Computing anomalous dimensions of strongly-coupled CFTs from supergravity

Emanuel Malek







Athens 21st December 2022

with Bobev, Duboeuf, Galli, Giambrone, Guarino, Josse, Nicolai, Petrini, Robinson, Samtleben, Sterckx, Trigiante, van Muiden

The importance of Kaluza-Klein spectra



FIG. 2. Mass spectrum of scalars.

- AdS/CFT: conformal dimensions
- Stability of non-SUSY vacua?

AdS/CFT correspondence



$$L_{\rm CFT} o L_{\rm CFT} + \chi_i \mathcal{O}^i$$



Kaluza-Klein masses \Leftrightarrow anomalous dimensions

AdS/CFT correspondence



Kaluza-Klein masses ⇔ anomalous dimensions

AdS/CFT correspondence



Kaluza-Klein masses \Leftrightarrow anomalous dimensions

Computing Kaluza-Klein spectra is hard

Free scalar on S^1 :

$$0 = \partial_x^2 \phi(x, y) + \partial_y^2 \phi(x, y) ,$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \phi^{(k)}(x) e^{i k y/R} , \qquad m^2 = \frac{k^2}{R^2} .$$

Computing Kaluza-Klein spectra is hard

Free scalar on S^1 :

$$0 = \partial_x^2 \phi(x, y) + \partial_y^2 \phi(x, y) ,$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \phi^{(k)}(x) e^{i k y/R} , \qquad m^2 = \frac{k^2}{R^2} .$$

SUGRA: (linearised) EoMs mix metric & fluxes \Rightarrow eigenmodes?

$$\nabla_{Q} f^{QMNP} + \frac{1}{2} F^{QMNP} \nabla_{Q} h_{R}^{R} - \nabla_{Q} \left(h^{QR} F_{R}^{MNP} \right) - 3 \nabla^{Q} \left(h^{S[M} F_{QS}^{NP]} \right) = -\frac{1}{288} \epsilon^{MNPQ_{1} \dots Q_{8}} F_{Q_{1} \dots Q_{4}} f_{Q_{5} \dots Q_{4}} + \frac{1}{2} F_{QS}^{MNP} F_{QS}^{NP} + \frac{1}{2} F_{QS}^{MNP} + \frac{1}{2} F_{QS}^{MNP} F_{QS}^{NP} + \frac{1}{2} F_{QS}^{NP} +$$

Computing Kaluza-Klein spectra is hard

Free scalar on S^1 :

$$0 = \partial_x^2 \phi(x, y) + \partial_y^2 \phi(x, y) ,$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \phi^{(k)}(x) e^{i k y/R} , \qquad m^2 = \frac{k^2}{R^2} .$$

► SUGRA: (linearised) EoMs mix metric & fluxes ⇒ eigenmodes?

$$\nabla_Q f^{QMNP} + \frac{1}{2} F^{QMNP} \nabla_Q h_R^{\ R} - \nabla_Q \left(h^{QR} F_R^{\ MNP} \right) - 3 \nabla^Q \left(h^{S[M} F_{QS}^{\ NP]} \right) = -\frac{1}{288} \epsilon^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_4} f_{Q_5 \dots Q_8} \cdot \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8} + \frac{1}{2} e^{MNPQ_1 \dots Q_8} F_{Q_1 \dots Q_8}$$

Previously, only two cases understood:

- Non-linear truncation to subset of KK-modes
- Solutions are solutions to higher-dim theory
- Compute subset of masses for any vacuum.
- Results can be misleading!







- Non-linear truncation to subset of KK-modes
- Solutions are solutions to higher-dim theory
- Compute subset of masses for any vacuum.
- Results can be misleading!



FIG. 2. Mass spectrum of scalars.



- Non-linear truncation to subset of KK-modes
- Solutions are solutions to higher-dim theory
- Compute subset of masses for any vacuum.
- Results can be misleading!







Consistent truncation

Non-linear embedding of lower-dimensional theory into 10-/11-d supergravity

- ▶ All solutions of lower-d SUGRA \rightarrow solutions of 10-/11-d SUGRA
- Non-linearity: highly non-trivial!
- Symmetry arguments crucial

NB: No well-controlled AdS vacua of String Theory have scale separation



FIG. 2. Mass spectrum of scalars.

