

Do the **Small** numbers in $VCKM^1$
arise from **New Physics?**
G. C. Branco

CFTP/IST, Un. Lisboa, Portugal
Corfu Workshop on the Standard Model and Beyond
2022

Work done in recent collaboration with
J. Bastos and J. I. Silva Marcos
and older collaboration with
F. Botella, M. N. Rebelo et al

Organization of the talk

- Identification of the **small numbers in VCKM**
- Conjecture: The small numbers in VCKM arise from **New Physics**
- Motivation for vector-like quarks (VLQs) and simple realization of the Conjecture with VLQs
- Phenomenological consequences
- Conclusions

Identification of the Small numbers $\lfloor 3$
in **VCKM**:

$$|V_{ub}| \approx 3.6 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$|\text{Im } Q| \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$$

$Q \rightarrow$ Rephasing invariant quartet of VCKM

In the SM, $|\text{Im } Q|$ has the same value for all quartets and gives the strength of CP violation in the SM

Details about Rephasing invariant quantities 4

Example:

$$Q = V_{us} V_{cb} V_{cs}^* V_{ub}^*$$

$$\text{Im } Q \approx \lambda^6 \sin(\arg Q)$$

$|\text{Im } Q|$ has the same value for ^{all} quartets and measures the **strength of CP violation in the SM**. One can have rephasing invariants of higher order in V_{ij} , but they can be written in terms of Q s and moduli

A surprising result: In the 3×3 ⁵
up corner of a V^{CKM} matrix of arbitrary size one has:

$9 - 5 = 4$ rephasing invariant phases

The following phase convention may be chosen, in general

$$\arg V^{3 \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_k & \delta \\ \pi & 0 & 0 \\ -\beta & \pi + \beta_s & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The phases $\delta, \beta, \beta_S, \beta_K$ are arguments of \mathbb{L}^6 rephasing invariant quartets:

$$\delta = \arg(-V_{ud} V_{cb} V_{ub}^* V_{cd}^*)$$

$$\beta = \arg(-V_{cd} V_{tb} V_{cb}^* V_{td}^*)$$

$$\beta_S = \arg(-V_{cb} V_{ts} V_{cs}^* V_{tb}^*)$$

$$\beta_K = \arg(-V_{us} V_{cd} V_{ud}^* V_{cs}^*)$$

Sometimes one also introduces $\alpha = \arg(-V_{td} V_{ub} V_{ud}^* V_{tb}^*)$ which is unnecessary, because

$$\alpha \equiv \pi - \beta - \delta$$

By definition!!!

Within the SM, 3×3 unitarity implies some exact relations among rephasing invariant quantities:

7

$$\frac{|V_{ub}|}{|V_{td}|} = \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin \gamma} \frac{|V_{tb}|}{|V_{ud}|}$$

$$\sin \beta_S = \frac{|V_{td}| |V_{cd}|}{|V_{ts}| |V_{cs}|} \sin \beta = O(\lambda^2)$$

$$\sin \beta_K = \frac{|V_{ub}|}{V_{us}} \frac{|V_{cb}|}{|V_{cs}|} \sin \gamma = O(\lambda^4)$$

Conjecture : The small numbers 18
in V_{CKM} arise from **New Physics**

The conjecture implies that within
the SM,

$$|V_{ub}| = 0$$

$$\text{Im } Q = 0$$

A simple realization of the Conjecture
can be constructed within SM + **VLQs**

A crucial question: 19

What can VLQs do for you?

(i) They provide a simple alternative solution to the Strong CP problem without axions. Barr and Nelson
Bento, G.C.B and Parada

(ii) They provide the simplest extension of the SM with Spontaneous CP Violation in a model consistent with experiment.

Requirements to have a viable model of Spontaneous CP Violation: 10

- Lagrangian should be CP invariant but CP invariance should be broken by the vacuum.

One has to be careful. Often a "geometrical" vacuum phase does not violate CP

- The vacuum phase should be able to generate a complex CKM matrix

Experimentally $\delta \neq 0, \pi$

(iii) Provide a simple framework where there are

(New Physics (NP) contributions to $B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing, $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing and/or $\bar{D}^0 - D^0$ mixing; Also new contributions to

$$t \rightarrow c Z \mu$$


may receive tree-level contributions in models with up-type VLQs

IV VLQs may populate the desert (12)
between v and some higher scale ($M_{\text{GUT}}?$)
without worsening the hierarchy problem

To my knowledge, this was first emphasized in a paper by Pierre Ramond.

