- Quantifying the impact of neutrinos on cosmological observables

- Simulating neutrinos beyond linear theory: neutrinos and LSS

- Review of (tightest) constraints on neutrino masses

- Sterile neutrinos and the coldness of cold dark matter at small scales
**EVOLUTION of COSMOLOGICAL LSS – I: methods**

**Linear theory** -- use popular codes like CAMB [http://camb.info/](http://camb.info/) or CLASS [http://class-code.net](http://class-code.net)

**Non-linear evolution** -- approximations (e.g. Lognormal modelling /Peacock & Dodds, PT etc.) or N-body/hydrodynamic/adaptive mesh refinement parallel codes

Early simulations: **direct summation** method for the gravitational N-body problem (still useful for stellar systems) Holmberg 1941, Aarseth 1979, Peebles, White etc.

Improvement made in the 90s to compute large scale force via Fourier/mesh techniques. **Tree algorithms** arrange particles in groups and compute forces by summing over multipole expansions.

These two have been combined into **Tree+PM** codes, that could include hydrodynamic processes using for example the smoothed particle hydrodynamics (**SPH**, Lucy 1977).

Hydrodynamic processes are important at small scales
EVOLUTION of LSS –II : dynamics in the linear regime

Effects in terms of matter clustering, Hubble constant, Energy density

(see Lesgourgues & Pastor 2006)

Different evolution in terms of dynamics and geometry as compared to massless neutrino universes
**SIMULATION of LSS – I: basic equations**

**DM**

Collisionless Boltzmann Equation

\[
\frac{df}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0
\]

Poisson equation

\[
\nabla^2 \Phi(r, t) = 4\pi G \int f(r, v, t) \, dv
\]

N-body problem: follow the Newton’s equation of motions for a large number of particles under their own self-gravity

**GAS**

Continuity

\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot v = 0
\]

Energy

\[
\frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{P}{\rho} \nabla \cdot v - \frac{\Lambda(u, \rho)}{\rho}
\]

Gas eq.of state

\[
P = (\gamma - 1)\rho u
\]

Euler

\[
\frac{dv}{dt} = -\frac{\nabla P}{\rho} - \nabla \Phi.
\]
SIMULATION of LSS – III: historical background

1980: Bond et al. 1980 – linear theory (also Russian school with Zeldovich)
1983: Bond et al. – Evolution of Boltzmann-Einstein equations. **Clustering properties of galaxies not reproduced if the universe is dominated by neutrinos** (White et al. 1983) – numerical experiment

1992: Davis et al. HDM or CHDM (MDM) models P3M codes with neutrino particles placed as the dark matter ones (same CDM spectrum + velocities): 32^3 particles

1993: Klypin et al. 2 x 128^3 particles at z_IC=14 with the right power spectrum

1994: Ma & Bertschinger approximate linear scheme evolved at z=13 and after that pure N-body

![HDM, Observed Galaxy Distribution, CDM](image)
**SIMULATION of LSS – IV: the distribution of matter**

Pure HDM not allowed. However CHDM is still viable and impacts on the cosmic web

---

**Comparison: COBE Compatible Bias**

- **CHDM1**
  - **FILAMENTS**
  - **b = 1.5**
  - **d**

- **CDM1**
  - **CLUMPS**
  - **a**, **c**, **c**

Brodbeck et al. 98
N-body simulations – I: particles

Simulation of neutrinos as an independent set of particles that interact gravitationally
N-body simulations – II: neutrino velocities do matter!

Draw velocity from Fermi-Dirac distribution

Brandbyge et al 08
N-body simulations – III: effects in terms of non-linear power

Brandbyge et al 08
N-body simulations – IV: mesh method

Computing the neutrino gravitational potential on the PM grid and summing up its contribution to the total matter gravitational potential – this is much faster!

