

Energy and Sustainable Economic Development

Fusion and Technology for Nuclear Safety and Security Department (FSN)

Liquid Heavy Metal applications for particle accelerators

C. Carrelli, M. Tarantino, ENEA FSN-PROIN

12/10/2022

Summary

Framework:

- Started collaboration with STI Group
- Reference people: M. Calviani, R. F. Ximenes

Summary:

- Presentation
- Liquid Heavy Metals
- Liquid Lead feasibility for BDF target
- Liquid Lead option for MuC target



Presentation – ENEA Brasimone



Capabilities:

- Experiment design & ops
- Numerical simulations
- Corrosion and chem analysis

Research activities:

- Nuclear Fusion



GEN IV LFR – ANN/ENEA ALFRED Nuclear Fission Technology

Main focus:

- Tritium Breeding from liquid Pb-Li
 - Lead/LBE-cooled Fast Reactors



ITER / DEMO Nuclear Fusion Technology



Presentation – Nuclear Gen-IV Experiments



ENEL

C. Carrelli – Liquid Heavy Metals application for particle accelerators

Presentation – Nuclear Fusion Experiments



Heavy Liquid Metals

Lead

Density: 10660~9000 kg m⁻³ Melts: 600 K Boils: 2020 K

<u>LBE</u>

Density: 10100~8500 kg m⁻³ Melts: 398 K Boils: 1930 K Technological aspects:

- Steel corrosion at T > 450°C (slow process, 10³ hrs)
- Stagnation areas in loop to be avoided (O₂ accumulation, local freezing)
- Ambient pressure ops

Radiological aspects:

- Pb is neutron multiplier: n 2n
- ²¹⁰Po production under neutron irradiation in pure lead is ~10⁴ less than in LBE¹
- Studies are being conducted for MHYRRA ADS reactor to verify Polonium production under proton irradiation²



Liquid Lead BDF Target

SPS Beam Dump Facility Project

- ECN3 Complex
- Pulse: 1s spill every 7.2s,
- P_{AVE}: 350kW
- Baseline: solid W + TZM

Liquid Lead Proposal

- Target: pure Pb, D150 x L2000 mm
- Loop with circulating pump, HX





BDF Target: CFD model



- Inlet@400 °C, flow equi-current with beam
- Average velocity in the pipe:
 - 1 m/s (mfr 185 kg/s),
 - 0.5 m/s (mfr 96.5 kg/s)
 - 0.25 m/s (mfr 46.25 kg/s)
- ANSYS CFX, RANS model SST komega y+=1 (boundary layer fully resolved) Mesh 2.2MNodes
- Transient calculations time step 5.10⁻⁴s
- Power map provided by CERN (BDF_Pb_heatLoad_CFX.txt)



BDF Target: temperatures



mfr 185 kg/s

mfr 92.5 kg/s

mfr 46.25 kg/s

The solution @185 kg/s (1 m/s in the target) ensures that at the beginning of next pulse (7.2s) the target is completely cooled at 400°C. For lower flow rates further investigation are needed to explore the behaviour in the 2° cycle. Mfr 46.25 is still 550°C below the boiling point for lead. Wall temperature is under control.



BDF Target: 46 kg/s



mfr 46.25 kg/s (u=0.25 m/s)

- ENEL
- C. Carrelli Liquid Heavy Metals application for particle accelerators

- Max internal temperature **1163°C**, with more than 600°C margin for boiling
- Max wall temperature about 520 °C (at the end of 1s power deposition)
- These values are very comfortable from an engineering point of view for material resistance
- Lower flow rate better for loop engineering (pump, pressure losses, lead inventory)



BDF Target: wall temperature





BDF Target: Lead Loop

Ongoing activities:

- Loop components sizing
- Target vessel
- Loop integration

Challenges:

- Housing of components
- High-radiation environment





Liquid Lead MuC target

- Pulse: 2ns every 0.2s,
- P_{AVE}: 2 MW
- Target volume: D30 x L509 mm

- Very high power density
- · Limited available space
- MHD losses
- Risk of local lead vaporization





MuC target: temperatures

Thermal map calculated accounting for temperature-dependent properties: maximum temperature expected to be about 25 K below the boiling point. A beam 1.6% more powerful likely to flash the lead at the power peak. Opted for flow equicurrent with beam direction to achieve dilution before high-temperature lead hit walls

At 2000 K (close to boiling point) lead increase by 20% in volume from 400°C.

Average lead volume temperature increase is about 190 K.





MuC target: temperatures





MuC target: wall temperatures

Adiabatic wall temperatures up to 650 °C (vessel), and 850 °C (beam window).







MuC target: wall temperatures





MuC target: Challenges

Thermo-mechanical:

- Vessel subjected to intense temperature gradient and values
- Regardless of flashing, likely pressure waves and vibrations due to quick lead thermal expansion.
- Beam window gets too hot for common vessel materials:
 - Beryllium?
 - Tungsten?
 - Interaction with Pb?

Integration:

- Limited space
- High radiation environment



MuC target: Next steps

Thermo-mechanical:

- Start analysing vessel behaviour
- Try material combination

CFD:

- Pressure wave analysis
- Lead flashing analysis

MHD:

MHD losses evaluation

Testing likely to be crucial in the development:

- Lead flashing effects on structures
- Material chemical compatibility

```
Magnetic Reynolds:

Re_{m} = \frac{\mu_{0}}{\sigma}uL = 0.0955 \ll 1
Where:

\sigma = \text{electrical resistivity} = (67.0 + 0.0471^{*}\text{T})^{*}1\text{e-8} [\Omega \text{ m}]
u = \text{fluid velocity} = 2.5 \text{ [m/s]}
L = \text{fluid typical length} = 0.03 \text{ [m]}
```

Estimated value of ${\rm Re}_{\rm m}$ ensures there is no significant influence of fluid flow to the surrounding magnetic field



Conclusions

Liquid Heavy Metals have potentials to act as particle targets:

- Known and proven technology
- Low radiation damage
- Loops allow to decouple functions

Ongoing collaboration activities:

- BFD target
- MuC HLM target
- Early stages

Thank you!



Carlo Carrelli <u>carlo.carrelli@</u>enea.it



