

DUNE FLUX AND MEASUREMENT NEEDS

Laura Fields, University of Notre Dame Dec 2022 NA61++ Workshop



Photo: Reidar Hahn / Fermilab





THE DUNE EXPERIMENT

Sanford Underground Research Facility (SURF) in South Dakota



The Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE) will use neutrinos

created at Fermilab in Illinois and sent through the Earth to a large detector at the



THE DUNE EXPERIMENT





The beam will encounter a capable suite of near detectors and then a large liquid Argon detector 1490 m underground at SURF, with space for 70 kTon of mass



DUNE PHYSICS

Primary goal is precision measurements of 3flavor neutrino mixing parameters including the CPviolating phase and mass hierarchy

Many BSM searches in near and far detectors

Also: supernova, solar neutrinos



DUNE PHYSICS Extracting oscillation parameters or finding a BSM signal in DUNE data will require precise simulations of the entire experiment, starting with the neutrino beam:



Predicted beam neutrino energy spectra



Predicted neutrino energies at DUNE, for different values of CP violation





120 GeV protons @ 1.2 MW (upgradable to

3 horns + long target optimized for sensitivity

Horn current polarity can be switched to provide neutrino or antineutrino-enriched



LBNF BEAM SIMULATION



- reinteractions in the target and other beam materials, and decay to neutrinos
- Currently using Geant4 version 10.3.p03 with the QGSP_BERT Physics List

• We use Geant4 to simulate primary interactions of 120 GeV protons on the LBNF target,

LBNF BEAM SIMULATION

• This simulation produces predicted neutrino fluxes at all DUNE detectors:



- These are neutrino fluxes from the TDR; used for all current DUNE physics studies
- Not completely final beam design (see backup)







IMPERFECT SIMULATION

But we know that these flux predictions are not sufficiently precise to meet DUNE's needs:

Kaons in 60 GeV $\pi^++C \rightarrow K+X$

interactions, measured at NA61/SHINE and compared to two Geant4 models, as well as Gibuu and Fluka.

Many models differ significantly from data; model developers are always trying to improve, but it is not realistic to expect perfect predictions of all processes that matter to flux predictions.



CORRECTING THE SIMULATION

So we have to fix our predictions

- The only practical way to do this is through reweighting
- DUNE uses the PPFX packaged developed for MINERvA and also used by NOvA and SBN experiments for NuMI fluxes:
 - Complete information about cascades leading to a neutrino is recorded for each proton on target and stored in the flux tuples
 - Interactions are weighted by:

$$w_{\rm HP} = \frac{f_{\rm Data}\left(x_F, p_T, E\right)}{f_{\rm MC}\left(x_f, p_T, E\right)} \qquad f = E \frac{d^3 \sigma}{dp^3}$$

- Weights for events with multiple interactions in the ancestor chain are the product of the weight for each interaction
- A second weight is applied to account for • assuming exponential exponential decay of beam:

$$w_{\rm att} = e^{-L
ho(\sigma_{\rm data} - \sigma_{\rm N})}$$

MC)





CORRECTING THE SIMULATION



Reweighted flux for MINERvA (DUNE version not yet approved)

Kaons in 60 GeV π⁺+C → K+X

Small corrections needed in focusing peak; much more substantial in high energy tail



CORRECTING THE SIMULATION



Data sets currently used:

- NA49 158 GeV protons (Eur.Phys.J.C49: 897-917, 2007, Eur. Phys. J. C73, 2364 (2013))
- Barton et. al. 100 GeV protons (Phys. Rev. D 27, 2580)
- NA49 pC \rightarrow K[±]X (G.Tinti Thesis)
- MIPP K/pi ratios (A.V. Lebedev Thesis)
- Incorporation of new NA61 and EMPHATIC data is ongoing

Extensions of data:

- $pC \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ cross section assumed to be the same as $nC \rightarrow \pi^- X$ and vice versa (isospin symmetry)
- Carbon data used for other nuclei (with larger uncertainty stay tuned for more discussion of uncertainties)
- I58 GeV proton data used for incident energies between I2 and I20 GeV, with scaling taken from Fluka



PROPAGATING UNCERTAINTIES

- **Uncertainties** on the external data constraints are • propagated to uncertainties on our flux and other simulated distributions using a "Many-Universes" method:
 - For each event, in addition to the central value weights • we have discussed:

$$w = e^{-L\rho(\sigma_{\text{Data}} - \sigma_{\text{MC}})} \left(\prod_{\substack{\text{reweightable}\\\text{interactions}}} \frac{f_{\text{Data}}(x_F, p_T, E)}{f_{\text{MC}}(x_f, p_T, E)}\right)$$

- We also store many (~1000) weights constructed from • data cross sections varied according to their uncertainties (taking into account correlations)
- For interactions uncovered by data, large (40%) are assumed





Pion production from proton interactions with carbon that are covered by external data







Kaon production from proton interactions with carbon that are covered by external data









Proton and neutron interactions that are not covered by data







Pion and Kaon incident interactions

(Should become much smaller once recent NA61 data is incorporated!)





