





Reports of the working groups Acceleration and collider

by Antoine Chance (CEA Paris-Saclay) and Christian Carli (CERN)

Acknowledgements: F. Batsch, H. Damerau, David Amorim, Kyriacos Skoufaris, Fulvio Boattini, Max Topp-Mugglestone, I. Karpov, Elias Metral, Scott Berg, Luca Bottura, Alexej Grudiev, Daniel Schulte



High Energy Complex working group



- Acceleration to high energy (after rec. linacs)
- Pulsed synchrotrons challenging
 - Very fast magnet ramping (power, eddy ..)
 - Orbit variations with fixed SC and cycled NC magnets
 - Circumference variations and longitudinal dynamics
- FFAs (vertical) an alternative
- Collider ring
 - Very challenging conditions for lattice
 - Small β^* , short bunches, large energy spread..
 - High energy, neutrino radiation
 - Chromatic effects and compensation
 - Iterations with WGs on magnet design, beam loss, MDI, radiation protection ..

Magnet cycling considerations	Fulvio Boattini
40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN	14:00 - 14:20
RF cycling considerations	Mr Fabian Batsch 14:20 - 14:40
Collider ring lattice proposal K 40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN	yriacos Skoufaris 14:40 - 15:00
Neutrino radiation for a realistic collider 40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN	Christian Carl 15:00 - 15:20
	Magnet cycling considerations 40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN RF cycling considerations 40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN Collider ring lattice proposal 40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN Neutrino radiation for a realistic collider 40/S2-D01 - Salle Dirac, CERN

Wednesday 14:00 6/R-012 Thursday 14:00 40/S2-D01

Dedicated sessions on Wednesday and Thursday afternoon

Contributions to and interaction with many WGs (MDI, magnets, beam loss, RP, RF ..)



Rapid Cycling Synchrotron



Detailed parameter table: <u>https://cernbox.cern.ch/index.php/s/I9VpITncUeCBtiz</u>

• Chain of rapid cycling synchrotrons, counter-rotating μ^+/μ^- beams \rightarrow 63 GeV \rightarrow 0.31 TeV \rightarrow 0.75 TeV \rightarrow 1.5 TeV (\rightarrow 5 TeV)



- Hybrid RCSs have intersecting normal conducting (NC) and superconducting (SC) magnets
- The studies presented aim to determine the RF (cavity) and lattice parameter (number of RF stations, momentum compaction factor,...)



RCS2: Case SC first with 5 dipoles and 208 cells





That is possible to get a path length variation of about 1 cm. However, the cell is very compact.

Although the energy ramp is quasi-linear, the synchronous phase varies by more than 10 degrees.

The voltage is assumed to be constant in the cavity.

A. Chance, Muon Collider collaboration meeting, 11-14 October 2022





Muon Accelerator Power Supply System. Two harmonics circul Muchanistical Collaboration Simple and generic circuit with double harmonics and active filter. Two capacitor banks tuned to two different resonating frequencies Charger Two close-only switches that can be activated synchronously or asynchronously. Possibly based on semiconductor tech. Two branches contribute to time(s) the total magnet current

Magnet cycling



Courtesy: Fulvio Boattini

Multi-step optimization: Bref calculation, Circuit parameters, optimization of free oscillation, Active Filter contribution





Synchrotron tune and number of RF stations (TESLA-like cavities)



CollaborationCourtesy: Fabian BatschResults for each RCS and with and without induced voltage / intensity effects:
RCS1, $\Delta \varepsilon$ (std)RCS2, $\Delta \varepsilon$ (std)RCS3, $\Delta \varepsilon$ (std)





Beam transport though the RCS chain: Evolution of bunch parameter



How do the bunch length, energy spread and emittance evolve when propagating the bunch through not one, but all RCS?





Mode stability prediction Transverse stability in RCS 1



Courtesy: David Amorim

- Stability threshold (single turn) $R_{s}^{}/Q$ ~ 100 [MΩ/m] / f² [GHz²]
- This HOM at 2.45 GHz:
 - § $[R_s/Q]_{threshold}$ = 100/2.45² = 16.7MΩ/m § $[R_s/Q]_{total}$ = 2.1 MΩ/m
- HOM below the predicted stability limit by factor 8

Stability limit versus resonator parameters





Alternatives to RCS vFFA



B Of/B Od ratio

Courtesy: Max Topp-Mugglestone



 Promising steps towards an analytic model of the vFFA have been made!

