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## Theoretical Motivations

- We know dark matter exists, but our evidence is purely astrophysical:


K.G. Begeman, A.H. Broels, R.H. Sanders. 1991. Mon.Not.RAS 249, 523.
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## The Era of Big Astrophysical Data

## Vera Rubin/LSST opsim g: CoaddM5




## Gaia Space Telescope

- Gaia satellite measures the 3D positions and proper motions of $\sim 1.5$ billion stars in the Galaxy.
- N.B: Gaia measures parallax, not distance.
- Provides photometry (color and magnitude) and limited spectroscopy
- Line-of-sight motion for ~34 million stars (DR3)
- This will be $\sim 150$ million by end-of-mission
- A huge mine of data for the study of Galactic substructure.
- In this talk, we're interested in Gaia data as processed locations of stars within 4/5/6D kinematic space - not as individual images/spectra (lots of analysis here!)



## Gaia Space Telescope

|  | \# sources in Gaia DR3 | \# sources in Gaia DR2 | \# sources in Gaia DR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of sources | 1,811,709,771 | 1,692,919,135 | 1,142,679,769 |
|  | Gaia Early Data Release 3 |  |  |
| Number of sources with full astrometry | 1,467,744,818 | 1,331,909,727 | 2,057,050 |
| Number of 5-parameter sources | 585,416,709 |  |  |
| Number of 6-parameter sources | 882,328,109 |  |  |
| Number of 2-parameter sources | 343,964,953 | 361,009,408 | 1,140,622,719 |
| Gaia-CRF sources | 1,614,173 | 556,869 | 2191 |
| Sources with mean G magnitude | 1,806,254,432 | 1,692,919,135 | 1,142,679,769 |
| Sources with mean $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Bp}}$-band photometry | 1,542,033,472 | 1,381,964,755 | - |
| Sources with mean $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{RP}}$-band photometry | 1,554,997,939 | 1,383,551,713 | - |
|  | New in Gaia Data Release 3 | Gaia DR2 | Gaia DR1 |
| Sources with radial velocities | 33,812,183 | 7,224,631 | - |
| Sources with mean $\mathrm{G}_{\text {Rvs }}$-band magnitudes | 32,232,187 | - | - |
| Sources with rotational velocities | 3,524,677 | - | - |
| Mean BP/RP spectra | 219,197,643 | - | - |
| Mean RVS spectra | 999,645 | - | - |

## ML. Applications for Gaia

- Substructure and Tidal Debris
- Stellar Streams
- Via Machinae (ANODE)
- CATHODE
- The Milky Way’s Mass Density
- Synthetic Gaia Observations



## Mergers and Streams

- The Milky Way is built from the merger of smaller objects.
- Compact collections of stars (dwarf galaxies \& globular clusters) get tidally stripped during infall and form stellar streams, then become tidal debris, before becoming completely mixed.
- Streams provide a probe into the Galactic potential through the stream's orbit.
- Can reveal dark matter substructure through gravitational interactions with the stream itself.
- Both streams and debris give a glimpse into the Galaxy's merger history.



## Finding Tidal Debris with Machine Learning

- Stars that originate from dwarf galaxies will have different kinematics and metallicities, even after they are well-mixed into the Milky Way's halo in position space.
- Ostdiek et al. (1907.06652) train a classifier on simulated Milky Way-like galaxies to distinguish halo stars that are formed in-situ versus accreted.
- Trained on one simulated galaxy, demonstrated that network results transfer to 2nd simulated galaxy.
- Applied to Gaia DR2 (Necib et al 1907.07681), reidentifies known substructure within the halo, but also a new merger component: Nyx

in situ Stars



Non-ML selection based on high-level variables

## Finding Dwarf Debris with Machine Learning

- Stars that originate from dwarf galaxies will have different kinematics and metallicities, even after they are well-mixed into the Milky Way's halo in position space.
- Ostdiek et al. (1907.06652) train a classifier on simulated Milky Way-like galaxies to distinguish halo stars that are formed in-situ versus accreted.
- Applied to Gaia DR2 (Necib et al 1907.07681), reidentifies known substructure within the halo, but also a new merger component: Nyx
- A very large stellar stream/debris (Necib et al. 1907.07190)
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## Finding Tidal Debris with Machine Learning