All other interactions (not proton/neutron/pion/kaon incident)





Uncertainty associated with attenuation of the beam in the target

Uncertainty associated with absorption of particles outside the target



FOCUSING FLUX UNCERTAINTIES



Alignment uncertainties by running a lot of simulations with alignment parameters varied

These are currently subdominant but will become more important as hadron production uncertainties go down. A major revision of these is underway now.





included



"COVERED BY DATA" here means the data that is currently considered in the flux prediction; recent NA61 pion incident data or 120 GeV proton data and EMPHATIC quasi elastic data are not yet



Flavor: v_{μ} ; Target: carbon; notCovered; ND

Other		8.561E-04	1.834E-02	3.840E-04	7.010E-04	7.417E-05	4.296E-04	1.356E-04	8.256E-04	2.954E-04
	eta				DUN	E Wo	rk in F	Progre	ess	
Incident Particle	ks	9.201E-06	1.681E-03	3.661E-05	8.388E-04	6.409E-06	6.133E-06	1.378E-04	1.036E-03	5.240E-05
	kl	1.398E-05	3.628E-03	4.809E-05	8.802E-05	2.069E-05	9.219E-06	2.834E-04	4.003E-04	7.034E-05
	n	6.791E-05	4.363E-04	4.656E-06	1.270E-04	1.955E-06	5.151E-03	1.546E-05	1.276E-04	2.130E-04
	k-	1.100E-05	1.386E-03	6.183E-05	4.043E-05	1.516E-04	4.103E-06	2.977E-05	1.654E-04	4.893E-05
	k+	2.649E-05	3.580E-03	5.420E-05	2.538E-03	1.151E-05	9.660E-06	1.591E-04	7.098E-04	8.593E-05
	pi-	9.238E-05	1.866E-02	2.710E-03	6.389E-04	1.268E-04	4.954E-05	1.094E-04	8.495E-04	7.382E-04
	pi+	1.220E-04	6.881E-02	5.710E-04	1.029E-03	7.995E-05	6.970E-05	2.177E-04	1.289E-03	9.781E-04
	р	6.234E-02	1.068E-03	4.519E-06	1.403E-03	5.075E-05	1.020E-03	1.689E-04	1.426E-03	2.599E-03
		р	pi+	pi-	k+	k-	n	kl	ks	eta
Produced Particle										



Interactions not covered by data, normalized to neutrino flux

These are the thin target interaction measurements that are most critical to DUNE



• Phase space of unmeasured interactions:







• Phase space of unmeasured interactions:







• Phase space of unmeasured interactions:







NEEDS: LONG TARGET DATA



Replica target measurements have proven to be the **gold standard** of hadron production measurements for neutrino experiments

DUNE will **definitely need replica target measurements**; first planned for ~2024

Ongoing upgrades of the target will require repeated replica target measurements over the course of DUNE's run

Initial **prototype will be 1.5 m long**; length will eventually be **extended to 1.8 m** if feasible



NEEDS: CORRELATION MATRICES

of the DUNE hadron production flux uncertainties:



- Flux uncertainties are strongly dependent on assumed correlations of flux uncertainties
 - guessing at what they might look like
 - We *really* need accurate correlation matrices

Results of a study that looked at the impact of hadron production correlations on a portion



In most cases, the data we are using have not reported correlation matrices and we are



OTHER NEEDS

Recall that even the "covered by data" interaction make some leaps of faith:

- (isospin symmetry)
- Carbon data used for other nuclei (with larger uncertainty) • 158 GeV proton data used for many incident energies

Hightest priority for thin target data are the "not covered" interactions, but also need guidance on extrapolation across different incident energies, nuclei and validation of isospin assumptions

producing hadron production measurements and people **implementing** those in flux predictions

• p C $\rightarrow \pi + X$ cross section assumed to be the same as n C $\rightarrow \pi - X$ and vice versa

DUNE would also greatly benefit from more overlap between the people



CONCLUSION

- DUNE will make precise measurements of neutrino oscillation parameters and search for CP-violation and a variety of **BSM physics**
- Many of the interactions that will create neutrinos in the LBNF beam line have never been measured and are not well understood theoretically
- All of DUNE's accelerator-based measurements rely on an accurate beam simulation
- Highest DUNE hadron production needs
 - **Replica target** measurements (but will have to make these repeatedly)
 - Interactions **not currently covered** by data •
 - Data over a range of incident energy and target nucleus
 - **Covariance matrices** for all datasets
 - Help from the HP community using these data

Thank You for Listening!









RECENT CHANGES TO BEAM LINE

- - Target
 - Horn A
 - Horn B
 - Horn C



TDR DESIGN

• Since the TDR design of the beam has progressed, with some changes that affect the neutrino flux

Current Design (Hopefully will be extended to 1.8 m)



RECENT CHANGES TO BEAM LINE

- - Target
 - Horn A
 - Horn B
 - Horn C

• Since the TDR design of the beam has progressed, with some changes that affect the neutrino flux

To Be Added





- Non-standard Oscillations Broad coverage for sterile neutrino searches • Ability to see tau neutrinos
- New particles with Cosmic Origin • Large mass, low backgrounds, excellent imaging
- New particles produced in hadron-nucleus interactions: Intense beam, excellent near detectors at ~500

DUNE PHYSICS

Many different handles for Beyond the Standard Model:

