SOURCE-AGNOSTIC GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE DETECTION WITH TRANSFORMERS

NATNAEL BERHANE DEBRU (KHALIFA UNIVERSITY) – EP-CMG-OS

SUPERVISORS: NADYA CHERNYAVSKAYA, MAURIZIO PIERINI

Summer Student Session 2022: August 3, 2022

Machine Learning for Particle Physics (mPP)

- CERN R&D project to investigate and promote applications of modern machine learning (ML) to particle physics
- Developing and deploying ML solutions for CERN experiments, such as trigger, event reconstruction, simulation, etc.
- Interest in applying ML techniques to anomalous signal detection in gravitational wave (GW) detectors (LIGO)
- E. A. Moreno et al., "Source-agnostic gravitational-wave detection with recurrent autoencoders"

([https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.12698.pdf\)](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.12698.pdf)

GW Detection at LIGO

- •Matched filtering: matching data with simulated signal templates. Used to identify signal as well as determine event parameters
- •ML techniques: models trained with simulated data to extract signals from noisy data. Result in increased accuracy
- •Drawback: rely on pre-defined signals, cannot identify unmodeled signals or unknown signals from exotic sources

Source: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.12698.pdf>

Deep Learning for GW Signal Detection

- Deep learning (DL) with artificial neural networks (ANNs)
- Supervised vs Unsupervised learning
- Autoencoders (AEs) for anomaly detection:
	- Compression-decompression algorithm
	- Unsupervised learning (sensitivity to unmodeled signals)
	- Can be trained directly with GW data

- Previous Work Architecture: Recurrent (LSTM, GRU) and Convolutional (CNN) autoencoders
	- Results show recurrent autoencoders are efficient at anomaly detection.
	- LSTM model performs best

Transformers

- Recent sequence to sequence model, first developed for text-based language translations (NLP)
- Primarily relies on attention layer
- Demonstrated efficiency at predicting future sequences once trained with input and corresponding output data (supervised)
- Particularly suitable for time-series data \rightarrow suitable candidate for GW anomaly detection

Source: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1706.03762.pdf>

Transformers for GW Anomaly Detection

def transformer encoded autoencoder (X) : #X input data with shape [samples,timestep,features] """Autoencoder with a transformer laver encoder and convolution laver decoder""" #Transformer hyperparameters num heads=5 #number of multi head attention layers

#encoder

```
inputs = Input(shape=(X.shape[1], X.shape[2]))L1 = transformer_encoder(inputs,head_size=100,num_heads=num_heads,ff_dim=800)
L2 = transformer_encoder(L1, head_size=100, num_heads=num_heads, ff_dim=200)
L3 = transformer_encoder(L2,head_size=100,num_heads=num_heads,ff_dim=50)
#decoder
L4 = Conv1D(128, 3, activation='relu', padding='same')(L3)output = Conv1D(1, 3, activation='relu', padding='same'))(L4)
```

```
model = Model(inputs = inputs, outputs = output)return model
```
def transformer encoder(inputs, head size, num heads, ff dim, dropout=0):

```
# Normalization and Attention
```
- $x = \text{layers.}$ LayerNormalization(epsilon=1e-6)(inputs)
- $x = \text{layers.}$ MultiHeadAttention(

key_dim=head_size, num_heads=num_heads, dropout=dropout

(x, x)

```
x = \text{layers.Dropout}(dropout)(x)
```

```
res = x + inputs
```
Feed Forward Part

- $x = layers.LayerNormalization(epsilon=1e-6)(res)$
- $x = \text{layers.Conv1D}(filter = ff dim, Kernel_size = 1, activation='relu')(x)$
- $x = \text{layers.Dropout(dropout)}(x)$
- $x = \text{layers.Conv1D}(filters = inputs.shape[-1], Kernel_size=1)(x)$

 $return x + res$

Preliminary model:

- Transformer in an unsupervised autoencoder architecture
- Autoencoder with transformer layers as encoder and convolution layers as decoder
- Convolution layers used instead of original feedforward layers in order to preserve order of time-series data
- Trained with simulated LIGO noise data

3/8/2022 Natnael Berhane Debru - Source-Agnostic Gravitational-Wave Detection with Transformers 7

Source:

classification/

https://keras.io/examples/time series/timeseries_transformer

Next Steps

- Evaluation of preliminary model
- Hyperparameter optimization: optimizing model architecture to obtain optimum performance
- Optimization of data processing techniques (for ex., number of time steps used for a single event, data augmentation process)
- Improvement of dual-detector anomaly detection system (combining data from both LIGO detectors to make more accurate detections)
- Development and testing of other transformer-based architectures:
	- "Traditional" transformer trained to predict future time-series (such as GW data) based on past data

THANK YOU! ANY QUESTIONS?

Contact:

natnael.berhane.debru@cern.ch

100049891@ku.ac.ae