A. Chance, Muon Collider collaboration meeting, 11-14 October 2022



10 TeV collider



Collaboration Courtesy: Kyriacos Skoufaris 10TeV Muon Collider

Parameters	Symbol	\mathbf{Unit}	10TeV com mc			
Particle energy	E	${ m GeV}$	5000			
Particle momentum	P_0	${ m GeV}~{ m c}^{-1}$	5000			
Luminosity	${\cal L}$	$10^{34}~{ m cm^{-2}~s^{-1}}$	20			
Bunch population	N_p	10^{12}	1.8			
Transverse normalized rms emittance	$\varepsilon_{nx} = \varepsilon_{ny}$	$\mu{ m m}$	25			
Longitudinal emittance $(4\pi \sigma_E \sigma_T)$	ε_l	eVs	0.314			
Rms bunch length	σ_z	mm	1.5			
Relative rms energy spread	δ	%	0.1			
Beta function at IP	$\beta_x^\star = \beta_y^\star$	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$	1.5			
Beam power with 10 Hz repetition rate	$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{beam}}$	MW	14.4			



att in it



Collider: a tremendous chromatic correction scheme

40 50 60

s [m]

Courtesy: Kyriacos Skoufaris



10TeV Muon Collider - Arc



10TeV Muon Collider - Chromatic Correction Scheme





12

300

20

50 60

s [m]



Courtesy: Christian Carli

Mu Onternational Minternational Minternational

Numerical evaluations Simple cases



Divergence of muon beam neglected, peak dose rate

• Straight section Δs , using $C = 2\pi \gamma E_{r_{\mu}} / (ceB)$ and $L_s \approx 2Rh$ with $R_s \approx 6.38 \cdot 10^6 \text{ m}$ the earth radius

 $\frac{dH_s}{dt} = \left(6.85 \cdot 10^{-33} \frac{\text{Sv}}{\text{T}}\right) f_r N_s \left(\frac{E}{5 \text{ TeV}}\right)^3 \frac{\Delta s B}{h} \quad \text{for beam energies around } E \approx 5 \text{TeV}$

Bending magnet – integration w.r.t ≤ using 𝔅_µ −𝔅(𝔅) – (𝔅𝔅) – (𝔅𝔅) − (𝔅𝔅) − (𝔅𝔅) − (𝔅𝔅)

 $\frac{dH_{\mu}}{dt} = \left(6.85 \cdot 10^{-11} \frac{\mathrm{Sv}}{\mathrm{T}}\right) f_{\mu} N_{\mu} \left(\frac{E}{\mathrm{STeV}}\right)^{2} \frac{B}{h} \int d\mathrm{sexp} \left(-3 \left(\frac{\mathrm{eBc}}{E_{\mu}}s\right)^{2}\right) = \left(2.47 \cdot 10^{-11} \mathrm{Sv} \mathrm{m}\right) f_{\mu} N_{\mu} \left(\frac{E}{\mathrm{STeV}}\right)^{2} \frac{B}{hB}$

h (m) | L_e (km)

 Integrated peak equivalent dose per muon beam for one year operation (5000 h = 18 10⁶ s) without mitigation measures

ne year ures Mitigation mandatory!! H_e (m Sv) H_B (m Sv)

 $N = 1.8 \cdot 10^{12}$ muons per bunch, $f_r = 5 \text{Hz}$ repetition,

E≈5TeV beam energy, C=10000km circumference and

B-10.42T average field

for As = 0.3 m for B = 8 T 35.7 3.5 0.52 100 200 50.5 1.75 0.26 500 79.7 0.70 0.105 784 100 0.45 0.067

equivalent dose from arc cell at 100 km
 equivalent dose from arc cell at 100 km
 integrals evaluated for present (work in progress by K. Skoufaris) 10 TeV collider arc half cell
 in collider mid-plane as function of \mathcal{G}_{H} (i.e., $\mathcal{G}_{V} = 0$) for one year (5000 h operation)

Numerical evaluations



Wobbling: first proposals to generate some parabola pieces with a cycled vertical dipoles.

