SIPM EVENT

RESULTS FROM THE FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Were you directly invited to the SiPM event by one of the organisers?



2. What was the main reason to participate to the event?



3. Did you appreciate having both reviews about ongoing scientific developments and company profile presentations?



4. Did you appreciate the event over two half-days?



5. Overall, how would you rate the event?



6. How would you improve the scientific/technical programme?

Answers:

1. see question 8

2. I think the presentation sessions were rather too long - there should be a few more breaks.

3. Round table between users and producers

4. Currently no idea

5. Need more time to network with people

6. I don't think that there is a strong need for such an event.

7. More space for exhibitors, more breaks

8. I wouldn't know: the event gave a lot of opportunities to conveners and was in my opinion hardly improvable

9. By adding workshop sessions between companies and users

10. Putting even more focus on future requirements & how to improve technology transfer

11. With some activities to mix more companies and research institutions

12. one could maybe divide the technical program into two parts, one for the SiPm experts and one for people new to the subject

13. Organisation of round-table (may be)

7. Would you appreciate a follow-up phase of the event, with information on the topic of SiPM and access to the services listed in question 8?



8. During future events, would you be interested in additional services, e.g.



9. The event has been attended essentially by researchers and companies representatives; would you consider profitable to have also tech transfer professionals from the research institutions?



10. Would you attend future events even if a fee was required to help covering the costs?



11. What elements should be taken into account to improve the event?



12. Space for comments/suggestions

Comment 1. Excellent event should/could well be something that could be held on an annual basis.
Comment 2. It would be desirable to strengthen the presence of companies

Comment 3. If possible, provide EVO meeting.
Comment 4. Excellent that you could find 3 speakers from the Crystal Clear collaboration :-)
Comment 5. Excellent mixture between the two communities. right number of participants.
Comment 6. yes to n. 10 only if with more participants
Comment 7. I would dedicate some more time and space to networking purposes.
Comment 8. The event should cover more than two days
Comment 9. see above
Comment 10. More emphasis on industrial end user specs and commercial design criteria.
Comment 11. Participation of wider industry representatives, using SiPM and derivatives as well as systems and sub-systems. This would widen the event's scope and pave the way for by-products and integrated technology schemes
Comment 12. I think the whole framework for presentations and individual conversations was very inspiring

13. Attendants