SUPERCONDUCTING DETECTOR MAGNET WORKSHOP

12–14 Sept 2022 CERN Europe/Zurich timezone

CLIC: The Compact Linear Collider, Detector and Magnet

Benoit CURÉ, CERN

On behalf of the CLICdp collaboration





Superconducting Detector Magnet Workshop

1



The Compact Linear Collider, Detector and Magnet



Outline

- The CLIC Collaboration
- CLIC physics context
- The CLIC accelerator: overview
- The detector for CLIC
- The CLIC detector magnet
- Summary







CLIC collaboration



CLIC/CTF3 accelerator collaboration

~70 institutes from ~30 countries http://clic-study.web.cern.ch/

CLIC accelerator studies:

- CLIC accelerator design and development
- Construction and operation of CLIC Test Facility

CLIC detector and physics (CLICdp) 30 institutes from 18 countries

http://clicdp.web.cern.ch/

Focus of CLIC-specific studies on:

- Physics prospects and simulation studies
- **Detector** optimisation + R&D for CLIC







CLIC physics context



CLIC provides energy-frontier capability for electron-positron collisions, for precision exploration of potential new physics that may emerge from LHC

- The Standard Model of particle physics has been extremely successful (including prediction of the Higgs boson discovered at the Large Hadron Collider)
- However, it does not explain observations of:
 - Dark Matter
 - The baryon-antibaryon asymmetry
 - Light neutrino masses and mixing
- No guaranteed regime where new physics will emerge



→ Exploration of new territory motivates ambitious future colliders

Philipp Roloff, CLIC 2019







- The Compact Linear Collider (CLIC) is a proposed accelerator that is being designed as an addition to CERN's accelerator complex.
- Its objective is to collide electrons and positrons (antielectrons) head-on at energies of up to several tera-electronvolts (10¹²eV or TeV).
- For an optimal exploitation of its physics potential, CLIC is intended to be built and operated in three stages, at collision energies of 380 GeV, 1.5 TeV and 3 TeV respectively, for a site length ranging from 11 to 50 km
- Accelerator optimisation, technical developments and system tests have resulted in significant progress in recent years including a reduced cost and an increased energy efficiency.







- Optimized design w.r.t. cost and power for a staged approach to reach multi-TeV scales.
- 3 stages:









The underground tunnel

Depth: -100m / -150m









Staging scenario adapting appropriately to LHC + other physics findings



Number of particle collision events per attobarn (= 10^{-42} cm²) of target cross-section



380 GeV:

• Optimised for precision SM Higgs and top physics

1.5 TeV, 3 TeV:

- Best sensitivity for new physics searches,
- Rare Higgs processes and decays

A. Robson, P. Roloff, Updated CLIC luminosity staging baseline and Higgs coupling prospects, 2018

CLIC Technology : 2-beam acceleration scheme

A high intensity "drive beam" is decelerated to produce the radiofrequency power needed to accelerate the electrons and positrons of the "main beam".

High centre-of-mass energy requires high-gradient acceleration

- High gradients feasible in normal conducting structures with high RF frequency (12 GHz)
- Initial transfer from wall plug to beam (klystron) is efficient at lower frequency (~1 GHz)
- To keep power low, apply RF power only at the time when the beam is there.

CLIC 2-beam acceleration scheme

- The short and intense electron pulses of the "drive beam" are produced by interleaving bunches in a delay loop and two combiner rings.
- The "main beams" have their independent "guns", pre-accelerating and damping rings followed by a long transfer line to beginning of their respective linear accelerator.
- Synchronization of the arrival time of "drive beam" and "main beam" bunches is crucial.

Modular system:

First stage:

CLIC - Scheme of the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)

11

• at 3 TeV, add 2nd drive beam

Next stages:

- re-use systems / components
- Add linac and drive pulse length
 - Klystrons Klystrons 588 units, 20 MW, 148 us 588 units, 20 MW, 148 µs **DRIVE BEAM** COMPLEX **Delay Loop Delay Loop** 73 m 2.5 km 2.5 km **Drive Beam Accelerator** CR2 CR2 **Drive Beam Accelerator** Ø140 m Ø140 m 2.4 GeV, 1.0 GHz 2.4 GeV, 1.0 GHz Ø95 m CR1 CR1 Ø95 m Decelerators, 25 sectors Decelerator, each 878 m BC2 11111 11111 TITI 11111 III. BC2 TTTT. 11111 1111 BDS BDS 3.1 km e" Main Linac, 1.5 TeV, 12 GHz, 72/100 MV/m, 22 km e* Main Linac, 1.5 TeV, 12 GHz, 72/100 MV/m, 22 km TA IP TA 300 m 300 m 50.1 km **Booster Linac** Spin Rotator 9 GeV CAPTION BC1 e⁺ DR CR : Combiner ring Pre-Injector Primary e⁻ Linac 389 m e⁺Linac for e⁺ production TA: Turnaround e⁺ PDR MAIN BEAM 0.2 GeV DR 5 GeV DR : Damping ring 359 m 359 m COMPLEX PDR : Predamping ring BC : Bunch compressor Target Gun BDS : Beam delivery system IP : Interaction point 0 : Dump Spin Rotator Injector Linac Pre-Injector DC Gun 2.86 GeV e" Linac 0.2 GeV 3 TeV

Several paths of development aiming at saving power and energy have been identified and are under investigation.