- Stars that originate from dwarf galaxies will haıa diffصrant kinamatinc and motallinitiac


Chasing Accreted Structures within Gaia DR2 using Deep Learning
Lina Necib, ${ }^{1,2}$ Bryan Ostdiek, ${ }^{3}$ Mariangela Lisanti, ${ }^{4}$ Timothy Cohen, ${ }^{3}$ Marat Freytsis, ${ }^{5,6}$ and Shea Garrison-Kimmel ${ }^{7}$

## Cataloging Accreted Stars within Gaia DR2 using Deep Learning

- B. Ostdiek ${ }^{\star 1}$, L. Necib ${ }^{2}$, T. Cohen ${ }^{1}$, M. Freytsis ${ }^{34}$, M. Lisanti ${ }^{5}$, S. Garrison-Kimmmel ${ }^{6}$, A. Wetzel ${ }^{7}$, R. E. Sanderson ${ }^{89}$, and P. F. Hopkins ${ }^{6}$

Nul diso a mew merger commporment: ivyx

- A very large stellar stream/debris (Necib et al. 1907.07190)




## Finding Stellar Streams

- Narrow \& kinematically cold stellar streams are tracers of the Milky Way potential, merger history, imprint of dark matter substructure...
- A stellar stream is a narrow line of stars, compact in proper motion, and with all stars typically of similar age and composition.
- Use ML to build a stream-finding algorithm that:
- Uses only Gaia data

- Does not assume a Galactic potential or orbit
- Does not assume stream stars lie on a particular isochrone.
- Uses the fact that streams are compact in proper motion space.


Angular motion


Stellar brightness

## Via Machinae: Unsupervised Stream Finding

- Want to find stars that are anomalous based on their position in position, proper motion, and photometry. Use ANODE anomaly detection (Nachman \& Shih 2001.04990) to calculate anomaly score $R$ for stars in proper motion Search Regions (SRs)
- Learn the probability distribution with $m \in\left[m_{0} \pm \frac{\Delta m}{2}\right]$ in two ways:
- 1st by training directly on the data in the region: $\approx P(\vec{x} \mid m)$
- 2nd by training outside in a control
 region, then interpolating in: $\approx P_{\mathrm{bkg}}(\vec{x} \mid m)$
- Allows direct estimation of the ratio $R$ inside the SR.

$$
R(\vec{x} \mid m \in \mathrm{SR})=\frac{P(\vec{x} \mid m \in \mathrm{SR})}{P_{\mathrm{CR}}(\vec{x} \mid m \in \mathrm{SR})}
$$
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## Via Machinae: Unsupervised Stream Finding

- Want to find stars that are anomalous based on their position in position, proper motion, anomal Via Machinae: Searching for Stellar Streams using Unsupervised Machine 2001.0. Learning



## Via Machinae: Unsupervised Stream Finding

- There are a lot of stars in Gaia. Lots of reasons for them to be anomalous.
- Dust lanes, globular clusters, disk stars...
- The ML anomaly score is only one part, need to automatically identify line-like features in overlapping regions of positions and proper motion.
- Many hyperparameters needed identify stellar streams at high confidence
- Use a smooth analytic simulation of the Milky Way (totally devoid of streams) to build an estimate of a false positive rate

Shih, Buckley, Necib, Tamanas (in prep)



## Via Machinae: Unsupervised Stream Finding

- Full-sky stream search in prep.
- We have 82 stream candidates which are more significant the most significant false positive in simulation.
- ~20\% false positive rate estimated






Shih, Buckley, Necib, Tamanas (in prep)

## Via Machinae: Unsupervised Stream Finding

- The input for the stream-finding is the MLderived anomaly score $R$
- Existing version from ANODE, using normalizing flows to learn conditional probabilities in proper motion SR and backgrounds from control regions.
- What if we could do this better?
- CATHODE (Hallin et al 2109.00546)
- Train a classifier to distinguish events generated in signal region from density estimator trained on control-region.
- Use this as input for rest of Via Machinae






