Physics at the LHC
- From the Standard Model to Searches for New Physics-

Outline of the lectures:

1. Introduction
2. Test of the Standard Model
   (QCD, Electroweak parameters)
3. Search for the Higgs Boson
4. Search for Supersymmetry
5. Search for Other New Phenomena
   (New particles, Extra dimensions, …)

Disclaimer: I will try to highlight important first physics measurements and results on searches for new physics. The coverage is not complete, i.e. not all results available will be presented; Results from both general purpose experiments, ATLAS and CMS, are shown, but there might still be a bias towards the experiment I am working on. This bias is not linked to the scientific quality of the results.

Key Questions of Particle Physics

1. **Mass:** What is the origin of mass?
   - How is the electroweak symmetry broken?
   - Does the Higgs boson exist?

2. **Unification:** What is the underlying fundamental theory?
   - Can the interactions be unified at larger energy?
   - How can gravity be incorporated?
   - Is our world supersymmetric?
   - What is the Dark Matter in the universe made of?
   - ….

3. **Flavour:** or the generation problem
   - Why are there three families of matter?
   - Neutrino masses and mixing?
   - What is the origin of CP violation?
The role of the LHC

1. Explore the TeV mass scale
   - What is the origin of the electroweak symmetry breaking? Does the Higgs boson exist?
   - The search for “low energy” supersymmetry
     Can a link between SUSY and dark matter be established?
   - Other scenarios beyond the Standard Model
     Look for the “expected”, but we need to be open for surprises
     ➔ perform as many searches (inclusive, exclusive…) for as many final states as possible

2. Precise tests of the Standard Model
   - There is much sensitivity to physics beyond the Standard Model in the precision area
   - Many Standard Model measurements can be used to test and to tune the detector performance

Predictions for future precision (including LHC), compared to the Standard Model and its Minimal Supersymmetric Extension (MSSM)

Ultimate test of the Standard Model: compare direct prediction of Higgs mass with direct observation
Begin of a new era in particle physics

Beam energy: 3.5 TeV (→ 7 TeV)
SC Dipoles: 1232, 15 m, 8.33T
Stored Energy: 362 MJ/Beam
Bunch spacing: 25 ns
Particles/Bunch: 1.15 \times 10^{11}
Luminosity: \(10^{32} - 10^{34}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)
Int. luminosity: 1 - 100 fb\(^{-1}\) / year

The ATLAS experiment

- Solenoidal magnetic field (2T) in the central region (momentum measurement)
- High resolution silicon detectors:
  - 6 Mio. channels (80 µm x 12 cm)
  - 100 Mio. channels (50 µm x 400 µm)
  - Space resolution: \(\sim 15\) µm
- Energy measurement down to 1º to the beam line
- Independent muon spectrometer (supercond. toroid system)

Diameter: 25 m
Barrel toroid length: 26 m
End-cap end-wall chamber span: 46 m
Overall weight: 7000 Tons

CMS

- Superconducting coil, 4 Tesla
- ECAL: 76k scintillating PbWO\(_4\) crystals
- HCAL: Plastic scintillator/brass sandwich
- Tracker:
  - Pixels, Silicon Microstrips
  - 210 m\(^2\) of silicon sensors
  - 9.6M channels
- Muon Barrels:
  - Drift Tube Chambers (DT)
  - Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC)

Total weight: 12500 t
Overall diameter: 15 m
Overall length: 21.6 m

The Large Hadron Collider

... became a reality in 2008 after \(-15\) years of hard work

Beam energy: 3.5 TeV (→ 7 TeV)
SC Dipoles: 1232, 15 m, 8.33T
Stored Energy: 362 MJ/Beam
Bunch spacing: 25 ns
Particles/Bunch: 1.15 \times 10^{11}
Luminosity: \(10^{32} - 10^{34}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)
Int. luminosity: 1 - 100 fb\(^{-1}\) / year