An alternative to the CLIC drive beam scheme : the main linac power is produced using X-band klystrons.

380 GeV klystron option

Replace drive-beam complex by local X-band RF power in tunnel

Simpler module, larger tunnel (inner diameter from 5.6 m to 10 m)

Philipp Burrows, ICHEP 2020, CLIC Status and plans

Initial plans revised according to European Committee for Future Accelerator roadmap, with continuation of R&D studies, optimization and design.

de Compact Linear Collider

The CLIC detector at the Interaction Point

Superconducting Detector Magnet Workshop

CLIC detector

CLICdp February 2019

Experimental underground cavern :

- Main cavern for detector access and maintenance
- Alcove for detector at accelerator IP
- detector garage position : detector opening to access the inner detectors for maintenance and upgrades.
- ancillary systems (control, safety, etc.),
- infrastructure (cooling, power, HVAC, DAQ, etc.).

Cavern size : L x l x H = 62 x 31 x 33 m

Shaft : Ø18m

Experimental underground cavern :

Original plan was with 2 different detectors sharing the same IP and a push-pull system with a mobile platform for each detector.

In latest design with one detector only: plateform kept for the detector move from IP to garage position.

Original plan

Latest cavern layout

CLIC detector

Technological Challenges addressed for Detector Magnet in Push-Pull configuration (A. Gaddi, CERN):

Avoid Magnets' services disconnection to keep magnet cold during Push-Pull with:

- Flexibles fore vacuum pumping lines,
- Flexibles cryogenics lines (similar to ATLAS end-cap toroid)
- Max acceleration during push-pull at 0.05g.

Flexibles superconducting powering lines

- A permanent connection of the solenoid power supply to the coil current leads would save time and avoid risks associated with connection & disconnection.
- Superconducting lines provide a gain on space and voltage drop wrt resistive lines
- Similar to MgB₂ superconducting links developed at CERN for HL-LHC.

Amalia Ballarino, 2014, Supercond. Sci. Technol.

Figure 1. Layout of: 3 kA cable (a), 14 kA cable (b), group of 8×0.6 kA cables (c), configuration of 7×14 kA, 7×3 kA and 8×0.6 kA cables (d). The MgB₂ is shown solid, the copper is shown hatched.

Figure 2. Mock-up of: 3 kA cable (a), 14 kA cable (b), group of 8×0.6 kA cables (c), configuration of 7×14 kA, 7×3 kA and 8×0.6 kA cables (d). The external diameter of each assembly is reported in Figure 1.

Superconducting links developed for HL-LHC upgrade

Prototypes of the multi-cables HTS powering line (courtesy A. Ballarino)

CLIC detector

Technological Challenges addressed for Detector Magnet in Push-Pull configuration (A. Gaddi, CERN):

On-board compact dump resistor to safely discharge the magnet energy.

3D model of a compact passive water cooled dump resistor

Main characteristics of the compact dump resistor

Water pressure	Water volume	Enthalpy (15–100°C)	Total energy	Peak power
(bar abs)	(m ³)	(kJ/kg)	(MJ)	(MW)
1.0	3.6	355	1.28	12

Thermo-fluid dynamic simulation of the compact water cooled dump resistor

Machine-Detector Interface: position of quadrupole QD0 needed for final beam focusing

Initial layout : QD0 inside detector L*=3.5m

- Special support of QD0 inside the detector,
- Pre-insulator system against vibration (δr < 0.1nm),
- Complex access with reduced space for intervention,
- Anti-solenoid to shield against main solenoidal field.

Revised layout: QD0 inside tunnel L*=6m

- Reduced yoke (end-cap and barrel),
- No pre-insulator required,
- Easier access for intervention,
- Stray field zeroed near QD0 by end-coils,
- Gain in detector detection range (acceptance).

F. Plassard et.al., CLIC Workshop 2018

Superconducting Detector Magnet Workshop

Recent works on a Dual Beam Delivery System :

Study of luminosity performance with 2 interaction regions (2 detectors) with different crossing angles.

Vera Cilento et.al., Dual beam delivery system serving two interaction regions for the Compact Linear Collider, PHYSICAL REVIEW ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS 24, 071001 (2021)

Top view of interaction regions

Layout of the new dual CLIC 3 TeV BDS system for two IRs.

The Conceptual Design

Reference: N. Alipour et al., *CLICdet: The post-CDR CLIC detector model*, CLICdp-Note-2017-001 (revised 05 April 2019), CERN 2017-03-01.-42. *https://cds.cern.ch/record/2254048*

End-cap

End-cap **Barrels**

The magnet and its iron yoke

- One superconducting solenoid
- 2x4 end coils
- 3 iron barrels
- 2 end cap iron disks •

Total weight: **8100 tons** (iron: 6400 tons)

Dimensions

- External diameter : 12.9m
- Total length: 11.4m
- Coil vactank bore radius: 3.5m
- Coil vactank length: 8.3m ٠

Detector opening/closing:

airpad + hydraulic jack systems.