Consistent truncation on group manifold





Consistent truncation on group manifold



Larger symmetry groups from generalising geometry

Symmetry argument for other consistent truncations?

$$S = \int d^{D+2}x \sqrt{|g|} \left(R_g - (\nabla \phi)^2 - e^{\alpha \phi} F^2 \right)$$



Larger symmetry groups from generalising geometry

Symmetry argument for other consistent truncations?

$$S = \int d^{D+3}x \sqrt{|G|} (R_G)$$



Larger symmetry groups from generalising geometry

Symmetry argument for other consistent truncations?

$$S = \int d^{D+3}x \sqrt{|G|} (R_G)$$



Consistent truncations beyond group manifolds





[de Wit, Nicolai '82]

Exceptional Field Theory

..., [Berman, Perry '10], [Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram '11], [Hohm, Samtleben, '13], ...

Exceptional Field Theory: Unify metric + fluxes of supergravity

11-d SUGRA on $M_4 \times C_7$:

$$\{g, C_{(3)}, C_{(6)}, \ldots\} = \mathcal{M}_{MN} \in \frac{E_{7(7)}}{SU(8)}.$$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Diffeo} + \mbox{gauge transf} & \rightarrow & \mbox{generalised vector field } V^M \in {\bf 56} \mbox{ of } E_{7(7)} \\ & \mbox{Lie derivative } \rightarrow & \mbox{generalised Lie derivative} \end{array}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{V} = V^{M} \partial_{M} - (\partial \times_{adj} V) = \text{diffeo} + \text{gauge transf},$

with $\partial_M = (\partial_i, \partial^{ij}, \partial^{ijklm}, \ldots) = (\partial_i, 0, \ldots, 0).$

Exceptional Field Theory = reformulation of supergravity

Exceptional Field Theory: Reformulation of 10-/11-d supergravity

$$\{g, C_{(3)}, C_{(6)}, \ldots\} = \mathcal{M}_{MN}$$

$$L = R - \frac{1}{48} F_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{\mu\nu\lambda
ho} + \dots$$

with $F_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = 4\partial_{[\mu}C_{\nu\rho\lambda]}$.

Exceptional Field Theory = reformulation of supergravity

Exceptional Field Theory: Reformulation of 10-/11-d supergravity

 $\{g, C_{(3)}, C_{(6)}, \ldots\} = \mathcal{M}_{MN}$

$$L = R - \frac{1}{48} F_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + \dots$$
$$= \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{PQ} \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{PQ} + \dots$$

Exceptional Field Theory = reformulation of supergravity

Exceptional Field Theory: Reformulation of 10-/11-d supergravity

 $\left\{g\,,\ C_{(3)}\,,\ C_{(6)}\,,\ \ldots\right\}=\mathcal{M}_{MN}$

$$L = R - \frac{1}{48} F_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + \dots$$
$$= \mathcal{M}^{MN} \partial_M \mathcal{M}^{PQ} \partial_N \mathcal{M}_{PQ} + \dots$$

Generalised Lie derivative \Rightarrow generalised Ricci scalar

Similar for type II theories & other dimensions

Exceptional Field Theory and consistent truncations

Consistent truncations to max. gSUGRA captured by "generalised group manifolds" in ExFT



$$U_A^M \in E_{7(7)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{U_A} U_B = X_{AB}{}^C U_C$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x, Y) = M_{AB}(x)(U^{-1})_M{}^A(Y)(U^{-1})_N{}^B(Y)$$

Exceptional Field Theory and consistent truncations

Consistent truncations to max. gSUGRA captured by "generalised Leibniz parallelisable manifolds" in ExFT



$$U_A^M \in E_{7(7)}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{U_A} U_B = X_{AB}{}^C U_C$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x, Y) = M_{AB}(x)(U^{-1})_M{}^A(Y)(U^{-1})_N{}^B(Y)$$

e.g. deformations of $AdS_4 \times S^7$, $AdS_5 \times S^5$, ...