CMS

- Superconducting coil, 4 Tesla
- ECAL: 76k scintillating PbWO\(_4\) crystals
- HCAL: Plastic scintillator/brass sandwich
- Tracker:
  - Pixels, Silicon Microstrips
  - 210 m\(^2\) of silicon sensors
  - 9.6M channels
- Muon Barrels:
  - Drift Tube Chambers (DT)
  - Resistive Plate Chambers (RPC)

Total weight: 12500 t
Overall diameter: 15 m
Overall length: 21.6 m
Scattering processes at a hadron collider

Dominant hard scattering processes: $qq$, $qg$ and $gg$ "scattering"

Leading order

...some NLO contributions

Calculation of cross sections

$$\sigma = \sum_{a,b} \int \frac{d x_a}{x_a} \frac{d x_b}{x_b} f_a(x_a, Q^2) f_b(x_b, Q^2) \hat{\sigma}_{ab}(x_a, x_b, \alpha_s)$$

Sum over initial partonic states $a, b$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ab} \equiv \text{hard scattering cross section}$$

$$f_a(x, Q^2) \equiv \text{parton density function}$$

... + higher order QCD corrections (perturbation theory)

meanwhile available for many signal and background processes!

which for some processes turn out to be large (e.g. Higgs production via gg fusion)

usually introduced as K-factors: $K_{[n]} = \frac{\sigma_{[n]}}{\sigma_{[LO]}}$

a few examples:

Drell-Yan production of W/Z: $K_{[NLO]} \sim 1.2$

Higgs production via gg fusion: $K_{[NLO]} \sim 1.8$

Results from HERA on the proton structure

• Large data sets and combination of the two HERA experiments (H1 and ZEUS) improve the precision on the parton distribution functions

• Very important to reduce cross section uncertainties at hadron colliders; but still not good enough .... (~ 10% errors for LHC cross sections)

Example: Drell-Yan production of W/Z bosons
Example: Drell-Yan production of W/Z bosons (cont.)

Rapidity distributions for Z and W⁺ production at LO, NLO, and NNLO

Note: LHC data will be used in the future to further constrain the parton densities

Luminosity

The rate of events produced for a given physics process is given by:

\[
N = L \cdot \sigma
\]

dimensions: \( \text{s}^{-1} = \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^2 \)

Luminosity depends on the machine:

important parameters: number of protons stored, beam focus at interaction region,.....

In order to achieve acceptable production rates for the interesting physics processes, the luminosity must be high!

\[
L = 2 \times 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}
\]

design value for Tevatron Run II

\[
L = 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}
\]

planned for the initial phase of the LHC

\[
L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}
\]

LHC design luminosity, very large!!

(1000 x larger than LEP-2, 50 x Tevatron Run II design)

One experimental year has \( \approx 10^7 \) s →

Integrated luminosity at the LHC: 10 fb⁻¹ per year for \( L = 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)

100 fb⁻¹ per year for \( L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)

Cross Sections and Production Rates

Rates for \( L = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \): (LHC)

- Inelastic proton-proton reactions: \( 10^9 / \text{s} \)
- bb pairs: \( 5 \times 10^6 / \text{s} \)
- tt pairs: \( 8 / \text{s} \)
- \( W \rightarrow e+\nu \): \( 150 / \text{s} \)
- \( Z \rightarrow e+e- \): \( 15 / \text{s} \)
- Higgs (150 GeV): \( 0.2 / \text{s} \)
- Gluino, Squarks (1 TeV): \( 0.03 / \text{s} \)

LHC is a factory for:

top-quarks, b-quarks, W, Z, ....Higgs, ....

Impact of reduced beam energy

- Ratio of parton luminosities for 7/14 and 10/14 TeV ...

LHC is a factory for:

top-quarks, b-quarks, W, Z, ....Higgs, ....
LHC re-start in Nov. 2009

Protons, $E_{\text{beam}} = 0.45$ TeV

The collisions in the ATLAS and CMS

Collected data in 2010:

~40 pb$^{-1}$ recorded
~36 pb$^{-1}$ used in analysis (good quality)

Both experiments have a very high data taking efficiency!

