Feed-Down contributions to charmonium production at the LHC

Caetano Eirea Orro (<u>caetano.eirea.orro@cern.ch</u>) LHCb

With special thanks to Florian for his help

HonexComb meeting - 31 May 2022







U SC UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA



1. Introduction

Feed-downs correspond to transitions from a given quarkonium state to a lighter one of the same family. Prompt = direct + feed-down

This is important because affects the productions measured experimentally. The fraction of quarkonium Q'(nl')originated from the Q(ml) feed-down ($n \ge m$) is defined as:

$$\mathcal{F}_{Q(ml)}^{Q'(nl')} \equiv \frac{\sigma(Q'(nl'))}{\sigma(Q(ml))}$$

Charmonia:

- Feed-Downs to prompt J/Ψ
- X(3872) contributions to prompt $\Psi(2S)$ and J/Ψ

(Florian is working in the bottomonia case)*

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1129834/contributions/4741665/attachments/2395622/4096096/feeddowns_honexcomb_22-02-2022.pdf https://indico.cern.ch/event/1088380/contributions/4575431/attachments/2334470/3978903/feeddowns_honexcomb_26-10-2021.pdf. *

 $- \times \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{Q}'(nl') \to \mathscr{Q}(ml) + X)$

Implications in quarkonium measurements:

- p_T spectrum of production cross sections.
- Key role in the "polarization puzzle"
- Essential to understand the sequential suppression pattern observed in heavy-ion collisions



2. Overview of available data

Centre-of-mass energy	Psi(2S) measurements	Xc(1P) measurements	X(3872) measurements
b t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	ATLAS : <u>Ratio to JPsi</u> (in rapidity) J/Psi cross-section lyl<2.4 CMS: <u>Ratio to J/Psi lyl<1.2</u> LHCb: <u>Psi(2S) cross-section, pt > 2</u> <u>GeV</u> Psi(2S) cross-section pt < 12 GeV	ATLAS : <u>Ratio Xc1,2 / JPsi pT>10</u> <u>GeV</u> CMS: <u>Relative Xc2 / Xc1, pt > 7</u> <u>GeV</u> LHCb: <u>Relative Xc2/Xc1 Xc0/Xc2</u> <u>Ratio Xc0,1,2 / JPsi</u> <u>Relative Xc2/Xc1</u>	CMS: Inclusive ratio X(3872)/Psi(2S) to JPsi pipi pT>10GeV lyl<1.2 LHCb: X(3872) cross-section integrated, 5 < pt < 20 GeV
8 TeV	ATLAS : <u>Ratio to JPsi pT>8 GeV</u> (in rapidity bins)	None	ATLAS: X(3872) and Psi(2S) to JPsi pipi pT>10 GeV lyl <0.75 LHCb: Ratio X(3872) to Psi(2S) in Jpsi pi pi, pt > 4 GeV (Ratios splitted in years of data taking and rapidity)
13 TeV	CMS: Ratio to JPsi pT>20 GeV LHCb: Ratio to JPsi $y \in [2,4.5]$ pT $\in [2,14]$	None	LHCb: Ratio X(3872) to Psi(2S) in Jpsi pi pi, pt > 4 GeV (Ratios splitted in years of data taking and rapidity)



3. Dependences

It is important to check the dependence of the cross-section ratios with the rapidity and energy to know if it is justified merging the data measured in different conditions.

In the <u>bottomonium case</u> Florian demonstrated that there is no <u>dependence with the rapidity.</u>

For the <u>energy dependence</u>, we can exploit measurements performed at different energies just by applying global scale factors:

Low p_T : No p_T dependence and small E dependence **High** p_T : Not clear behavior





3.1. Rapidity dependence

In the charmonium case we can only check the dependence for high p_T and the results obtained by <u>LHCb</u>, <u>ATLAS</u> and <u>CMS</u> point to some kind of dependence.



Rapidity dependence ATLAS 8 TeV

Boxes: Systematic uncertainties

Lines: Statistic uncertainties, if it is the only displayed then it its stat and sys combined





To asses the importance of the difference between the rapidity bins we can use the most extreme ones.

ATLAS: $0.00 \le y < 0.25 - 1.75 \le y < 2.0$

The weighted mean **is not** compatible with a 0 difference between ratios. Mean diff= 0.681 ± 0.013



CMS: $0.00 \le y < 0.3$ - $0.9 \le y < 1.2$

In this case the mean **is** compatible with no difference between ratios in the two rapidity bins





3.2. Energy dependence

This was checked by <u>LHCb</u> with the double ratio of the prompt X(3872) production cross-section relative to that of $\Psi(2S)$ and they got a polynomial fit with slope consisten with zero





It would be interesting to study this dependence in CMS data. But the binnings are not equal so we will have to compute the weighted average cross-section ratio for J/Ψ to match the $\Psi(2S)$ binning.



With ATLAS data at 7,8 TeV we can compute the double ratio to study the dependence with energy and tranverse momentum. Defining the ratio as:

Fitting results

 $R7/R8 = (0.0064 \pm 1.3e-05) * pT + (0.92 \pm 0.0026)$

 $R7/R8 = (0.0026 \pm 4e-06) * pT + (0.98 \pm 0.00086)$

 $R7/R8 = (0.0076 \pm 4.8e-06) * pT + (0.92 \pm 0.00094)$

 $R7/R8 = (0.011 \pm 1.4e-05) * pT + (0.8 \pm 0.0028)$

У

 $R7/R8 = (0.0083 \pm 1.1e-05) * pT + (0.84 \pm 0.0023)$

 $R7/R8 = (0.0026 \pm 2.6e-05) * pT + (0.91 \pm 0.0053)$

 $R7/R8 = (0.012 \pm 1.2e-05) * pT + (0.78 \pm 0.0022)$

 $R7/R8 = (0.00059 \pm 3.9e-05) * pT + (0.89 \pm 0.0064)$

The slopes obtained in the fits are not compatible with 0 in most of the cases, nevertheless we are using here the results of ATLAS 7TeV that are not very precise. It would be interesting to do this in just one joined rapidity bin as in the previous slide.