End coils are attached to the end caps.

SC solenoid in a stainless steel vacuum tank fixed to the central barrel. Vacuum volume for thermal insulation at 1e-6 mbar.

The superconducting solenoid – conceptual design

- 4-T solenoid that follows same concept and manufacturing methods as the CMS magnet: aluminium stabilized conductor (*thermal and electrical conductivity, bonding SC/stab, indirect cooling, enthalpy stabilisation*).
- Conductor:

NbTi/Cu Rutherford cable cooled at 4.2K, Reinforced aluminium stabilized superconductor.

• Winding:

External aluminium mandrel (50mm-thick), Modular coil (3 modules),

4 layers of conductor, inner winding technique, Insulation with fiberglass reinforced epoxy, Vacuum impregnated,

Indirectly cooled in thermosiphon mode, Module/layer electrical joints in low field region.

The superconducting solenoid – conceptual design

Field at IP	4 T
Inductance	11.9 H
Nominal current	20 kA
Stored energy	2.4 GJ
Average Energy density	13 kJ/kg
Number of layers	4
NbTi/Cu Rutherford cable	32 strands
Conductor cross section	82.85 x 20.31 mm
Coil inner radius (length)	3.65 (7.8) m

- Inductance and
- number of layers

kept at "acceptable levels"

Large conductor cross section

- Weight of conductor in winding = 167 tons (total length about 37 km)
- Weight of cold mass = **201 tons**
- Coil module (x3): 68 tons, L = 2.6m, $\emptyset_{external}$ = 8.2m

 \rightarrow at the limit of road transport capacities to CERN.

The superconducting solenoid – conceptual design

Large magnetic forces on the coil:

- 64 bar inner pressure,
- axial compression 21 000 tons.

With 4 layers in the winding, the conductor reinforcement is necessary

\rightarrow 3 baseline options:

a/ CMS-like:

High purity aluminium stabilizer

+ Electron beam welded aluminum alloy bars

b/ ATLAS-CS like:

High strength stabilizer

+ Cold working for improved mechanical performances

c/ both a and b:

High strength stabilizer + cold work + EBW (Al alloy section may be smaller than in option a, keeping same total cross section)

The superconducting solenoid – conceptual design

100

20000

The superconducting solenoid – conceptual design

Quench protection

Figures correspond to the high strength stabilizer option b

The end coils

- limit the magnetic field in the machine detector interface region,
- limit the stray field outside the detector,
- contributes to reduce the amount of iron in the yoke (~ 2 000 tons).

Conceptual design is considering as baseline the use of **resistive coils** (2MW per side) with water cooling.

Potential application for more sustainable solution such as High Temperature Superconductor.

The radial Br and the longitudinal Bz magnetic along the beam axis.

Longitudinal fieldmap (half of the coil is represented)

The superconducting solenoid

Plans for manufacturing and assembly of the magnet: Use of proven (and available!!!) technologies.

The baseline is the CMS magnet and conductor manufacturing.

Manufacturing outside CERN in industrial facilities of:

- 1. Conductor and components: strands, Rutherford cable, co-extrusion, reinforcement.
- 2. Module : mandrel + flanges, winding, vacuum impregnation.

Transport of modules to CERN.

Final assembly at CERN in a surface hall:

- 1. Coil assembled vertically stacking modules, with thermal shields, and final connections,
- 2. Swivelled horizontal for introduction and fixation into external vactank.

Credit: CMS, CERN

- CLIC an excellent option for a future e⁺e⁻ collider: 380 GeV, upgrade stages of 1.5 TeV and 3 TeV
- great potential for precision physics beyond HL-LHC (studied in detail, using full simulation incl. backgrounds):
 < 1% accuracies on Higgs couplings already at the 1st stage
- New Physics direct discovery in the TeV range, or indirect observation: 1% precision on top quark couplings to Z and γ; Higgs self-coupling measured to 10% precision

Konrad Elsener, SPS Annual Meeting, 2018

- A large 4-T detector superconducting magnet,
- A sturdy design based on proven technologies with Al-stabilized NbTi/Cu SC,
- Potential application for latest developed technologies on powering components with High Temperature Superconductor (end coils, current leads, superconducting links, power converter).

Spare slides

Superconducting Detector Magnet Workshop

CLIC accelerating structure – normal cond. technology

RF frequency 12 GHz; 244 ns pulses @ 50 Hz

	380 GeV	3TeV
Length [cm]	27	24
Gradient [MV/m]	72	100
Number of structures	20600	143000

CLIC detector

- CMS reinforcement alloy: AA6082 chosen.
- Temper T6 obtained after coil curing cycle. Allowed winding with a not too stiff conductor.

A. Hervé, CLIC09 workshop