Well known resonances appeared "online"

Data taking in 2011

Original goal to collect 1 fb$^{-1}$ already surpassed in June 2011

- World record on instantaneous luminosity on 22. April 2011: $4.67 \times 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Tevatron record: $4.02 \times 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)
- 1 fb$^{-1}$ line passed in June 2011
- Collect per day as much luminosity as in 2010
- Data taking efficiency is high
- Pile-up is high (high intensity bunches)
After a huge effort from many people over a long time, we arrived at physics analysis.

- **Calibration**
- **Simulation**
- **Trigger**
- **DAQ**
- **Construction**
- **Magnets**
- **LHC**
- **R&D**
- **Commissioning**
- **Physics lectures**

**How well can b-quarks be tagged?**

- **b** quarks fragment into B hadrons (mesons and baryons)
- B mesons have a lifetime of ~1.5 ps
  - They fly in the detector about 2-3 mm before they decay
  - reconstruction of a secondary vertex possible
    - (requires high granularity silicon pixel and strip detectors close to the interaction point)
  - tracks from B meson decays have a large impact parameter w.r.t. the primary vertex

- **Construction**
  - **B** mesons have a lifetime of ~1.5 ps
  - They fly in the detector about 2-3 mm before they decay
  - reconstruction of a secondary vertex possible
    - (requires high granularity silicon pixel and strip detectors close to the interaction point)
  - tracks from B meson decays have a large impact parameter w.r.t. the primary vertex

**How well can the missing transverse energy be measured?**

- Distribution of $E_{miss}$ as measured in a data sample of $Z \rightarrow ee$ events. The expectation from Monte Carlo simulation is superimposed (histogram) and normalized to data, after each Monte Carlo sample is weighted with its corresponding cross-section. The ratio of the data distribution and the Monte Carlo distribution is shown below the plot.

**ATLAS results on b-tagging performance:**

- Distribution of the signed transverse impact parameter with respect to primary vertex for tracks of b-tagging quality associated to jets, for experimental data (solid black points) and for simulated data (filled histograms for the various flavors). The ratio data/simulation is shown at the bottom of the plot.

- Light-jet rejection as a function of the b-jet tagging efficiency for the early tagging algorithms (JetProb and SV0) and for the high performance algorithms, based on simulated top-antitop events.
Part 2: Test of the Standard Model

1. Test of Quantum Chromodynamics
   - Jet production
   - W/Z production
   - Production of Top quarks

2. Measurement of electroweak processes and parameters
   - W and top quark masses
   - Gauge boson pair production

It is important to establish the Standard Model reference processes:
- Test of the theory itself
  Deviations → evidence for Physics beyond the Standard Model
- Important to understand the detector performance
  → understand the so called “Fake” or “instrumental” background, in particular for leptons (e, μ) and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$
- Standard Model processes are important background processes for many searches for Physics Beyond the Standard Model
  “Physics Background”
  Typical selections require: leptons, jets, $E_T^{\text{miss}}$, ....
  → W/Z + jets and tt productions are omnipresent!

2.1 Jets from QCD production

- Rapidly probe perturbative QCD in a new energy regime (at a scale above the Tevatron, large cross sections)

- Experimental challenge:
  understanding of the detector
  - main focus on jet energy scale
  - resolution

- Theory challenge:
  - improved calculations…
  (renormalization and factorization scale uncertainties)
  - pdf uncertainties

A comparison between the Tevatron and the LHC (14 TeV)

High $p_T$ jet events at the LHC

Event display that shows the highest-mass central dijet event collected during 2010, where the two leading jets have an invariant mass of 3.1 TeV. The two leading jets have ($p_T, y$) of (1.3 TeV, -0.68) and (1.2 TeV, 0.64), respectively. The missing $E_T$ in the event is 46 GeV. From ATLAS-CONF-2011-047.
An event with a high jet multiplicity at the LHC

The highest jet multiplicity event collected, counting jets with $p_T$ greater than 60 GeV: this event has eight. 1st jet (ordered by $p_T$): $p_T = 290$ GeV, $\phi = -0.9$, $\theta = 2.7$; 2nd jet: $p_T = 220$ GeV, $\eta = 0.3$, $\phi = -0.7$ Missing $E_T = 21$ GeV.