A. Chance, Muon Collider collaboration mee





- Overall the 10 TeV collider requires larger apertures than the 3 TeV collider with Tungsten at 300 K
- Muon decay helps gaining some margin on the minimum chamber radius required for transverse stability A. Chance, Muon Collider collaboration meeting, 11-14 October 2022



Conclusion Pulsed synchrotron and FFA



- The design of resistive magnets has begun. Shaping correctly the reference field ramp is essential to optimize the maximum power to be delivered. Optimization with RF has started.
- First longitudinal studies show that 1.3 GHz TESLA Cavity is suited for muon acceleration in the RCSs. Short-range wakefields and beam loading are huge but seem manageable. A large number of RF stations is needed to ensure a sufficiently low synchrotron tune between the stations. If done, beam is transported with % level emittance growth in each RCS.
- A parametric model is under development to make easier the coupling between magnet, RF, optics, stability constraints.
- One Low losses SRF cavity was investigated from transverse stability for RCS1. The most critical HOM remains below the stability threshold with a margin factor ~8 for this single mode.
- Analytic vFFA modelling is close to a solution under a set of approximations and to be applied to a muon ring resign.
 A. Chance, Muon Collider collaboration meeting, 11-14 October 2022





- Extensive use of combined function magnets with independent control of their multipolar components in order to evenly distribute the muon decay products and to minimize the collider length.
- Different designs for the Final Focusing scheme that aim to mitigate the BIB. The BIB reduction due to dipolar components was so far found lower than expected, but the study is still ongoing.
- The Chromatic Correction scheme has been designed. Arc design with Flexible Momentum Compaction cells that control the momentum compaction factor, the linear chromaticity and the 2π closing of the trajectory with independent knobs.
- Dose generated at earth surface due to showers generated by nu interactions is a serious issue.
- Time-dependent vertical deformation of the whole arc proposed as mitigation measure.
- With a 100-turn damper transverse beam stability would require at least 25 mm radius with Tungsten at 300 K for the 10 TeV collider.



Next steps



• A lot of parameters for the RCS need to be optimized:

- Decay rate: RF voltage and ramp rates better distributed between the different rings
- Synchronous phase \Leftrightarrow higher voltage \Leftrightarrow emittance budget.
- Beam optics: dedicated arc cells integrating RF cavities.
- Magnet's ramping functions to reduce power consumption.
- Better Matching between the different rings to reduce emittance growth.
- The transverse stability study will continue (more detailed cavity models, same studies for other RCS, adding counter-rotating beam, impact of chromaticity correction and needs for mitigation).
- Completion of vFFA analytic tools to go to a design of vFFA ring.
- Magnet design for FETS-vFFA ring has been carried out. Prototype magnet due for construction over the next few months.





Next steps Collider



- The next steps for the collider is to refine the location of the straight sections, the Final Focusing design for a better control of the Beam Induced Background and the Chromatic Correction scheme.
- Estimation of key parameters as well their tolerances for the: minimum aperture, maximum allowed magnetic fields, maximum beta values out of the IR, chromaticity values, etc...
- Feasibility of the Time-dependent vertical deformation to be studied.
- Collective effects: Include additional instability mitigation measures to help reach tighter chamber radii (positive chromaticity, Landau damping with octupoles).Simulate a more detailed vacuum chamber when available. Include the second, counter-rotating, beam effects. Investigate other potential shielding materials
- Collider and RCS relie on a close interaction between WP2/5/6/7.
- A lot of technical issues are addressed but still a lot of work before saying that such a complex is feasible but that is why MuC is motivating ;-).





Note the second second



Thank you for your attention













MuCol Milestones



Milestone	Milestone name	Related work	Due date (in	Means of verification
number		package(s)	month)	
5.1	Mini-Workshop with pulsed	5.1, 5.3	12	Minutes of the workshop
	magnets			
5.2	Preliminary design of the	5.2	18	Optics files
	interaction region			
5.3	Preliminary design of the collider	5.2	18	Optics files
5.4	Preliminary design of the pulsed	5.3	18	Optics files
	synchrotrons			
5.5	Preliminary design of the FFA	5.3	24	Optics files
5.6	Impedance budget in the collider	5.4	24	Dataset
	and pulsed synchrotron			

A. Chance, Muon Collider collaboration meeting, 11-14 October 2022



- - - - cario



Parameters and tools: RF – The TESLA cavity



From design report

- Studies are based on the 1.3 GHz Tesla cavity (design report: Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 3, 092001, 2000)
 - \rightarrow see <u>talk</u> by A. Yamamoto
- Relevant beam parameter
 - Bunch population 2.54x10¹², \mathcal{E}_{L} =0.01 eVs \rightarrow large intensity effects
 - Bunch current 20.4 / 18.8 / 10.0 mA \rightarrow 2x430 kW per cavity