 $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x, Y) = M_{AB}(x)(U^{-1})_{M}{}^{A}(Y)(U^{-1})_{N}{}^{B}(Y)$



e.g. deformations of $\mathsf{AdS}_4\times S^7$, $\mathsf{AdS}_5\times S^5$, \ldots

 $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y) = \delta_{AB}(U^{-1})_M{}^A(Y)(U^{-1})_N{}^B(Y)$



e.g. deformations of $\mathsf{AdS}_4\times S^7$, $\mathsf{AdS}_5\times S^5$, \ldots

 $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x, Y) = M_{AB}(x)(U^{-1})_{M}{}^{A}(Y)(U^{-1})_{N}{}^{B}(Y)$



Warped compactifications with few/no remaining (super-)symmetries

e.g. deformations of $\mathsf{AdS}_4\times S^7$, $\mathsf{AdS}_5\times S^5$, \ldots

 $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x, Y) = M_{AB}(x)(U^{-1})_{M}{}^{A}(Y)(U^{-1})_{N}{}^{B}(Y)$



Warped compactifications with few/no remaining (super-)symmetries

"Hidden" group structure!

Kaluza-Klein spectroscopy



FIG. 2. Mass spectrum of scalars.

KK spectroscopy strategy

Traditional KK Ansatz: $\phi(x, y) = \phi^{\Sigma}(x) \underbrace{\mathcal{Y}_{\Sigma}(y)}_{\text{harmonics}}$

KK spectroscopy strategy



KK spectroscopy strategy



Warped compactifications with few/no remaining (super)symmetries! Spectrum along RG flow! KK spectroscopy



" $\mathcal{N}=8$ supermultiplet contains all SUGRA fields"

KK spectroscopy



 $\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y)\in E_{7(7)}/\mathrm{SU}(8)$








14

Mass matrix

Algebraic mass matrix:

$$M^2 = X^2 + T^2 + XT \,.$$

KK spectroscopy at less symmetric point





KK spectroscopy at less symmetric point



Use same harmonics as for max. symmetric point

Multiplication by $E_{7(7)}$ matrix, $M_{AB}(x)$!

KK Spectroscopy Summary

- Only scalar harmonics of maximally symmetric point (round sphere)
- ▶ ExFT KK Ansatz \implies Differential problem \rightarrow algebraic problem
- Compute full spectrum for any vacuum in consistent truncation
- Spectrum for compactifications with few/no remaining (super-)symmetries

Applications



Applications



- 1. Global properties of conformal manifold
- 2. Non-SUSY AdS

Ex 1. $\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ AdS}_4$ family

 $[\mathsf{SO}(6) \times \mathsf{SO}(1,1)] \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{12}$ supergravity

2 moduli $(\varphi, \delta) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}$ in 4-d theory $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal manifold [Guarino, Sterckx, Trigiante '20], [Bobev, Gautason, van Muiden '21]



Expected to be compact e.g. [Perlmutter, Rasteli, Vafa, Valenzuela, '20]



























Ex 1. Space invaders

Higher KK modes become massless when $\varphi = \frac{p\pi}{T}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ [Giambrone, EM, Samtleben, Trigiante '21]



Spectrum identical for $\varphi = \frac{2 p \pi}{T}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ Spectrum differs for $\varphi = \frac{(2 p+1) \pi}{T}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ Ex 1. KK spectrum along $\mathcal{N}=2$ conformal manifold

[Giambrone, EM, Samtleben, Trigiante '21]

- $\blacktriangleright \ \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is a 4-d artefact
- $\varphi \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{T})$ in 10 dimensions
- KK spectrum as fct of φ:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{17}{4} + \frac{1}{2}R^2 - J(J+1) - 2k(k+1) + \ell(\ell+4) + 4(\frac{\pi n}{T} - j\varphi)^2}.$$

Lorentz spin: JSU(2) spin: kU(1)_R charge: RU(1) \subset SU(2) Cartan: jS⁵ level: ℓ S¹ level: n

KK spectrum as fct of δ: non-compact? [Bobev, Gautason, van Muiden '21], [Cesàro, Larios, Varela '21]

Ex 1. φ as complex structure deformation [Giambrone, EM, Samtleben, Trigiante '21] • φ -family: AdS₄ × S⁵ × S¹: S⁵ → S³ × S² ► S^3 Hopf fibre & S^1 : S^2 $\tau = \frac{i}{4\pi} - \frac{\varphi T}{2\pi}$ $\varphi \to \varphi + \frac{2\pi}{T} \Longrightarrow \tau \to \tau - 1$

▶ φ deformation: locally \rightarrow coordinate transformation Similar in other S-fold vacua [Cesàro, Larios, Varela '22]

Application to non-SUSY vacua



Application to non-SUSY vacua



Can compute spectrum for non-SUSY vacua!