Jet reconstruction and energy measurement

- A jet is NOT a well defined object (fragmentation, gluon radiation, detector response)
- The detector response is different for particles interacting electromagnetically ($e, \gamma$) and for hadrons
  → for comparisons with theory, one needs to correct back the calorimeter energies to the „particle level“ (particle jet)

Common ground between theory and experiment

- One needs an algorithm to define a jet and to measure its energy
  conflicting requirements between experiment and theory (exp. simple, e.g. cone algorithm, vs. theoretically sound (no infrared divergencies))
- Energy corrections for losses of fragmentation products outside jet definition and underlying event or pileup energy inside

Jet measurements

\[
\frac{d^2 \sigma}{dp_T d\eta} = \frac{N}{(\varepsilon \cdot L \cdot \Delta p_T \cdot \Delta\eta)}
\]

- In principle a simple counting experiment
- However, steeply falling $p_T$ spectra are sensitive to jet energy scale uncertainties and resolution effects (migration between bins) → corrections (unfolding) to be applied
- Jet energy scale uncertainty:
  ATLAS: ~2.5 - 4% (after one year)
  (similar for CMS, impressive achievements)

Test of QCD Jet production

An “early” result from the ATLAS experiment (17 nb$^{-1}$, June 2010)

Inclusive Jet spectrum as a function of $p_T$

- Very good agreement with NLO pQCD calculations over many orders of magnitude!
- Within the large theoretical and experimental uncertainties
Double differential cross sections, as function of $p_T$ and rapidity $y$:
(full 2010 data set)

- Data are well described by NLO pert. QCD calculations (NLOJet++)
- Experimental systematic uncertainty is dominated by jet energy scale uncertainty
- Theoretical uncertainties: renormalization/ factorization scale, pdfs, $\alpha_s$, ..., uncertainties from non-perturbative effects

Invariant di-jet mass spectra, ratio data/theory:

Important for:
- Test of QCD
- Search for new resonances decaying into two jets (see later)
In addition to QCD test: Sensitivity to New Physics

- Di-jet mass spectrum provides large sensitivity to new physics
e.g. Resonances decaying into qq, excited quarks q*, ....
- Search for resonant structures in the di-jet invariant mass spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDF (Tevatron), L = 1.13 fb⁻¹:</th>
<th>0.26 &lt; mₚ &lt; 0.87 TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATLAS (LHC), L = 0.000315 fb⁻¹:</td>
<td>exclude (95% C.L) q* mass interval 0.30 &lt; mₚ &lt; 1.26 TeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L = 0.036 fb⁻¹: 0.60 &lt; mₚ &lt; 2.64 TeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do W and Z events look like?

As explained, leptons, photons and missing transverse energy are key signatures at hadron colliders

→ Search for leptonic decays: W → t ν, large P_T (t), large E_T^{miss}
Z → t t

A bit of history: one of the first W events seen, UA2 experiment

W/Z discovery by the UA1 and UA2 experiments at CERN (1983/84)

Transverse momentum of the electrons

2.2 QCD aspects in W/Z (+ jet) production

- Important test of NNLO Drell-Yan QCD prediction for the total cross section
- Test of perturbative QCD in high p_T region (jet multiplicities, p_T spectra, ....)
- Tuning and „calibration“ of Monte Carlos for background predictions in searches at the LHC

W/Z selections in the ATLAS / CMS experiments

Electrons:
- Trigger: high p_T electron candidate in calorimeter
- Isolated e/magn. cluster in the calorimeter
- P_T > 25 GeV/c
- Shower shape consistent with expectation for electrons
- Matched with tracks

Z → ee
- 76 GeV/c² < m_{ee} < 106 GeV/c²

W → eν
- Missing transverse momentum > 25 GeV/c
- Transverse mass cut M_T > 50 GeV

Transverse mass (longitudinal component of the neutrino cannot be measured)
First measurements of W/Z production at the LHC

-CMS data from 2010: 36 pb⁻¹ -

Distributions of the missing transverse energy, Eₜdling (left) and transverse mass mT (right) of electron candidates for data and Monte Carlo simulation, broken down into the signal and various background components.

