Draft: 221012a

Akira Yamamoto

(KEK and CERN)

Acknowledgements: K. Umemori, Y. Yamamoto, and S. Michizono (KEK) H. Damerau, F. Batsch, I. Karpov, and A. Grudiev (CERN)

> To be presented at the RF WG, MCC Annual Meeting 2022 12 October 2022

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 1

Outline

• **Introduction:**

• Requirements for SRF from MC-RCS (to be digested)

• **ILC SRF Technology**

• State-of-Art Performance

• Efforts for High-Gradient and High-Q₀

- Surface Process
- Material: Nb3Sn
- Traveling Wave

• **Prospect for Future**

1.3 GHz, pulsed SRF Technology Applicable for the Muon Acceleration to Collision Energy

Multiple RF station along Acc. RIng with 1.3 GHz, pulsed SRF cavity (30 MV/m) being studied by F. Batsch et al.,

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

J-PARC

FNAL

Pulse-duration Extend-ability to be investigated

- Detailed parameter table (F. Batsch): https://cernbox.cern.ch/index.php/s/l9VplTncUeCBtiz
- Repetition rate of RCS chain: 5 Hz (as ILC)
- Minimum pulse length for RF system???

 \rightarrow Pulse length ~1.6 ms same order as for ILC (beam pulse length 1 ms)

Time [µsec]

Circumference, $2\pi R$ [m] 5990 468 348 Energy factor, $E_{\text{ei}}/E_{\text{ini}}$ 5 20 7.5 Repetition rate, f_{rep} [Hz] 25 5 (asym.) 15 **Magnetic ramp** Linearized **Sinus Sinus** Number of turns $42k$ $17k$ $17²$ Max. RF voltage, V_{RF} [MV] 21000 0.86 0.44 Energy gain per turn, AE [MeV] 14800 $~10.4$ -0.2 Significantly more RF voltage than any other RCS

RCS₁

 \rightarrow **N-cavity / RCS1** = 21,000 MV / (30 MV/m x 1.038) = \sim 674

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

1.3 GHz, pulsed SRF Technology Applicable for the Muon Acceleration to Collision Energy

Status of the studies for the RF of the pulsed synchrotrons

F. Batsch¹, I. Karpov, H. Damerau

Acknowledgements: A. Chance, A. Grudiev, D. Amorim, E. Metral, F. Boattini, L. Bottura

Accelerator design meeting 27/06/2022

- Studies for three RCSs [1] of the high-energy accelerator chain up from 63GeV to 1.5TeV, parameters summarized below [2] and in this table
- Using the BLonD code to observe effects of the synchrotron tune and the shortrange wakefields, beam loading....
- BLonD: (Beam Longitudinal Dynamics code) macro-particle tracking code, developed at CERN from 2014 on. Links: documentation and github
- Studies with multiple RF stations along ring, 1.3 GHz TESLA cavities, 30 MV/m in cavity

= 8 x 30 MV/m x 1.038 m / 12.56 m $= 249$ MV / 12.56 m

6

 \overline{AB} and \overline{AB}

Summary of RF requirements

Outline

• **Introduction:**

• Requirements for SRF from MC-RCS (to be digested)

• **ILC SRF Technology**

• State-of-Art Performance

• Efforts for High-Gradient and High-Q₀

- Surface Process
- Material: Nb3Sn
- Traveling Wave

• **Prospect for Future**

Courtesy: S. Michizono

~ 1.3 GHz SRF Accelerators, worldwide

Courtesy: S. Michizono

~ 1.3 GHz, SRF Accelerators, worldwide

Advances in L-band (~ 1GHz) SRF Cavity Gradient

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

European XFEL, SRF Linac Completed and in Operation

URL: http://www.desv.de/news/news_search/index_eng.html

2018/07/17

Back European XFEL accelerator reaches its design energy Accelerator accelerates electrons to 17.5 GeV for the first time

Progress:

2013: Construction started 2016: E- XFEL Linac completion 2017: E-XFEL beam start 2018: 17.5 GeV achieved

1.3 GHz / 23.6 MV/m 800+4 SRF acc. Cavities 100+3 Cryo-Modules (CM) *: ~ 1/10 scale to ILC-ML*

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

<E-usable> : 29.8 MV/m $(RI): 31 MV/m w/2°$ process 33 MV/m w/ 3° process

>10 % (47/420, RI) cavities exceeding 40 MV/m

Fermilab achieved ILC Gradient Goal ≥ 31.5 MV/m with beam acceleration, 260 MeV

Beam Acceleration Achievement at KEK/STF

Courtesy, Y. Yamamoto LINAC2022 @Liverpool+online

~70 m SC linac (1.65 ms/5Hz)

- SC cavities: 14 (1.3 GHz, 9-cell)
- Cryomodules: CCM, CM1/CM2a
- Klystrons: 3 (5 MW, 800 kW, 10 MW)
- Beam dumps: 2 (Dump2: 37.8 kW)

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 13

Achievements at KEK/STF

We have demonstrated the SRF linac operation with the ILC specifications at STF!