Stability of non-SUSY AdS vacua



Stability of non-SUSY AdS vacua



Stability of non-SUSY AdS vacua



Ex 2. Non-SUSY flat deformations

2 other flat directions $\chi_1,\,\chi_2$ of 4-D supergravity [Guarino, Sterckx '21]



Non-supersymmetric conformal manifold?

Ex 2. Non-SUSY exactly marginal deformations

Non-SUSY exactly marginal deformations not expected to exist

Evidence for a miracle

[Giambrone, Guarino, EM, Samtleben, Sterckx, Trigiante '21]

- Perturbative stability
- Non-perturbative stability
- $\blacktriangleright \frac{1}{N}$ corrections

 χ_1 , χ_2 deformations are locally coordinate transformations!

Ex 3. Warning: Kaluza-Klein instability



FIG. 2. Mass spectrum of scalars.

Higher KK modes can still be tachyonic! [EM, Nicolai, Samtleben '20]

KK Spectrometry beyond consistent truncations


KK spectrum beyond consistent truncations

Deformations not triggered by $\mathcal{N} = 8$ scalars?



KK spectrum beyond consistent truncations

Deformations not triggered by $\mathcal{N} = 8$ scalars?



e.g. generic single-trace RG flows of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

Generalised parallelisability

[Du Boeuf, EM, Samtleben '22] $U_A{}^M \in E_{7(7)}$ give basis for all fields

but, $\mathcal{L}_{U_A}U_B = X_{AB}{}^{C}(Y)U_C$.



Only need scalar harmonics: \mathcal{Y}_Σ

Generalised parallelisability

[Du Boeuf, EM, Samtleben '22] $U_A{}^M \in E_{7(7)}$ give basis for all fields

but, $\mathcal{L}_{U_A}U_B = X_{AB}{}^{C}(Y)U_C$.



Only need scalar harmonics: \mathcal{Y}_Σ

$$\mathcal{M}_{MN}(x,Y) = (\delta_{AB} + j_{AB}{}^{\Sigma}(x)\mathcal{Y}_{\Sigma})(U^{-1})_{M}{}^{A}(Y)(U^{-1})_{N}{}^{B}(Y)$$
$$j_{AB}{}^{\Sigma} \in \mathfrak{e}_{7(7)} \ominus \mathfrak{su}(8)$$

Applications

Compute KK spectrum of generic single-trace deformations, outside $\mathcal{N}=8$ SUGRA

Examples

 $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{N}=1 \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{N}=0 \ \text{AdS}_4 \times \ \text{Squashed} \ \text{S}^7 \colon \frac{\mathrm{USp}(4)}{\mathrm{SU}(2)}, \ \text{not symmetric space} \\ \longrightarrow \ \text{Full spectrum for first time [Du Boeuf, EM, Samtleben '22]} \end{array}$

$$L[J] \otimes [p,q,r] \otimes \{s\}: \quad \Delta = 1 + \frac{5}{3}s + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{(3J+2s^2)^2 + 5C(p,q,r)}.$$

β-deformation of AdS₅ × S⁵
 → Anomalous dimensions along conformal manifold [Galli, Josse, EM, Petrini, *work in progress*]

Applications

Compute KK spectrum of generic single-trace deformations, outside $\mathcal{N}=8$ SUGRA

Examples

 $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{N}=1 \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{N}=0 \ \text{AdS}_4 \times \ \text{Squashed} \ \text{S}^7 \colon \frac{\mathrm{USp}(4)}{\mathrm{SU}(2)}, \ \text{not symmetric space} \\ \longrightarrow \ \text{Full spectrum for first time [Du Boeuf, EM, Samtleben '22]} \end{array}$

$$L[J] \otimes \underbrace{[p,q,r]}_{\mathsf{USp}(4)\times\mathsf{SU}(2)} \otimes \{s\}: \quad \Delta = 1 + \frac{5}{3}s + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{(3J+2s^2)^2 + 5\mathcal{C}(p,q,r)}.$$