Distributions of the invariant di-electron mass, mₑₑ, for events passing the Z selection. The data are compared to Monte-Carlo simulation, the background is very small.

W and Z production cross sections at LHC

Measured cross section values in comparison to NNLO QCD predictions:

Data are well described by NNLO QCD calculations

Precision is already dominated by systematic uncertainties
(The error bars represent successively the statistical, the statistical plus systematic and the total uncertainties (statistical, systematic and luminosity). All uncertainties are added in quadrature.)

W cross sections at the LHC

-charge separated, e/µ universality

Good agreement between data and NNLO QCD predictions for all measurements

W and Z production cross sections at hadron colliders

- Theoretical NNLO predictions in very good agreement with the experimental measurements (for pp, pbar and as a function of energy)
- Good agreement as well between the ATLAS and CMS experiments
First physics signals with hadronic tau final states

- Taus are more difficult to detect
- They decay with a short lifetime (0.3 ps) into 1 or 3 charged hadrons (65%) and a neutrino
- Taus have to be separated from hadronic jets

Can the parton distribution functions be constrained?

- Sensitive measurement: charge asymmetry as function of rapidity
- LHCb contributes significantly, since W production can be measured at forward rapidities

Can the parton distribution functions be constrained?

- First studies of the impact of the ATLAS and CMS data have been performed, e.g. NNPDF collaboration, arXiv:1108.1758
- Experimental uncertainties (Z \rightarrow \tau \tau) already comparable to Tevatron measurements

First physics signals with hadronic tau final states

- Good agreement between the measured cross sections in the three lepton flavours
- Experimental uncertainties (Z \rightarrow \tau \tau) already comparable to Tevatron measurements

All data are unfolded, $p_T > 20$ GeV

Can be used in pdf fits

bands of relative uncertainties of u and d quark distributions

- Reduction of the relative uncertainty of the u- and d- valence quark distribution at low x values by \( \sim 10-20\% \)
- LHCb data probe smaller and larger values of x, that are less constraint
QCD Test in W/Z + jet production

- CMS inclusive spectra of jets associated to W/Z production (36 pb⁻¹);
- At detector level, compared to Monte Carlo Simulation (Madgraph + PYTHIA) (normalized to (N)NLO calculations);
- Good agreement at that stage (jets with pT > 30 GeV);
- Top contribution clearly visible in high multiplicity bins of W + jet production.

Ratio of cross sections

- Ratio \( \frac{\sigma(W + n\text{-jet})}{\sigma(Z + n\text{-jet})} \)
  - less sensitive to syst. uncertainties
  - pdfs and energy scales
  - experimental jet energy scale and resolution
  - new physics might upset ratio
- Measured by ATLAS for \( n = 1 \) vs \( p_T \) threshold for counting jets
- Good agreement with NLO predictions
  - overall uncertainty is 4% for \( p_T > 30 \) GeV
  - will gain from higher statistics
  - largest systematic is boson reconstruction
  - can also extend to higher \( n \)

W/Z + jet cross section measurements

- LO predictions fail to describe the data;
- Jet multiplicities and pT spectra in agreement with NLO predictions within errors;
- NLO central value ~10% low.

W + b jets

- Important background for many studies (Higgs, SUSY, top);
- Measurements at the Tevatron exceed NLO prediction;
- Measured by ATLAS using 2010 data sample
  - studied W + 1 jet and W + 2 jets
  - require at least one b-tagged jet
2.3 Top Quark Physics

- Discovered by the CDF and DØ collaborations at the Tevatron in 1995
- Tevatron top physics results are consistent with expectations from the Standard Model, however, often limited by statistics
- Tevatron achieved an impressive precision on the measurement of the top quark mass
- LHC: huge production rates (for √s = 7 TeV: about a factor 25 larger cross sections than at the Tevatron)
  - Better precision
  - Search for deviations from Standard Model expectations

Why is Top-Quark so important?