HOM couple

- 700 / 374 / 532 cavities in ring, distributed over n_{RF} RF stations (with 30 MV/m accelerating gradient)
- Synchronous phase 45° (above transition: $\gamma_{tr} = 20.41$, 600 < γ < 14200)
- TESLA Cavity parameter (9 cells, L=1.06 m):
 - $f_{\rm RF}$ = 1.3 GHz \rightarrow harmonic number h = 25957 to 46367
 - $R/Q = 518 \Omega$, total $R_s = 306 G\Omega$
 - Gradient 30 MV/m



 $Q_{\rm L}$ = 2.2e6 (for beam loading compensation with Δf = 320 Hz)

Table 2: TTF cavity design p	arameters. ^a
type of accelerating structure	standing wave
accelerating mode	TM_{010} , π mode
fundamental frequency	1300 MHz
design gradient E_{acc}	25 MV/m
quality factor Q_0	$> 5 \cdot 10^9$
active length L	1.038 m
number of cells	9
cell-to-cell coupling	1.87 %
iris diameter	70 mm
geometry factor	270 Ω
R/Q	518 Ω
$E_{\rm peak}/E_{\rm acc}$	2.0
$B_{\rm peak}/E_{\rm acc}$	4.26 mT/(MV/m)
tuning range	\pm 300 kHz
$\Delta f / \Delta L$	315 kHz/mm
Lorentz force detuning at 25 MV/m	$\approx 600 \text{ Hz}$
Q_{ext} of input coupler	3.10^{6}
cavity bandwidth at $Q_{\text{ext}} = 3 \cdot 10^6$	430 Hz
RF pulse duration	$1330 \ \mu s$
repetition rate	5 Hz
fill time	$530 \ \mu s$
beam acceleration time	$800 \ \mu s$
RF power peak/average	208 kW/1.4 kW
number of HOM couplers	2
cavity longitudinal loss factor \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} for $\sigma_z = 0.7 \text{ mm}$	10.2 V/pC
cavity transversal loss factor \mathbf{k}_{\perp} for $\sigma_z = 0.7 \text{ mm}$	15.1 V/pC/m
parasitic modes with the highest impedance : type	TM ₀₁₁
$\pi/9$ $(R/Q)/$ frequency	$80 \ \Omega/2454 \ \mathrm{MHz}$
$2\pi/9$ $(R/Q)/$ frequency	$67 \ \Omega/2443 \ \mathrm{MHz}$
bellows longitudinal loss factor \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} for $\sigma_z = 0.7 \text{ mm}$	1.54 V/pC
bellows transversal loss factor \mathbf{k}_{\perp} for $\sigma_z = 0.7 \text{ mm}$	1.97 V/pC/m

Courtesy: Fabian Batsch

From design report



Parameters and tools: General parameter



Courtesy: Fabian Batsch

Detailed parameter table: <u>https://cernbox.cern.ch/index.php/s/I9VpITncUeCBtiz</u>