"Fermions in the Desert"

(talk given at Erice)

Appears in *Spirals*

V VLQs may play an **important rôle** in providing an explanation for the **VCKM** unitarity problem.

$$|V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 < 1$$

at the level of **2,3** standard deviation.

See J. T. Penedo, Pedro Pereira, M. N. Rebelo
published in JHEP **GCB**

See also nice work by Belfatto and
Borzghiani

Question : Should we take this 14
"deviation of unitarity" seriously?

My approach was : "When you are not sure, ask a friend who is a specialist.

In this case we asked Bill Marciano

His answer : Yes, it should be taken seriously !!

The generation of $|V_{ub}|$ and $\text{Im } Q$ 15 from New Physics

We propose that $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{CKM}}$ is generated from three different contributions:

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{CKM}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{up}} \begin{bmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13} e^{i\delta} \\ 0 & i\delta & 0 \\ -s_{13} e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{bmatrix}^{\leftarrow} \times \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\text{down}}$$

In order to obtain the proposed V^{CKM} structure, we assume that there is a weak-basis where M_d, M_u have the following structure:

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}^d & m_{12}^d & 0 \\ m_{21}^d & m_{22}^d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{bmatrix}; \quad M_u = \begin{bmatrix} m^u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{22}^u & m_{23}^u \\ 0 & m_{32}^u & m_{33}^u \end{bmatrix}$$

It can be shown that one can obtain these structures through the introduction of a \mathbb{Z}_4 symmetry at the Lagrangian level

Without **New Physics** one has:

$$V^{CKM} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12}c_{23} & c_{23}c_{12} + s_{23} & s_{23} \\ -s_{23}s_{12} & -s_{23}c_{12} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

At this level, one has $|V_{31}| = |V_{12}V_{23}|$; $V_{13} = 0$
 Our conjecture offers an explanation
 why $|V_{31}| > |V_{13}|$!!!

Introduce an up-type **VLQ** and assume
the 4×4 up-type quark mass matrix:

$$M_u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & m_{14} \\ 0 & m_{22} & m_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{32} e^{i\alpha} & m_{33} & 0 \\ m_{41} & 0 & m_{43} & M \end{bmatrix}$$

Then one can generate

$$\left| \left(V_{CKM} \right)_{41} \right|_{13} \neq 0 \quad \left| \text{Im} Q \right|_{44} \neq 0$$

Numerical Example

19

Mass matrices in GeV at M_e scale

$$M_d = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0029 & -1.35 \times 10^{-2} & 0 \\ 6.73 \times 10^4 & 0.058 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$m_d = 0.003 ; m_s = 0.06 ; m_b = 2.9$$

$$M_u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 53.73 \\ 0 & 0.59 & -6.91 & 1.25 e^{-0.285i} \\ 0 & -0.024 & 172.8 & 0 \\ 0.06 & 0 & 14.88 e^{-1.99i} & 1250 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$m_u = 0.02 \quad m_c = 0.60 \quad m_t = 173 \quad m_T = 1251$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{u} & \bar{c} & \bar{t} & \bar{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \\ V_{\tau d} & V_{\tau s} & V_{\tau b} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

The CKM matrix is the 4×3 left submatrix of the following 4×4 unitary matrix

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9735 & 0.2244 & 0.0637 & 0.0423 \\ 0.224 & 0.9736 & 0.0399 & 0.00099 \\ 0.00834 & 0.0393 & 0.999 & 0.00151 \\ 0.04163 & 0.0105 & 0.001674 & 0.999 \end{pmatrix}$$

These mass matrices lead to:

21

$$\delta \approx 68^\circ$$

$$\sin 2\beta \approx 0.746$$

$$\beta_3 \approx 0.02$$

$$J^{CP} \equiv |\text{Im} Q| \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$$

Conclusions

18

- VLQs are one of the simplest extensions of the SM, with a large number of phenomenological implications
- VLQs are "cousins" of ν_R which provide through *seesaw* the most plausible explanation of the smallness of *neutrino masses*.
- The effects of VLQs may have been seen already in deviations of unitarity in the first line of V_{CKM} .

- Weak point: No firm prediction for the scale of VLQs. ¹⁹

This is a universal weak point in all (so far) proposed New Physics proposals... !!

The SM was an notable exception.

Before gauge interactions the suggestion

was IVB with $\approx 2 \text{ GeV}$!

↓
intermediate vector boson...