- We still know little about the properties of the top quark: mass, spin, charge, lifetime, decay properties (rare decays), gauge couplings, Yukawa coupling, ...
- A unique quark: decays before it hadronizes, lifetime \( \sim 10^{-25} \) s
  - no "toponium states"
  - remember: bb, bd, bs
    - cc, cs
        - bound states (mesons)
The top quark may serve as a window to New Physics related to the electroweak symmetry breaking;

Why is its Yukawa coupling \( \sim 1 \) ??

\[
M_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda \nu, \\
\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{M_t}{173.9 \text{ GeV} / c^2}
\]

Top Quark Production

- Pair production: \( qq \) and \( gg \)-fusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tevatron ( 1.96 ) TeV</th>
<th>LHC ( 14 ) TeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( qq )</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( gg )</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu (pb) )</td>
<td>7.0 pb</td>
<td>887 pb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For LHC running at \( \sqrt{s} = 7 \) TeV, the cross section is reduced by a factor of \( \sim 5 \), but it is still a factor 25 larger than the cross section at the Tevatron

Top Quark Decays

- BR \( (t \rightarrow Wb) \sim 100\% \)
  - Dilepton channel:
    - Both W's decay via \( W \rightarrow \ell v \) \( (\ell = e \text{ or } \mu; 4\%) \)
  - Lepton + jet channel:
    - One W decays via \( W \rightarrow \ell v \) \( (\ell = e \text{ or } \mu; 30\%) \)
  - Full hadronic channel:
    - Both W's decay via \( W \rightarrow qq \) \( (46\%) \)

Important experimental signatures:
- Lepton(s)
  - Missing transverse momentum
  - b-jet(s)
First measurements of Top Quark production at the LHC

Event display of a top pair e±μ dilepton candidate with two b-tagged jets. The electron is shown by the green track pointing to a calorimeter cluster, the muon by the long red track intersecting the muon chambers, and the missing E_T by the dotted line on the xy-view. The secondary vertices of the two b-tagged jets are indicated by the orange ellipses on the zoomed vertex region view.

First results on top production from the LHC

Event Selection:
- Lepton trigger
- One identified lepton (e,μ) with p_T > 20 GeV
- Missing transverse energy: E_T^miss > 35 GeV (significant rejection against QCD events)
- Transverse mass: M_T(l,ν) > 25 GeV (lepton from W decay in event)
- One or more jets with p_T > 25 GeV and η < 2.5

Description of the invariant mass distributions in the l-had channel

• Top fractions increase with number of b-tags
• Good description for all jet-multiplicity and b-tag combinations
• Data are consistent with top quark production with mass of 173 GeV

Evidence for top quark in many different decay modes

(i) Dilepton selection in both ATLAS and CMS (0.7 fb⁻¹ – 1.14 fb⁻¹)

Multiplicity distributions of b-tagged jets
(small backgrounds, mainly from Z+jet production)
Evidence for top quark in many different decay modes

(ii) $\mu + \tau$ final states in both ATLAS and CMS (0.7 fb$^{-1}$ – 1.14 fb$^{-1}$)

Require: $\mu + \text{hadronically decaying } \tau, E_T^{\text{miss}} + b$-jets

ATLAS: Multivariate analysis
Jet multiplicity distribution in signal (left) and background (right) regions

reconstructed mass in CMS

Summary of ATLAS and CMS measurement on the tt cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

2.4 Electroweak parameters

- W mass
- Top Quark Mass & Properties
- Gauge Boson pair production

Best fit (ATLAS) gives a slightly higher cross-section than the expected approx. NNLO QCD value, but consistent within 1 $\sigma$ (red: likelihood, stat errors only; blue: stat + syst. uncertainties)

A. De Roeck, Lepton-Photon 2011
**Precision measurements of \( m_W \) and \( m_{\text{top}} \)**

**Motivation:**

- W mass and top quark mass are fundamental parameters of the Standard Model.
- The standard theory provides well-defined relations between \( m_W \), \( m_{\text{top}} \), and \( m_H \).