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 2022/10/12 20:20 2

International Linear Collider : ILC

https://linearcollider.org/technical-design-report/

ILC Accelerator Design Parameters

Table 4.1: Summary table of the ILC accelerator parameters in the initial 250 GeV staged configuration (with TDR parameters at 250 GeV given for comparison) and possible upgrades. A 500 GeV machine could also be operated at 250 GeV with 10 Hz repetition rate, bringing the maximum luminosity to $5.4 \cdot 10^{34}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ [10]. *COMPLETE NUMBERS*

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 16

ILC SRF 5Hz Pulse Operation Scheme

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

ILC 1.3 GHz SRF Cavity Parameters

Parameter

Type of accelerating structure Accelerating mode Type of cavity-cell shape **Fundamental frequency** Operation: - Average gradient (range allowed) - Quality factor (at 31.5 MV/m) Qualification: - Average gradient (range allowed) - Quality factor (at 35 MV/m) - Acceptable radiation (at $35 \,\mathrm{MV/m}$) Active length Total length (beam flanges, face-to-face) Input-coupler pitch distance, including inter-connection Number of cells Cell-to-cell coupling Iris aperture diameter (inner/end cell) Equator inner diameter R/Q E_{peak}/E_{acc} B_{peak}/E_{acc} Tunable range $\Delta f/\Delta L$ Number of HOM couplers Q_{ext} for high-impedance HOM Nb material for cavity (incl. HOM coupler and beam pipe): $-$ RRR - Mechanical vield strength (annealed) Material for helium tank Max design pressure (high-pressure safety code) Max hydraulic-test pressure
A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12
[†] Example number taken from [16] — see text for more details

Standing wave TM_{010}, π mode Tesla (or Tesla-like) $1,300$ GHz 31.5 MV/m $(\pm 20\%$) $> 1 \times 10^{10}$ 35.0 MV/m $(\pm 20\%)$ $> 0.8 \times 10^{10}$ $\rm < 10^{-2} mGy/min^{\dagger}$ 1038.5 mm 1247.4 mm 1326.7 mm \mathbf{Q} 1.87% 70/78 mm \sim 210 mm 1036Ω 2.0 4.26 mT/(MV/m) $+300$ kHz 315 kHz/mm 2 $< 1.0 \times 10^{5}$ >300 $>$ 39 MPa Nb-Ti Alloy 0.2 MPa $0.3 MPa$

Value

Coupler and Tuner: See next talk by Y. Yamamoto

ILC 1.3 GHz SRF CM Parameters

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

ILC ML SRF CM Configuration

from ILC-TDR

from ILC-TDR

ILC-ML CM Heat Load and Cryogenics

Table 3.11. Main-linac heat loads and cryogenic plant size [34]. Where there is a site dependence, the values for the flat / mountain topographies are quoted respectively. (The primary difference is in the choice the number of cryo-plants, specifically 6 and 5 plants for flat and mountainous topographies respectively.)

service service warm box end string string string string box end section Crvo unit (standard) 154.324 154.32 154.324 151.824 7,700 2008.7 1 cryogenic unit = 13 strings x 4 ML units/string = 52 ML units with string end boxes plus service boxes

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

Table 3.9

Average heat loads per

Outline

• **Introduction:**

• Requirements for SRF from MC-RCS (to be digested)

• **ILC SRF Technology**

• State-of-Art Performance

• **Efforts for High-Gradient and High-Q**₀

- Surface Process
- Material: Nb3Sn
- Traveling Wave

• **Prospect for Future**

State of the Art in High-Q and High-G (1.3 GHz, 2K)

Courtesy: Anna Grassellino - TTC Meeting, TRIUMF, Feb., 2019

- **N-doping** ($@ 800C$ for \sim a few min.) $-$ Q $>3E10$, G = 35 MV/m
- **Baking w/o N** (@ 75/120C)
	- Q >1E10, G =49 MV/m (Bpk-210 mT)
- **N-infusion** (@ 120C for 48h) $-$ Q > 1 E 1 0 G = 45 M V/m
- **Baking w/o N** (@ 120C for xx h) $-$ Q >7E9, G = 42 MV/m
- **EP** (only)
	-

- **High-Q** by **N-Doping** well established, and
- **High-G** by N-infusion and **Low-T baking** still to be reproduced, worldwide.