		13 M Basic data		Symbol Unit Valu		Stage 1 Value	Stage 2 Details Value	itage 2 Stage 3 Value Details Value		
	RCS1→314 GeV	RCS2→750 GeV	RCS3→1.5 TeV	15 Particles 16 Costs 17 Type 18 19 Dynamics 20 Acceleration time	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- M€ -	μ RCS	μ hybrid RCS	25	μ hybrid RCS 2.37
Circumference, $2\pi R$ [m]	5990	5590	10700	22 Injection energy 22 Ejection energy 23 Energy ratio 24 Momentum at ej 25 Momentum at ej	E _{ini} E _{il} E _{il} /E _{nj} p/c p/c	[MeV]/u [MeV]/u MeV/c MeV/c	63000 313830 define 4.98 63106 313935	31383 ed by nº 75000 2.3 31393 75010	0 0 19 15 16	750000 1500000 2.00 750106 1500106
Energy factor, $E_{\rm ej}/E_{\rm inj}$	5.0	2.4	2.0	Vumer of turns Planned Survival rate Accel, Gradient, linear for survival Required energy gain per turn J	N _{tel} N _{tel} N _{tel} N _{tel} G ΔE	[MV/m] [MeV]	17 0.9 0.9 2.44 14755	0.9 0.8 1.3 793	9 1 13 30	0.9 0.729 1.06 11364
Repetition rate, f _{rep} [Hz]	5 (asym.)	5 (asym.)	5 (asym.)	³² Transition gamma ³³ Injection relativistic mass factor ³⁴ Ejection relativistic mass factor ³⁵ Injection v/c ³⁶ Ejection v/c	$\begin{array}{c} & \gamma_{ss} \\ & \gamma_{ssi} \\ & \gamma_{si} \\ & \beta_{bsi} \\ & \beta_{si} \end{array}$	- - %	20.41 597 2971 0.9999986 0.999999943	20.4: 297 709 0.99999994 0.999999990	1 1 19 13 11	~30 7099 14198 0.9999999901 0.9999999975
Number of bunches	1μ+, 1μ-	1μ⁺, 1μ⁻	1μ⁺, 1μ⁻	Parameter Classical RCS Radius Radius Circumference Circumference Ratio A Pack fraction	R 2πR B _{j+1} /B _i ?	(m) (m) -	953.3 5990	953. 599 0.6	.3 0 1 31	1703.0 10700 1.79 0.628
Bunch population	2.5x10 ¹²	2.3x10 ¹²	2.2x10 ¹²	Bend radius Tot. straight section length Injection bending field (average) 7 RF Re Systems	ρ ₈ L _{str} B _{ini}	m [m] [T]	581.8 2334.7 0.36 TESLA	581.0 2335.7 1.8 TESL/	8 7 10	1070.2 3975.7 2.34 TESLA
Survival rate per ring	90%	90%	90%	77 Main RF frequency 78 Harmonic number 79 Revolution frequency ej 80 Revolution period 81 Max RF voltage	f _{RE} h f _{NV} Trev V _N	[MHz] [kHz] [µs] [GV]	1300 25957 50.08 20.0 20.87	1300 25957 50.08 20.1 11.2:) 7 B 0 2	1300 46367 28.04 35.7 16.07
Acceleration time [ms]	0.34	1.04	2.37	RF Filing factor Number RF stations Cavities Peak Impedance	· · · ?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.4 Around 50 9-cell 696	0,4 Around 50 9-cel 37/	1) 4	0.45 Around 50 9-cell 536
Number of turns	17	55	66	Gradient in cavity Average energy gain per total straight Accelerating field per total straight Accelerating field gradient, with FF Stable phase Comparison before mm model, afte	$\Delta E/L$ $\Delta E/L$ $\Delta E/L$ $\Delta E/L$ Φ_{5}	[MV/m] [MeV/m] [MeV/m] [MV/m] [°]	30 6.3 8.9 22.3 45 69.40	30 3.4 4.8 12.(4.4	1 8 0 5	30 2.9 4.0 9.0 45 331 72
Energy gain per turn, ∆E [GeV]	14.8	7.9	11.4	 Longitudinal emittance (gt * 402) Longitudinal emittance (gt * 402) Longitudinal emittance (phase space area) Injection bucket area Ejection bucket area Bucket area reduction factor 	$ \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{t}_{0} \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}^{t}_{0} \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{n,bij} \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{n,cj} \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{B,Aj} \\ \boldsymbol{A}_{B,Aj} \end{array} $	[eVs] [eVs] [eVs] [eVs]	0.0257.5 Me 0.079 0.62 1.37 0.172	eV m 0.025 0.075 1.01 1.56	5 9 1 6 2	0.025 0.079 1.40 1.97 0.172
Acc. gradient for survival [MV/m]	2.4	1.3	1.1	 99 Horizontal betatron tune 100 Vertical betatron tune 101 Average horizontal Twiss beta 102 Average vertical Twiss beta 103 Injection synchrotron frequency 	Q _h Q _y βh βv f _{Simi}	- [m] [kHz]	10 10 76.33	10 10 25.0	0 7	10 10 14.53
Acc. field in RF cavity [MV/m]	30	30	30	Indection synchrotron frequency Instantian Synchrotron tune Q, Election synchrotron tune Q,	Isad Isad Inco Isad Inco	[kHz]	34.20 1.52 0.68	16.22 0.50 0.37	2	10.27 0.52 0.37
Max RE voltage for $\phi = 45^{\circ}$ [GV]	20.0	11.2	16.1					22	للقبنيت	and the second se