# PY410 / 505 <br> Computational Physics 1 

Salvatore Rappoccio

## Vectorization

- Example in the "Vectorization" directory
- "Advanced" topic, but critical for numeric programming these days


## Flynn's Taxonomy

- Up until now, we have basically assumed we have a single instruction, single data (SISD) model:
- 1 CPU processes data from 1 location
- Parallelization is achieved by just repeating this:



## Flynn's Taxonomy



## Flynn's Taxonomy




## SIMD

- SIMD has become more popular because of vectorized processing units
-GPUs
-New CPUs
- Vector units do N identical operations in the same amount of time that it takes to do one of them, on different addresses in memory

- Speed comes from data access
-"Get me N ints" versus "Get me an int", N times


## Architecture of processors

- Processing units now contain caches of memory with fast access.
- Can have different levels of cache (small but fast versus large but slow)
- Then memory
- Then disk

- Accessing cache is much faster than accessing memory
- Accessing memory is much faster than accessing disk


## Architecture of processors

- Cooking analogy:



## Vector processing

- Same operation done many times over a vector of data (SIMD!)
- Traditionally: done with loops
- Now: done with vector unit, if possible

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for }(i=0 ; i<1024 ; i++) \\
& C[i]=A[i] * B[i] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C=A * B
$$

Get next bread.
Slice.
Get next bread.
Slice.
Get next bread.
Slice.

Slice all the bread.

## Unrolling loops

- There are also advantages to unrolling loops:
for (i = 0; i < 1024; i++)

$$
C[i]=A[i] * B[i] ;
$$

for (i = 0; i (1024; i+=4)\{
$C[i+0]=A[i+0] * B[i+0] ;$
$C[i+1]=A[i+1] * B[i+1] ;$
$C[i+2]=A[i+2] * B[i+2] ;$
$C[i+3]=A[i+3] * B[i+3] ;$
\}

Get four slices of bread. Slice.

Get next bread. Slice.
Get next bread.
Slice.
Get next bread.
Slice.

## Vectorization in Practice

- Scalar:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for }(i=0 ; i<1024 ; i++) \\
& C[i]=A[i] * B[i] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- Vectorized:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for }(i=0 ; i<1024 ; i+=4) \\
& C[i: i+3]=A[i: i+3] * B[i: i+3] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

# Implicitly or explicitly unrolling loops can allow the compiler to appropriately vectorize the operation 

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automatic_vectorization

## Test case

- We will use the simple addition of two large vectors (100000 elements) as a demonstration in various scenarios:
-C++ without optimization
-C++ with non-vectorization optimizations
-C++ adding vectorization optimizations
-Python itself
-Numpy within python


## Comparisons

|  | C++, -O0 | C++, -01 | $\mathrm{C}++$ - 02 | python | python+ numpy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vector, unknown size | 825 | 585 | 150 | 31862 | 460 |
| Vector, known size | 736 | 564 | 93 |  |  |
| Static array | 576 | 564 | 525 |  |  |
| Static array, manual unroll | 132 | 97 | 93 |  |  |

