Towards an Atomic Mass Measurement of the ³He Nucleus with Partsper-trillion Precision

<u>Olesia Bezrodnova</u>^a, Sangeetha Sasidharan^{a,b}, Sascha Rau^a, Wolfgang Quint^b, Sven Sturm^a, Klaus Blaum^a ^a Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany ^b GSI Helmholtzzentrum, Darmstadt, Germany

The most precise mass measurements of light nuclei today are performed using Penning traps. Together, these measurements provide a network of essential parameters for fundamental physics. For example, the mass difference of T and ³He is used as a consistency check for the model of systematics in the KATRIN experiment, which studies the endpoint of the tritium β -decay spectrum to set a limit on the \bar{v}_e mass [1].

Recently performed high-precision mass measurements of the lightest nuclei, including ³He, have revealed considerable inconsistencies between tabulated values reported by different world-leading experiments. This discrepancy is known as the "light ion mass puzzle". In order to provide an independent cross-check, the multi-Penning-trap mass spectrometer LIONTRAP has obtained the masses of the proton [3], the deuteron and the HD⁺ molecular ion [4]. These measurements are in excellent agreement with the results of the Florida State University [5] [6], as well as HD⁺ spectroscopy. However, there is a disagreement with the values reported by the University of Washington group [7] [8].

Present activities of the experiment are directed at the atomic mass measurement of the ³He nucleus with a relative uncertainty lower than 10 parts-per-trillion. This contribution presents the status of the ongoing measurement campaign.

- [1] M. Aker et al., Nat. Phys. 18, 160-166 (2022)
- [2] S. Hamzeloui et al., Phys. Rev. A 96, 060501(R) (2017)
- [3] F. Heiße et al., Phys. Rev. A 100, 022518 (2019)
- [4] S. Rau et al., Nature 585, 43-47 (2020)
- [5] D. J. Fink and E. G. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 013001 (2020)
- [6] D. J. Fink and E. G. Myers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 243001 (2021)
- [7] R.S. Van Dyck Jr. et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 457, 101 (1999)
- [8] S. L. Zafonte et al., Metrologia 52, 280 (2015)