COMPARISON GRID VS PARTICLES

Brandbyge et al 08b
N-body simulations – V: a hybrid approach

After neutrino decoupling CBE

\[ f = f_0 + \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial T} \delta T = f_0(1 + \Psi) \]

\[ f_0(q) = \frac{1}{e^{q/T} + 1} \]

\[ \frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} + \frac{dx^i}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} + \frac{dq}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} + \frac{dn_i}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial n_i} = 0 \]

\[ \delta \rho_v(k) = 4\pi a^4 \int q^2 dq \epsilon f_0 \Psi_0 \]

\[ \epsilon = (q^2 + a^2 m^2)^{1/2} \]

\[ \Psi_0 = -\frac{qk}{3\epsilon} \Psi_1 - \frac{qk}{d \ln f_0}{d \ln q}, \]

\[ \Psi_1 = \frac{qk}{\epsilon} \left( \Psi_0 - \frac{2}{5} \Psi_2 \right) - \frac{ek}{q} \psi \frac{d \ln f_0}{d \ln q}, \]

\[ \Psi_l = \frac{qk}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{l}{2l-1} \Psi_{l-1} - \frac{l+1}{2l+3} \Psi_{l+1} \right), \quad l \geq 2 \]
**PARTICLES**: accurate non-linear sampling but prone to shot-noise errors

**GRID**: fast and accurate but no phase mixing (i.e. non-linear regime suppression maybe it is less than it should be)

**HYBRID**: ideal for non-linear objects but memory demanding and prone to convergence issues
N-body + Hydro simulations – I: slices

TreeSPH code Gadget-III follows DM, neutrinos, gas and star particles in a cosmological volume

Viel, Haehnelt & Springel 2010, JCAP, 06, 15
Hydro simulations – II: redshift/scale dependence of non-linear power

Full hydro simulations: gas physics does impact at the <10 % level at scales $k < 10 \, h/\text{Mpc}$

Viel, Haehnelt & Springel 2010, JCAP, 06 ,15
Hydro simulations – III: halo mass functions

Marulli, Carbone, MV, Moscardini e Cimatti 2011 arxiv: 1103.0278
Hydro simulations – IV: matter and halo clustering

Marulli, Carbone, MV, Moscardini e Cimatti 2011 arxiv: 1103.0278
N-body simulations – V: halo density profile

Brandbyge, Hannestad Haugbolle, Wong 2010
Hydro simulations – VI: redshift space distortions

\[ \xi(s_{\perp}, s_{\parallel}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv f(v) \xi(s_{\perp}, s_{\parallel} - v/H(z)/a(z)) \]

\[ f_{\exp}(v) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{12} \sqrt{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2} |v|}{\sigma_{12}} \right) \]

\[ P(k) = (1 + \beta \mu^2)^2 P_{\text{lin}}(k) \]

Marulli, Carbone, MV, Moscardini e Cimatti 2011 arxiv: 1103.0278
Hydro simulations – VII: the distribution of high-z voids

Hydro simulations – VIII: very non-linear regime

Bird, MV, Haehnelt 11 (in prep.)
IGM

Ordinary baryonic matter that fills the space between galaxies
The interpretation: full grid of sims - I

SDSS power analysed by forward modelling motivated by the huge amount of data with small statistical errors

CMB: Spergel et al. (05)  Galaxy P(k): Sanchez & Cole (07)

Cosmological parameters + e.g. bias
Summary (highlights) of results from the high-res and low-res data

Why Lyman-α?
- Small scales
- High redshift
- Most of the baryonic mass is in this form
- Quasars sample 75% of the age of the universe

