Complete one-loop contributions to the muon decay of $U(1)_z$ extensions of the standard model

Zoltán Péli^a

^a Institute for Theoretical Physics, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

The theoretical prediction to the W-boson mass M_W is sensitive to physics beyond the standard model (BSM). Currently, there is a 2σ discrepancy between the standard model (SM) theoretical prediction and the measured value of M_W , obtained from the LEP 2 [1], Tevatron [2] and LHC [3] experiments. Considering also the recent measurement of M_W with the CDF II detector [4], the discrepancy is severely aggravated and the precise determination of theoretical BSM corrections is necessary. The parameter Δr [5], defined in the standard model (SM) as

$$M_W^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2} \right) = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_F} [1 + \Delta r], \qquad (1)$$

collects the radiative corrections to the muon decay process. It can be used to predict the mass M_W of the W-boson as a function of fiducial input parameters such as M_Z , α and G_F . We perform the one-loop renormalization of particle physics models with gauge sectors extended by an extra U(1)_z symmetry in the on-shell renormalization scheme in order to compute the radiative corrections to the muon decay process. As a result we obtain – to the best of our knowledge for the first time in the literature a finite, gauge invariant prediction Δr_z . We generalize our findings to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme and compare our predictions for M_W in U(1)_z extensions to predictions of automated programs, such as FlexibleSUSY [6]. In the latter case corrections to the parameter

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{M_W}{M_Z \hat{c}_W} \tag{2}$$

are neglected, where the hat denotes $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized quantities and c_{W} is the cosine of the weak mixing angle. We also explore the parameter space of a U(1)_z extension, the superweak extension of the SM [7] in order to find out whether the neglected terms in $\hat{\rho}$ become relevant.

- [3] M. Aaboud *et al.* [ATLAS], Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) no.2, 110
 erratum: Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) no.11, 898;
 R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], JHEP 01 (2022), 036
- [4] T. Aaltonen et al. [CDF], Science 376 (2022) no.6589, 170-176
- [5] First computed by Sirlin: A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980), 971-981
- [6] P. Athron et al., Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) no.9, 095023
- [7] Z. Trócsányi, Symmetry 12 (2020) no.1, 107

S. Schael *et al.* (ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, LEP Electroweak), Phys. Rept. **532** (2013), 119-244

^[2] T. A. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF, DØ), Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) no.5, 052018; Technical Report TEVEWWG/WZ 2010/01, FERMILAB, Batavia (2010),