of freedom, one gets a Lindblad-type equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -i[H_{\text{eff}}, \rho] + \sum_{j} \gamma_{j} \left(L_{j} \rho L_{j}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L_{j}^{\dagger} L_{j}, \rho \right\} \right)$$

 This can be done in two different limits within pNRQCD: Quantum Brownian Motion:

$$\tau_I \gg \tau_E$$
$$\tau_S \gg \tau_E$$

relevant for $Mv \gg T \gg Mv^2$

Quantum Optical:

 $\tau_I \gg \tau_F$

relevant for $Mv \gg Mv^2$, $T \gtrsim m_D$





See also: N. Brambilla et al. hep-ph/1612.07248, hep-ph/1711.04515, hep-ph/2205.10289

for quarkonia transport



transport coefficients in the quantum brownian motion limit:

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{g^2}{6N_c} \operatorname{Im} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \,\langle \mathcal{T} E^a \rangle$$
$$\kappa \equiv \frac{g^2}{6N_c} \operatorname{Re} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \,\langle \mathcal{T} E^a \rangle$$

- The correlators we discussed are also directly related to the correlators that define the
 - $^{a,i}(s,\mathbf{0})$ ^{*ab*}[(s, 0), (0,0)] $E^{b,i}(0,0)$,
 - $^{a,i}(s,0)$ $\mathcal{W}^{ab}[(s,0),(0,0)] E^{b,i}(0,0) \rangle$.



The spectral function of quarkonia symmetries and KMS relations

The KMS conjugates of the previous correlators are such that $[g_E^{++}]_{ii}^{>}(q) = e^{q^0/T}[g_E^{++}]_{ii}^{<}(q)$

and one can show that they are related by

$$[g_E^{++}]_{ji}^{>}(q) = [g_E^{--}]_{ji}^{<}(-q), \quad [g_E^{--}]_{ji}^{>}(q) = [g_E^{++}]_{ji}^{<}(-q).$$

The spectral functions $[\rho_E^{++/--}]_{ii}(q) = [g_E^{++/--}]_{ii}^>(q) - [g_E^{++/--}]_{ii}^<(q)$ are not necessarily odd under $q \leftrightarrow -q$. However, they do satisfy:

$$[\rho_E^{++}]_{ji}(q) = - [\rho_E^{--}]_{ji}(-q).$$

),
$$[g_E^{--}]_{ji}^{>}(q) = e^{q^0/T}[g_E^{--}]_{ji}^{<}(q)$$
,

How the calculation proceeds what equations do we need to solve?

determine Σ :

$$S_{\rm NG} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d\tau d\sigma \sqrt{-\det\left(g_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}X^{\mu}\partial_{\beta}X^{\nu}\right)}$$

calculate derivatives of $\langle W[\mathscr{C}_f] \rangle_T = e^{iS_{NG}[\Sigma_f]}$:

$$S_{\mathrm{NG}}[\Sigma_f] = S_{\mathrm{NG}}[\Sigma] + \int dt_1 dt_2 \frac{\delta^2 S_{\mathrm{NG}}[\Sigma_f]}{\delta f(t_1) \delta f(t_2)} \left| \begin{array}{c} f(t_1) f(t_2) + O(f^3) \\ f=0 \end{array} \right|_{f=0}$$

have to solve them and analytically continue back.

The classical, unperturbed equations of motion from the Nambu-Goto action to

• The classical, linearized equation of motion with perturbations in order to be able to

• In practice, the equations are only numerically stable in Euclidean signature, so we

Extracting the EE correlator for quarkonia the pipeline



 $\tau/\Delta t_{_{0.5}}$ 0.0 0.0 0.8





G. Nijs, BS and X. Yao, hep-ph/2302.XXXXX

J.P. Boyd, "Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods," Dover books on Mathematics (2001)

2) Solve for the fluctuations with a source as a boundary condition:



1.0