 $R^{xTeV} = \frac{B \cdot \sigma(\Psi(2S))}{B \cdot \sigma(J/\Psi)}$



For clarity we only show the cases with more dependence





4. $\Psi(2S)$ to J/Ψ feed-down fraction

By definition:
$$\mathscr{F}(\Psi(2S) \to J/\Psi) \equiv \frac{\sigma(\Psi(2S))}{\sigma(J/\Psi)}$$

In most cases, the experiments measure only cross-section ratios, not corrected by the corresponding branching fractions, so we have to take this into account in the calculations

$$\mathcal{F}(\Psi(2S) \to J/\Psi) = \frac{\mathscr{B}(\Psi(2S) \to \mu\mu) \times \sigma(\Psi(2S))}{\mathscr{B}(J/\Psi \to \mu\mu) \times \sigma(J/\Psi)} \times \frac{\mathscr{B}(\Psi(2S) \to \mu\mu)}{\mathscr{B}(J/\Psi \to \mu\mu)} \times \mathscr{B}(\Psi(2S) \to J/\Psi + \text{anything})$$

Measurements

$- \times \mathscr{B}(\Psi(2S) \to J/\Psi + \text{anything})$





4.1. Cross-section ratios

• These are the $\Psi(2S)$ over J/Ψ cross section ratios measured experimentally multiplied by the branching ratios









4.2. ATLAS data

We are not going to consider the 7TeV data because it is way less precise than the 8TeV results. To choose which rapidity interval to use we compute the mean relative uncertainty of each rapidity bin. The most precise interval is $0.25 \le y < 0.5$ which will be used from now on.





Comparison of the ratio in different rapidity bins



12

5. χ_c multiplet feed-down

 $\mathscr{F}_{j/\Psi(mS)}^{\chi_c(nP)} = \frac{\sigma(\chi_{c0}(1P))}{\sigma(J/\Psi)} \times \mathscr{B}(\chi_{c0}(1P) \to J/\Psi + \gamma) + \frac{\sigma(\chi_{c1}(1P))}{\sigma(J/\Psi)}$

In most cases χ_{c0} is not considered in the global calculation because its \mathscr{B} to J/Ψ is too small to measure $\mathscr{B}(\chi_{c0}(1P) \rightarrow J/\Psi + \gamma) = 1.27 \pm 0.06\%$

Considering the multiplet only formed by $\chi_{c1,2}$ the feed-down to J/Ψ is:

$$\frac{1}{2} \times \mathscr{B}(\chi_{c1}(1P) \to J/\Psi + \gamma) + \frac{\sigma(\chi_{c2}(1P))}{\sigma(J/\Psi)} \times \mathscr{B}(\chi_{c2}(1P) \to J/\Psi + \gamma)$$





5.1. Ratio of χ_{c2} to χ_{c1} cross-sections



We can compare the results obtained by the different collaborations for the $\sigma(\chi_{c2})/\sigma(\chi_{c1})$ ratio

Under the non-zero polarization assumption the shaded bands are due to changes in the polarization of the χ_c





5.2. Separation of the multiplet contributions.

We can compute the individual contributions of $\chi_{c1,2}$ to the feed-down in ATLAS data using the following equation:



$$F_{J/\Psi}^{\chi_{c2}} = F_{J/\Psi}^{\chi_c} - F_{J/\Psi}^{\chi_{c1}}$$



To be sure that the previous equation is indeed correct, we can compute the individual feeddowns with the general definition presented before.

We can see that the results in both cases lie in the same range of values, so the equation is presumably well obtained





their analysis.

We will consider it as a second order correction given the small BR to J/Ψ , and follow the same procedure as with ATLAS data.



In the LHCb data we could consider the contribution of χ_{c0} , as it is reported to be detected in

6. Feed-downs to prompt J/Ψ

Correcting the measurements to obtain the feed downs and putting everything together:











$$\mathcal{F}(X(3872) \to \Psi(2S)) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(X(3872 \to J/\Psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) \times \sigma(pp \to X(3872)))}{\mathcal{B}(\Psi(2S) \to J/\Psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) \times \sigma(pp \to \Psi(2872))}$$



at low pT



8. Conclusions

Our main objective is to derive the feed-down fractions in quarkonium production at LHC. In this preliminary study the main takeaways are:

- Significant rapidity dependence for $\Psi(2S)/J/\Psi$, especially in ATLAS data.
- No significant energy dependence reported by LHCb. Not clear in ATLAS and CMS
- Good agreement in mid-pt range for the $\Psi(2S)$ to J/Ψ feed-down for the 3 experiments.
- Good agreement between LHCb and ATLAS for the χ_c feed-down with the multiplet considered as one or separated.

Any suggestion is welcome 🥯

Next steps

- Obtain LHCb data to study low pt range
- Rapidity dependent study of the feed-downs (high, low and medium rapidity)
- Further study of the energy dependence in the different experiments.
- Comparison con theoretical predictions
- X(3872) to $\Psi(2S)$ feed-downs to be studied in more detail



Backup





Charmonium spectrum

Mass (MeV)



 $J^{PC} = 0^{-+} 1^{--} 1^{+-} 0^{++}$

1++