1. Tightest constraints to date on neutrino masses and running of the spectral index

    Seljak, Slosar, McDonald JCAP (2006) 10 014

2. Tightest constraints to date on the coldness of cold dark matter

Active neutrinos – I: the effect


\[ k_{nr} \approx 0.018 \Omega_m^{1/2} \left( \frac{m}{1 \text{ eV}} \right)^{1/2} h \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \]

\[ \Sigma m_\nu = 0.138 \text{ eV} \]

\[ \Sigma m_\nu = 1.38 \text{ eV} \]

\[ v_{th} \equiv \frac{\langle p \rangle}{m} \approx \frac{3T_V}{m} = \frac{3T_V^0}{m} \left( \frac{a_0}{a} \right) \approx 150(1 + z) \left( \frac{1 \text{ eV}}{m} \right) \text{ km s}^{-1} \]

\[ k_{FS}(t) = \left( \frac{4\pi G \bar{p}(t)a^2(t)}{v_{th}(t)^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \lambda_{FS}(t) = 2\pi \frac{a(t)}{k_{FS}(t)} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{2v_{th}(t)}{3H(t)}} \]
Active neutrinos – II: constraints

Seljak, Slosar, McDonald, 2006, JCAP, 0610, 014

\[ \Sigma m_\nu (eV) < 0.17 \text{ (95\% C.L.)} \]

\[ m_\nu < 0.19 eV \text{ (Fogli et al. 08)} \]

\[ r < 0.22 \text{ (95\% C.L.)} \]

\[ \text{running} = -0.015 \pm 0.012 \]

\[ \text{Neff} = 5.2 \text{ (3.2 without Ly}\alpha) \]

\[ \text{CMB + SN + SDSS gal + SDSS Ly-} \]

Goobar et al. 06 get upper limits 2‐3 ′mes larger…… for forecas’ see GraAon, Lewis, Efstathiou 2007

Tight constraints because data are marginally compa’ble 2σ limit DISFAVoured BY LYMAN-\(\alpha\)
Warm Dark Matter and structure formation - I

\[ k_{FS} \sim 5 \frac{T_v}{T_x} (m_{x/1keV}) \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \]

See Bode, Ostriker, Turok 2001
Abazajian, Fuller, Patel 2001
Avila-Reese et al. 2001
Boyarsky et al. 2009
Colin et al. 2008
Wang & White 2007
Gao & Theuns 2007
Abazajian et al. 2007
Warm Dark Matter and non-linear power - II

Range of wavenumbers important for weak lensing tomography, IGM and small scale clustering of galaxies!

MV et al. 2011 (in prep.)
Warm Dark Matter and Lyman-α - III

Tightest constraints on mass of WDM particles to date:

$m_{\text{WDM}} > 4 \text{ keV}$ (early decoupled thermal relics)

$m_{\text{sterile}} > 28 \text{ keV}$ (standard scenario)

Note that this limit becomes much weaker ($\sim 2 \text{ keV}$ in RP mechanisms or mixed cold+warm scenarios)

Boyarsky, Lesgourgues. Ruchayskiy, MV, 2009, PRL, 102,201304
Boyarsky, Lesgourgues, Ruchayskiy, MV, 2009, JCAP, 05, 012
MV, Lesgourgues, Haehnelt, Matarrese, Riotto, PRD, 2005, 71, 063534 & PRL, 2006, 97, 071301
CONCLUSIONS

- **Neutrinos** do impact on the LSS at a level which is very much constrained by present data sets. The effect is small and **systematic effects** should be addressed at an unprecedented level of precision. Modelling the power spectrum at the 1 % level at small scales is difficult: **relevant physical processes and numerics** should be modelled and under control.

- Important role of the **IGM**, which is currently providing the tightest constraints on the mass (0.17 eV – 2σ upper limit); **weak lensing** and **galaxy redshift surveys** are likely to provide interesting results.

- **Coldness of cold dark matter** at small scales is a fundamental observable since possible deviations from the standard model can be measured or a candidate can show up. At present the constraints on the **sterile neutrinos** are tight (especially thanks to IGM data – but see also constraints from dwarf galaxies).
BACKUP SLIDES
Little room for warm dark matter...... at least in the standard DW scenario ...
the cosmic web is likely to be quite “cold”
The interpretation: flux derivatives

Analysis of SDSS flux power

The flux power spectrum is a smooth function of $k$ and $z$

Flux power

$$P_F (k, z; p) = P_F (k, z; p^0) + \sum_{i=1,N} \left. \frac{\partial P_F (k, z; p_i)}{\partial p_i} \right|_{p = p^0} (p_i - p_i^0)$$