## Via Machinae: Unsupervised Stream Finding

- The input for the stream-finding is the MLderived anomaly score $R$
- Existing version from ANODE, using
 norm
prob Classifying Anomalies THrough Outer Density Estimation (CATHODE)
back Anna Hallin, ${ }^{10}{ }^{*}$ Joshua Isaacson, ${ }^{2, \dagger}$ Gregor Kasieczka, ${ }^{3, \ddagger}$ Claudius Krause, ${ }^{1,}$
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## Dark Matter Density from Gaia

- The phase space density of stars in equilibrium is related to the underlying Galactic potential

$$
\frac{d f}{d t}+v_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{i}}
$$

- Curse of dimensionality makes it very hard to measure $f$ and derivatives from stellar motions. Traditionally, take moments of the Boltzmann Equation and assume symmetries
- Normalizing flows can do a much better job in estimating $f$ and its derivatives from the available data.


An et al (2106.05981) and Naik et al (2112.07657)


## Dark Matter Density from Gaia

- The real Galaxy is not in equilibrium:

$$
\frac{d f}{d t} \neq 0
$$

- Is real data sufficiently precise to get good estimates of $f$ ?
- First with a simulated Milky Way-like galaxy:
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Measuring Galactic Dark Matter through Unsupervised Machine Learning
Matthew R. Buckley, Sung Hak Lim, Eric Putney, and David Shih



## Dark Matter Density from Gaia

- Can we do this with real Gaia data?
- Real data is complicated:
- Observations are not complete, and this completeness varies as a function of distance
- And with which kinematic parameters are measured, and/or stellar properties
- The goal: get low-error measurements off of the Galactic disk, to regions where dark matter dominates the mass density.
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## Upsampling Simulations

- Tools exist that can create "theorist-level" simulation for LHC machine learning.
- Much trickier for astrophysics. Can either:
- Create by-hand analytic smooth models of the Galaxy or,
- Use $N$-body hydrodynamical simulations
- But in the latter case, there complications:
- Every galaxy is unique.
- Simulations work on the level of tens of millions of "star particles," not hundreds of billions of stars.
- Upsampling required!
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## Upsampling Simulations

- Use normalizing flows (CNFs) to learn the density distribution of simulation star particles, then generate synthetic stars from the flow.
- Demonstrating with stars near the "Sun"
- Much smoother than stars drawn from existing upsamplers (EnBid)
- Confirmed with classifier tests comparing CNF and EnBid




## Upsampling Simulations

- David's favorite metric (with a twist):
- 3-sample classifier: we are statistics-limited on the star particles
- Construct CNF and EnBid datasets from a training subset of the star particles, reserving some star particles for validation
- Train classifier between a subset of the CNF and EnBid datasets
- Compare validation star particles with CNF and with EnBid separately

| network | classification target | AUC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trained on | EnBID vs. CNF | 0.952 |
|  | EnBID |  |
|  | Star particles vs. CNF | 0.508 |



## Upsampling Simulations

- Use normalizing flows (CNFs) to learn the density distribution of simulation star particles, then generate synthetic stars from the flow.
- Demonstrating with stars near the "Sun"


## 

Real Gaia Data

- Much sr GalaxyFlow: Upsampling Hydrodynamical Simulations for Realistic Gaia
- Confirmi Mock Catalogs



## Conclusions

- Astrophysical datasets contain information relevant to particle physics questions
- ...and intrinsically interesting on their own merits!
- The datasets are massive and complicated, with lots of systematic effects to deal with.


- Often harder to simulate exactly what you'd need to test your technique. Interesting ML problems here in transfer learning, generation, quantifying errors.
- Unsupervised techniques very useful.
- Gaia data in particular has lots to say about dark matter and Galaxy structure/history.
- Lots of need for new techniques, opportunities for ML to help!
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