

TCAD Simulation of AC-LGAD

Mohammad Nizam*,

T. Shin, A. Seiden, B. Schumm, H. Sadrozinski, S. Mazza, J.Ott, E. Ryan, M. Wong, Y. Zhao (SCIPP, UCSC)

RARE PION DECAY WORKSHOP – UCSC

10/07/ 2022

M. Nizam, SCIPP

Outline

Motivation

> 2D Simulation in Silvaco Victory device

Comparison with the test beam data

> 3D Simulation in Synopsis Sentaurus

Effect of strip length

Gain Suppression study in Sentaurus

Motivation

Technology Computer Aided Design (TCAD) extensively used in semiconductor industry

□ The goal of TCAD simulation of LGADs is to reproduce the existing results from the test beam data and optimize various parameters (e.g., N+ sheet resistance, bulk thickness, pitch size, strip size etc) for the PIONEER to provide input to the production.

2D Simulation

AC-coupled Low Gain Avalanche Diode (AC-LGAD)

- □ A variant of LGAD with an insulating layer between the read-out pads/strips and the N+ layer.
- □ N+ layer is contacted only by a separate grounding electrode.
- □ The signal on the metal pad/strip is a mirror image of the charges reaching the N+ layer.



Simulation Framework

□ 2D Silvaco[©] Victorydevice

Impact Ionization = Grant (has a low-field, an intermediate-field, and a high-field region, there is no temperature dependence)

□ Mobility Models:

- Conmob (the concentration dependent mobility model)
- Fldmob (the lateral electric field-dependent mobility model)
- $\square Recombination model = Shockley Read Hall Recombination model (SRH)$
 - Method = Newton (Nonlinear solutions are obtained using the Newton method)
- Charge deposition (MIP) using singleeventupset method (80 e/h pairs per micron)

 \Box X-mesh size = 5 um

□ Y-mesh size= 0.01 um – 1.0 um

Simulated Device Parameters

| | Device 1 | Device 2 | Device 3 |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Pitch (um) | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| Metal size (um) | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Channels | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Oxide layer (nm) | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Nitride layer (nm) | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Bulk thickness (um) | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Doping Profiles | FBK/BNL | FBK/BNL | FBK/BNL |

Doping profiles (per cm³): Gain Layer and the N+ layer (Bulk= 50um, metal = 80 um, Pitch = 200 um



M. Nizam, SCIPP

Simulated signal pulses: Bulk=50 um, Metal=80 um, FBK doping profiles



M. Nizam, SCIPP

Simulated signal pulses: Bulk=50 um, Metal=80 um, BNL doping profiles



Comparison with the test beam data



Test Beam data analysis by Marcus Wong

Test Beam data analysis by Jennifer Ott

Pitch = 100um, 150um, 200um

Simulation of 120um bulk sensor: N+ sheet resistance = $2k \Omega/\Box$



Bulk=120 um, Pitch=300 um, Metal=100 um, N++ 2k ohm



Sharing between the channels: 120 um bulk, N+ sheet resistance = $2k \Omega/\Box$

| Pitch, metal,MIP LOC | 300,100,ch4 | 200,80,ch4 | 300,100, mid ch4&ch5 | 200,80, mid ch4&ch5 |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Channel ratio | | | | |
| Ch4/ch4 | 9.33E-06/9.33E-06= <mark>1</mark> | 9.25E-06/9.25E-06= <mark>1</mark> | 4.63E-06/4.63E-06= <mark>1</mark> | 4.64E-06/4.64E-06= <mark>1</mark> |
| Ch5/ch4 | 4.66E-07/9.33E-06=0.05 | 9.75E-07/9.25E-06 =0.11 | 4.62E-06/4.63E-06= <mark>0.99</mark> | 4.64E-06/4.64E-06=1 |
| Ch6/ch4 | 4.01E-08/9.33E- 06= <mark>0.004</mark> | 7.84E-08/9.25E-06 =0.008 | 1.23E-07/4.63E-06= <mark>0.026</mark> | 2.26E-07/4.64E-06= <mark>0.05</mark> |
| Ch7/ch4 | | | 1.67E-08/4.63E-06=0.0036 | 3.59E-08/4.64E-06=0.0077 |

3D Simulation @ Synopsis Sentaurus™

--Taylor Shin

Effect of strip length on signal sharing between the neighbouring channels





Effect of strip length on signal sharing between the neighbouring channels



--Taylor Shin

Gain Suppression Study @ Synopsis Sentaurus™

--Yuzhan Zhao

Simulation Setup

For ion track injection, the following scans were simulated:

- Scan over different angles
- Complete horizontal track



Electric Field within the Gain Layer

- Since the gain, or avalanche mechanism, depends on the high electric field in the gain layer region, it would be interesting to study the E-field for various tracks.
- The following plot show the time snapshot of the E-field within the gain layer.
- The E-field decreases as more charges were put in, and the affected location is large for track at angle.
- In the case of horizontal track, the field is generally lower across the entire gain layer.
- NOTE: this process is dynamic, which is not covered in the single time snapshot.



--Yuzhan Zhao

Particle Injection with different energies and at different angles

- One of the tunable parameters of the partcle track is the Linear energy transfer (LET)
- For LET=1.28e-5 pC/um, which corresponds to generated ~80 eh/um
- The following plot shows for vertical track injection (0 degree), the gain is reduced as more charge is injected.
- The difference is more significant at high bias voltage.



3D simulation for localized charge

- Device size : 250 um x 250 um x 50 um
- The change of E-field is much smaller for 3D case??



Summary

□ In 2D TCAD simulation, we have characterized effects of N+ resistivity, strip metal size a, pitch size and substrate thickness.

- Sharing between the neighbouring channels depends on N+ resistivity, pitch size and the bulk thickness.
- □ 3D TCAD simulation gives more realistic results in terms of strip length, but we have benchmark it with the existing test beam results (by Taylor Shin)
- □ It seems Sentaurus can simulate the gain suppression and the work is in progress (by Yuzhan Zhao)

IV characteristics







Sharing between the channels

120um bulk, N+ sheet resistance = $2k \Omega/\Box$

Bulk=120um, Pitch=300um, Metal=100um



Bulk=120um, Pitch=200um, Metal=80um