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

Various Surface Treatment Recipe Baseline 75-deg. Baking Int. Med. T. baking (EP+baking) $-75/120$ EP-1 ($> 100 \mu m$) EP-1 ($> 100 \mu m$) EP-1 ($> 100 \mu m$) \star N-Doped 120C doping \triangle N-Infused 800C HT **THE PRESERVED** Baking 75/120C Heat treatment Heat treatment Heat treatment σ^{2} 10¹⁰ $\overline{\mathsf{N}}$ $(> 800^{\circ}C, 3h)$ $(> 800^{\circ}C, 3h)$ $(> 800^{\circ}C, 3h)$ **Baking** infusion 120C ŲЦ EP A. Grassellino, EP-2(cold EP, TTC Meeting, 2019 EP-2 $(10~20~\mu m)$ EP-2 $(10~20~\mu m)$ $10⁹$ 10 20 30° $\overline{40}$ 50 $10~20~\mu m$) $E_{acc}(MV/m)$ 心 3.2×10^{11} $3x10^{10}$ Modified 120°C baking (75°C step 2.8×10^{10} Heat treatment HPR HPR 2.6×10^{10} prior to 120°C) 2.4×10^{10} $300~400°C$, 3h) Assembly Assembly 2.2×10^{1} $2x10¹$ $G^{0.1.8 \times 10^{10}}$ **ILC** processing $1.6x10^{10}$ 1.4×10^{10} Baking HPR Baking 1.2×10^{10} 1DE3 - 120C 48 hrs $(75^{\circ}C, 4h +$ Assembly (120℃, 48h) 1DE3 - 75C 2 hrs + 120C 48 hrs 10^{10} 120℃, 48h) 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 Ω E. (MV/m)

75/120 bake: A. Grassellino et al.,

 $A. Y$ arxiamoto, 69834

2-step Baking for High-G. & High-Q₀

Courtesy: K. Umemori

- **Low-T EP**
- **75C,4h+120C, 48h Baking**
- **Fast Cooling (in Vertical Test)**

Cavity TE1AES022 post cold EP + 75/120C bake was tested at other labs (while always maintaining vacuum - no disassembly!)

FNAL - Batavia, IL

- Lower branch: ~43 MV/m
- Upper branch $+50$ MV/m $(+210$ mT)!

Jlab - Newport News, Virginia

• Lower and Upper branch obtained

DESY-Hamburg, Germany

• Upper branch: +48MV/m confirmed

KEK - Tsukuba, Japan

• Lower Branch: +45MV/m confirmed

High Gradient and High Q₀ achieved, reported by Fermilab

Sergey Belomestnykh, mini-Workshop on Cavity Performance Frontier, 16-17 February 2021

Superconducting Phases and Applications

SC magnet \rightarrow mixed state w. vortex

- B_{c2} = ultimate lmit for SC magnet
	- NbTi (Hc2, Tc) : 11.5 T, 9.5 K,
	- Nb3Sn (Hc2, Tc) : 21.5 T, 18 K

$SRF \rightarrow$ Meissner state mandatory !

- B_{C1} = upper-limit of Meissner state
	- B_{c1-Nb} : ~ 180 mT
- **B_{sh}** = upper limit of **metastable** Meissner state (ultimate limit for SRF)
	- Bs**sh**-**Nb** : **~ 240 mT** (calculated from GL theory)
	- Bs**sh**-**Nb3Sn**: **~ 430 mT** (calculated from the BCS theory using $B_{ch} = 0.8 B_c$)
	- **1. G. Catelani and J. P. Sethna**, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 224509 (2008)
	- **2. F. Pei-Jen Lin and A. Gurevich**, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 054513 (2012)
	- **3. T. Kubo**, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 033203 (2020)

Recent Progress in SRF Technology

An new concept for SRF proposed: Travelling Wave (TW) vs Standing Wave (SW)

- **Red** standing wave High Peak Fields
- **Green** (acceleration) and **Blue** (Return) Waves are Travelling Waves - Lower peak fields
- Guide blue wave in a return wave-guide to avoid SW peak fields – attached to both ends

Advantages of TW Structures

- **Travelling wave (green) structures lower BOTH** *Hpk/Eacc* **and** *Epk/Eacc*
	- Because RF power returns (blue) not through the accelerating structure (to form a standing wave (red) with harmful peaks)
	- But power returns through a separate return Nb waveguide
- + Travelling wave structures offer 2X higher *R/Q*
	- lowers Cryo power and RF power and lower AC power
- **By choosing the Low-Loss cell shape + reduced aperture (see below) it is possible to lower** *Hpk/Eacc* **by 48% over the TESLA structure!**
- **Opening the door to** *Eacc* **> 70 MV/m !!**
	- *Hpk* = 200 mT, *Epk* = 120 MV/m
- Lower aperture is allowed because bunch charge for 3 TeV will about 3 X less to get acceptable IP background…
- **Putting SRF on the Road to ILC – 3 TeV with Nb**
	- With Capital cost comparable to CLIC 3 TeV and AC power much less than CLIC 3 TeV
	- Without struggling with exotic new superconductors (sorry!)