**Electromagnetic constant**

\[
m_W = \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{EM}}}{\sqrt{2} G_F} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sin \theta_W \sqrt{1 - \Delta r}}
\]

- Fermi constant measured in muon decay.
- \( G_F \), \( \alpha_{\text{EM}} \), \( \sin \theta_W \) are known with high precision.
- Precise measurements of the W mass and the top-quark mass constrain the Higgs-boson mass (and/or the theory, radiative corrections).

**The W-mass measurement**

\[
m_W = \left( \frac{\alpha_{\text{EM}}}{\sqrt{2} G_F} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sin \theta_W \sqrt{1 - \Delta r}}
\]

- \( m_W \) (from LEP2 + Tevatron) = 80.399 ± 0.023 GeV
- \( m_{\text{top}} \) (from Tevatron) = 173.2 ± 0.9 GeV

**Ultimate test of the Standard Model:** comparison between the direct Higgs boson mass and predictions from radiative corrections....
Shape of the transverse mass distribution is sensitive to $m_W$, the measured distribution is fitted with Monte Carlo predictions, where $m_W$ is a parameter

Main uncertainties:
- Ability of the Monte Carlo to reproduce real life:
  - Detector performance (energy resolution, energy scale, ....)
  - Physics: production model $p_T(W), W, ....$
- Backgrounds

Example: template method
- Calculate a per-event observable that is sensitive to $m_t$
- Make templates from signal and background events
- Use pseudo-experiments (Monte Carlo) to check that method works
- Fit data to templates using maximum likelihood method

What precision can be reached in Run II and at the LHC?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Int. Luminosity</th>
<th>CDF 0.2 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>DØ 1 fb$^{-1}$</th>
<th>LHC 10 fb$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stat. error</td>
<td>48 MeV</td>
<td>23 MeV</td>
<td>2 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy scale, lepton res.</td>
<td>30 MeV</td>
<td>34 MeV</td>
<td>4 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W \rightarrow e\nu$ Monte Carlo model ($P_{ew}^W$, structure functions, photon-radiation....)</td>
<td>16 MeV</td>
<td>12 MeV</td>
<td>7 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>8 MeV</td>
<td>2 MeV</td>
<td>2 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot. Syst. error</td>
<td>39 MeV</td>
<td>37 MeV</td>
<td>8 MeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total error</td>
<td>62 MeV</td>
<td>44 MeV</td>
<td>~10 MeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Tevatron numbers are based on real data analyses
- LHC numbers should be considered as "ambitious goal"
  - Many systematic uncertainties can be controlled in situ, using the large $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ sample ($p_T(W)$, recoil model, resolution)
  - Lepton energy scale of $\pm 0.02\%$ has to be achieved to reach the quoted numbers

Combining both experiments (ATLAS + CMS, 10 fb$^{-1}$), both lepton species and assuming a scale uncertainty of $\pm 0.02\%$ a total error in the order of $\Delta m_W \sim 10 - 15$ MeV might be reached.

Top-quark mass measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top-Quark Mass [GeV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DØ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP1/SLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP1/SLD/$m_W T_W$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta m_W \sim 10 - 15$ MeV

B-tagged signal templates

$\Delta m_W \sim 100$ GeV$^2$

reconstructed $m_W$ (GeV$^2$)
First top quark mass measurements at the LHC

- Use lepton + jet channel (e,μ + 4 jets, at least 1 b-tagged jet)
- Include part of the 2011 data (0.7 fb⁻¹)
- Combined fit of top mass and jet energy scale (in situ) à la Tevatron

CMS: $m_t = 173.4 \pm 1.9$ (stat) ± 2.7 (syst) GeV (incl. di-lepton channel)
ATLAS: $m_t = 175.9 \pm 0.9$ (stat) ± 2.7 (syst) GeV

Already impressive precision reached at that early stage of the experiment!