β-deformation of AdS₅ × S⁵
 → Anomalous dimensions along conformal manifold
 [Galli, Josse, EM, Petrini, work in progress]

Applications

Compute KK spectrum of generic single-trace deformations, outside $\mathcal{N}=8$ SUGRA

Examples

 $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{N}=1 \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{N}=0 \ \text{AdS}_4 \times \ \text{Squashed} \ \text{S}^7 \colon \frac{\mathrm{USp}(4)}{\mathrm{SU}(2)}, \ \text{not symmetric space} \\ \longrightarrow \ \text{Full spectrum for first time [Du Boeuf, EM, Samtleben '22]} \end{array}$

$$L[J] \otimes [p, q, r] \otimes \{s\}$$
: $\Delta = 1 + \frac{5}{3}s + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{(3J + 2s^2)^2 + 5C(p, q, r)}$.

β-deformation of AdS₅ × S⁵
 → Anomalous dimensions along conformal manifold
 [Galli, Josse, EM, Petrini, *work in progress*]

Conclusions

ExFT: Compute full KK spectrum for warped compactifications with few/no remaining (super-)symmetries

- New holographic tests (comparison with SUSY index) & predictions [Bobev, EM, Robinson, Samtleben, van Muiden '21]
- Danger of trusting lower-dimensional supergravity!
- Higher KK modes crucial for physics
 - Compactness of conformal manifold
 - Perturbatively stable non-SUSY AdS, also in mIIA [Guarino, EM, Samtleben '21]
 - Higher KK modes can trigger instabilities [EM, Nicolai, Samtleben '20]

Conclusions

 $\label{eq:ExFT: Compute full KK spectrum for warped compactifications with few/no remaining (super-)symmetries$

- New holographic tests (comparison with SUSY index) & predictions [Bobev, EM, Robinson, Samtleben, van Muiden '21]
- Danger of trusting lower-dimensional supergravity!
- Higher KK modes crucial for physics
 - Compactness of conformal manifold
 - Perturbatively stable non-SUSY AdS, also in mIIA [Guarino, EM, Samtleben '21]
 - Higher KK modes can trigger instabilities [EM, Nicolai, Samtleben '20]

Outlook:

- Vacua of less SUSY truncations?
- Correlation functions?

Thank you!

Ex 0. Holographic dual of LS SCFT



 $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(2)_F \times U(1)_R AdS₅ vacuum [Khavaev, Pilch, Warner '00]



Ex 0. Holographic dual of LS SCFT



 $\mathcal{N}=2$ SU(2)_F \times U(1)_R AdS₅ vacuum [Khavaev, Pilch, Warner '00]



Ex 0. Checks & Predictions for LS SCFT

[Bobev, EM, Robinson, Samtleben, van Muiden '21]

Full spectrum of single-trace primary operators:

$$\Delta = 1 + \sqrt{7 - 3|j_1 + j_2| + \frac{3}{4}(r^2 - 2(p + 2y)^2 + 2\ell(\ell + 4) - 4k(k + 1))}$$

Lorentz spin: j_1, j_2 SU(2)_F spin: kU(1)_R charge: r S^5 level: ℓ U(1)_P × U(1)_Y charges: p, y

Unprotected operators with finite Δ at strong coupling!

Semi-short multiplets match superconformal index

Ex 2. KK Spectroscopy

[Giambrone, Guarino, EM, Samtleben, Sterckx, Trigiante '21]

 $\mathsf{KK} \text{ spectroscopy} \to \mathsf{full} \ \mathsf{KK} \ \mathsf{spectrum}$

Perturbatively stable!

$$\Delta = \frac{3}{2} + a + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{9 + 2\ell(\ell+4) + 4\ell_1(\ell_1+1) + 4\ell_2(\ell_2+1) + 2\left(\frac{2n\pi}{T} + j_1\chi_1 + j_2\chi_2\right)^2}$$

Position within $\mathcal{N} = 4$ multiplet: *a* SO(4) spin: ℓ_1 , ℓ_2 Charges under U(1) × U(1) Cartan: j_1 , j_2 S^5 level: ℓ S^1 level: *n*

Ex 2. Non-perturbative stability?