$p$: astrophysical and cosmological parameters

but even resolution and/or box size effects if you want to save CPU time
Lyman-α and resonantly produced sterile neutrinos -VI

Boyarsky, Lesgourgues. Ruchayski, Viel, 2009, PRL, 102, 201304
Lyman-\(\alpha\) and sterile neutrinos - V

Boyarsky, Lesgourgues, Ruchayskiy, Viel, 2009, JCAP, 05, 012– REVIEW!
Note that the equation above is not exact but it is a good approximation (e.g. Komatsu et al 11)
EVOLUTION of LSS - IV: individual neutrino masses do matter

Lesgourgues & Pastor 2006

GEOMETRY

DYNAMICS
SIMULATION of LSS – II: basic equations for DM

Tree method – expansion of the gravitational potential in multipoles

\[ \Phi(r) = G \int_{V'} \frac{\rho(r')dV'}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}|} \approx \frac{1}{r} + \sum_i \frac{\partial (1/r)}{\partial r_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 (1/r)}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k r_i' r_j' r_k' \frac{\partial^3 (1/r)}{\partial r_i \partial r_j \partial r_k} \]

\[ \Phi(r) = \left\{ M(1/r) - \mathbf{P} \cdot \nabla (1/r) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q} : \nabla \nabla (1/r) - \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{S} : \nabla \nabla \nabla (1/r) + \cdots \right\} \]

P dipole moment, Q tensor quadropole moment, S usually not considered
80% of the baryons at $z=3$ are in the Lyman-$\alpha$ forest. Baryons can be used as a tracer of the dark matter density field $\delta_{\text{IGM}} \approx \delta_{\text{DM}}$ at scales larger than the Jeans length $\sim 1 \text{ com Mpc}$. The flux is given by $\text{flux} = \exp(-\tau) \approx \exp(-\delta_{\text{IGM}}^{1.6} T^{-0.7})$. 

Meiksin's review (2007)
The data sets

SDSS vs UVES

SDSS
3035 LOW RESOLUTION LOW S/N

vs

LUQAS
30 HIGH RESOLUTION HIGH S/N
Hydro simulations – VI: redshift/scale dependence of flux power

Effect on flux power observables is smaller than matter power

Viel, Haehnelt & Springel 2010, JCAP, 06 ,15
GOAL: the primordial dark matter power spectrum from the observed flux spectrum (filaments)

CMB physics $z = 1100$

Lya physics $z < 6$

dynamics +
dermodynamics

Relation: $P_{\text{FLUX}}(k) - P_{\text{MATTER}}(k)$

CMB + Lyman $\alpha$

Long lever arm

Constrain spectral index and shape

Tegmark & Zaldarriaga 2002

Temperature, metals, noise
Lyman-α and Warm Dark Matter - II

\[ P(k) = A k^n T^2(k) \]

\[ \left[ P(k)_{\text{WDM}}/P(k)_{\text{CDM}} \right]^{1/2} \]

\[ T_x = 10.75 \]

\[ T_{\nu} = g(T_D)^{1/3} \]

Light gravitino contributing to a fraction of dark matter

MV, Lesgourgues, Haehnelt, Matarrese, Riotto, PRD, 2005, 71, 063534
Lyman-α and Warm Dark Matter - III

$m_{\text{WDM}} > 550 \text{ eV thermal}$
$> 2\text{ keV sterile neutrino}$
$< 16 \text{ eV gravitino}$

Viel et al. (2005) from high-res

$$\Lambda_{\text{susy}} \approx \left( \sqrt{3} m_{3/2} M_p \right)^{1/2} \lesssim 260 \text{ TeV}$$

Seljak, Makarov, McDonald, Trac, PhysRevLett, 2006, 97, 191303
MV, Lesgourgues, Haehnelt, Matarrese, Riotto, PhysRevLett, 2006, 97, 071301