Di-boson production: $Wγ$, $WW$, $WZ$, $ZZ$

- Motivation: - test of the Standard Model gauge structure - search for deviations, anomalous triple gauge couplings (TGC)

- Allowed Standard Model vertices
  - $γZ \rightarrow WW$
  - $W \rightarrow Wγ$
  - $W \rightarrow WZ$

- Forbidden Standard Model vertices: $γ \rightarrow ZZ$ or $Z \rightarrow ZZ$ or $Zγ$

- Start from most general ansatz for TGCs in Lagrangian
  → 14 couplings
  CP invariance and gauge invariance
  → 5 parameters $λ_1 = λ_2 = 0$
  $g_1 = K_1 = K_2 = 1$

Wγ and Zγ production

- Expected contributions within the Standard Model (including initial and final state radiation)
- Additional contribution from quark and gluon fragmentation ($WZ +$ jet production)
- Search for an additional isolated photon in $W$ and $Z$ events
- $E_T$ spectra of photons are in agreement with the expectations from the Standard Model

Wγ and Zγ production (cont.)

- Also kinematic distributions are well described by Standard Model processes
- No evidence for anomalous couplings / anomalous $Wγ$ / $Zγ$ production
WW production

- Expected contributions within the Standard Model (TGC contribution, gg-box is higher order)

- Search for WW production in di-leptonic decays (WW $\rightarrow$ l$^+$ l$^-$)

- Major backgrounds:
  - Drell-Yan production $pp \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ll$
  - $W \rightarrow l^+ + jet$ production, one jet fakes a lepton, $E_T^{miss}$ from mis-measurement
  - tt production, with di-leptonic decays: $tt \rightarrow l^+ b l^- b$

- This is an important background process for Higgs boson searches in the $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l^+ l^- ll$ channel

WW production (cont.)

- Accepted number of events after various cuts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>$e+e^-$</th>
<th>$\mu+\mu^-$</th>
<th>$e+\mu$</th>
<th>$\mu+e$</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-leptons (LO)</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-leptons (LO)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leading lepton $p_T &gt; 200 GeV$</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trigger matching</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{ll} &gt; 115 GeV, M_{ll} &gt; 100 GeV$</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet veto</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet veto cut</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Jet veto applied to suppress large remaining top contribution i.e. require no jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV within $|\eta| < 4.5$

Jet multiplicity distribution after all cuts

WZ and ZZ production

- Expected contributions within the Standard Model (t-, u-, s-channel contributions for WZ)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut</th>
<th>$e+e^-$</th>
<th>$\mu+\mu^-$</th>
<th>$e+\mu$</th>
<th>$\mu+e$</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-leptons (LO)</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-leptons (LO)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leading lepton $p_T &gt; 200 GeV$</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trigger matching</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_{ll} &gt; 115 GeV, M_{ll} &gt; 100 GeV$</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet veto</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet veto cut</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Search for di-boson production in three (WZ $\rightarrow l^+ ll$) and four (ZZ $\rightarrow ll ll$) lepton final states

- These are important background processes for Higgs boson searches, e.g. $H \rightarrow 4 l$

These are important distributions for the $H \rightarrow WW$ search
Limits on anomalous gauge couplings

- Observed rates and differential distributions do not allow for significant contributions from anomalous gauge couplings
  → 95% C.L. limits on anomalous couplings are extracted

- LHC limits are becoming competitive with limits from the Tevatron
  (significant gain with more data expected)

Summary of the 1st lecture

- After a long way of design, construction, installation, commissioning of both machine and experiments the LHC had an excellent start in 2010
- The running in 2011 is superb; the integrated luminosity > 2 fb⁻¹ already
- Physics analyses are done with incredible speed
- The Standard Model has been established, all relevant processes measured down to cross sections of 10 fb…
  In many areas measurements have reached the precision phase
- So far: no deviations from the Standard Model seen
- Ready for direct searches of low cross section processes