[Giambrone, Guarino, EM, Samtleben, Sterckx, Trigiante '21]

- Probe-brane analysis: T > Q
 Branes more stable than in SUSY case!
- No Ooguri-Vafa instability [Ooguri, Vafa '16]
- ▶ S^1 and S^5 protected against "bubble of nothing" [Witten '82]
- D3-brane bubble of nothing [Bomans, Cassani, Dibitetto, Petri '21] ??

Ex 2. $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections

[Giambrone, Guarino, EM, Samtleben, Sterckx, Trigiante '21]

Flat directions lifted by $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections?

Protection by diffeomorphism symmetry

• $\chi_1, \chi_2 \rightarrow \text{coordinate transformations (locally)}$

• χ_1 , χ_2 do not appear in diffeo-invariant quantities

Also applies to $\mathcal{N}=1$ exactly marginal deformations [Bobev, Gautason, van Muiden '21]

Ex 2. $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections

[Giambrone, Guarino, EM, Samtleben, Sterckx, Trigiante '21]

Flat directions lifted by $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections?

Protection by diffeomorphism symmetry

• χ_1 , $\chi_2 \rightarrow$ coordinate transformations (locally)

• χ_1 , χ_2 do not appear in diffeo-invariant quantities

Also applies to $\mathcal{N}=1$ exactly marginal deformations [Bobev, Gautason, van Muiden '21]

Corrections from D5-instantons?

 $\textit{vol}_{\mathrm{S}^5 \times \mathrm{S}^1}$ independent of $\chi_1\text{, }\chi_2$

Ex 3. Tachyonic KK modes 11-d SUGRA 4-D $\mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ SO(8) SUGRA}$

- Only one non-SUSY vacuum that is stable in 4-d! [Fischbacher, Pilch, Warner '10], [Comsa, Firsching, Fischbacher '19]
- ▶ Non-SUSY SO(3) × SO(3) AdS₄ vacuum [Warner '83]





Ex 3. Tachyonic KK modes 11-d SUGRA 4-D $\mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ SO(8) SUGRA}$

- Only one non-SUSY vacuum that is stable in 4-d! [Fischbacher, Pilch, Warner '10], [Comsa, Firsching, Fischbacher '19]
- ▶ Non-SUSY SO(3) × SO(3) AdS₄ vacuum [Warner '83]







Modes $\ell \leq 1$: still stable!

[EM, Nicolai, Samtleben '20]



Modes $\ell \leq 2$: tachyons!

[EM, Nicolai, Samtleben '20]







Ex 4. Perturbatively stable non-SUSY AdS₄ vacua



• G_2 invariant + 6 less symmetric non-SUSY AdS₄, stable in 4-D

Ex 4. Perturbatively stable non-SUSY AdS₄ vacua



• G_2 invariant + 6 less symmetric non-SUSY AdS₄, stable in 4-D

Ex 4. Stability of G_2 vacuum in mIIA

Analytic spectrum:

$$L^2\mathbb{M}^2_{(
m scalar)} = (\ell+2)(\ell+3) - rac{3}{2}\mathcal{C}_{G_2} \ge 0\,.$$

 ℓ : S^6 KK level C_{G_2} : G_2 Casimir

*G*₂ vacuum is perturbatively stable in mIIA SUGRA [Guarino, EM, Samtleben '21]

- No signs of Ooguri-Vafa instability [Guarino, Tarrio, Varela '20]
- Protected against "bubble of nothing"
- May suffer from different non-perturbative instabilities [Bomans, Cassani, Dibitetto, Petri '21]

Ex 4. Stability of six other AdS_4 vacua in mIIA

Evidence for perturbative stability in mIIA SUGRA [Guarino, EM, Samtleben '21]

• Numerical evaluation up to level $\ell = 4$:

- no tachyons
- Iowest-lying masses increase monotonically with level
- No signs of Ooguri-Vafa instability [Guarino, Tarrio, Varela '20]
- Protected against "bubble of nothing"
- Other non-perturbative instabilities?