Possible Consideration and Layered Models

- 120C bake is known to manipulate mean free path at very near surface (~nm) on clean bulk Nb.
- A dirty (doped) layer at the surface seems beneficial in order to increase the quench field above Bc1.

Figure 5. Superheating field of layered structures as functions of d. A. Yamhensonid 2002 40 at a obtained from the self-consistent solutions of the coupled Maxwell–Usadel equations at $T \rightarrow 0$

Surface current is suppressed:

- means an enhancement of the field limit. because of the theoretical field limit to be determined by the current density.
- C.Z. Antoine, et al. APL 102, 102603 (2013).
- T. Kubo et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 032603 (2014).
- A. Gurevich, AIP Advances 5, 017112 (2015).
- T. Kubo, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **30**, 023001 (2017)
- T Kubo, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 34, 045006 (2021

Layered structures: Next

Courtesy: T. Kubo, K. Umemori

• Demonstrate the field-limit enhancement using cavities.

Outline

• **Introduction:**

– Requirements for SRF from MC-RCS (to be digested)

• **ILC SRF Technology**

– State-of-Art Performance

• **Efforts for High-Gradient and High-Q**₀

- Surface Process
- Material: Nb3Sn
- Traveling Wave
- **Prospect for Future**

Prospects for SRF Technology in Future Accelerators

- SRF technology has been **advanced to realize the ILC and future energy frontier accelerators**, based on **Euro-XFEL** successfully constructed and in stable operation since 2017.
- SRF high-G R&D effort may be extended for future upgrades.
	- **Nb-bulk, 40 – 50 MV/m (SW),**
	- **Nb3Sn, > 50 MV/m:** ~ 5 years for single-cell R&D and the following 5 10 years for 9cell cavities in longer time scale, and
	- **Nb-bulk, 70 MV/m (TW)** to be feasible in long-term future.
- The **1.3** GHz, **5** Hz SRF technology with G = **30** MV/m will be applicable for the muon beam acceleration at **MC RCS Accelerator --> Efficient, common synergy**

Prospects for ILC-TeV and beyond

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 34 $| \leftarrow$ Muon Collider $\rightarrow |$

Issues for MC-RCS SRF

- Much higher beam current (2 x 20 mA) and RF power
	- **Fundamental Power Coupler**: power loss to be higher
	- **HOM loss** to be evaluated and the mitigation to be found
- SRF CMs to be distributed along RCSs, as high as possible
	- Resulting RF **filling factor to be lower**, and
	- **Cryogenics efficiency** to be lower, and **AC plug-power** to be larger
- **Frequency sweep** during RF pulse duration
	- Tunability of the SRF cavity frequency sweep
- Gradient: 30 MV/m as of today, and scope for future
- Others?

Summary

- ILC, 1.3 GHz, pulsed SRF Cavity technology with 30 MV/ may be applicable for the MC RCS SRF.
- Further studies are necessary for:
	- **Gradient** and the limit, for SRF station faction to be smaller,
	- Fundamental **Input Coupler** to allow high beam current (2x20 mA)
	- **HOM** loss to be verified and the solution to be settled.
	- **Frequency sweep** availability with $\Delta F \sim 2kHz$ during beam pulse
	- Optimization of **# RF and Cryogenics units**/station to be a main issue
- The synergy to be maximized between ILC and MC will be anticipated

Appendix

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 37

Muon Collider Collaboration – Annual Meeting held at CERN, 11-14 Oct. 2022

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1175126/

The first Collaboration Meeting of the Muon Collider Study will take place from October 11 to October 14, 2022. The meeting will be held in person, at CERN. We are monitoring sanitary restrictions, and will consider alternative options at a later stage, if required.

We plan to cover at the meeting all areas of study and development, allowing ample time for both plenary and parallel sessions.

The main goal of the meeting will be to assess the progress of the study and to define the future work programme, in particular regarding how we will share the tasks among all Collaborators. This will include the organization of the MuCol Design Study for which we just received a positive answer from the EU.

This meeting is also supported by MUST, the MUon collider STrategy network, a part of the I.FAST European project. A specific objective of MUST is to review advances and promote collaboration on the moun source.

The Collaboration Board will meet for the first time at the Collaboration Meeting, and start activities within the scope of the study.

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12

A. Yamamoto, 2022/10/12 43