

Reaction plane alignment with linearly polarized photon in heavy-ion collisions

Xin Wu (吴鑫)

University of Science and Technology of China

State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics

Based on recent work: Phys. Rev. Research 4, L042048 cooperated with Zebo Tang & Wangmei Zha

Outline

1.Introduction

The reaction plane in heavy-ion collisions

Photoproduction process

2.Reaction plane determination

Brief introduction of method

The photoproduction amplitude

The degree of polarization

3.Summary

The reaction plane in heavy-ion collisions

- Quark-gluon plasma (QGP): a strongly coupled plasma.
- Important probes: collective measurement (anisotropic flow, global polarzation, chiral magnetic effect).

Traditional method

• Event plane method:

The event flow vector Q_n and the event plane angle ψ_n :

$$
Q_n \cos(n\psi_n) = X_n = \sum_i w_i \cos(n f_i) \qquad Q_n \sin(n\psi_n) = Y_n = \sum_i w_i \sin(n f_i)
$$

$$
\psi_n = \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_i w_i \sin(n f_i)}{\sum_i w_i \cos(n f_i)}\right) / n
$$

$$
\psi_n = \left(\tan^{-1} \frac{\sum_i w_i \sin(n f_i)}{\sum_i w_i \cos(n f_i)}\right) / n
$$

Multi particle cumulant method:

$$
\langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle e^{in(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \rangle \rangle \qquad \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle e^{in(\phi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3 - \phi_4)} \rangle \rangle \qquad \frac{1}{11}
$$
\n
$$
c_n\{2\} = \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle \qquad c_n\{4\} = \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle - 2 \times \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2 \qquad \frac{2}{\pi} \text{ to } \frac{1}{\pi} \text{ to } \frac{1}{\
$$

A desperate need to build up a direct link between the initial geometry and final collective observables in experiments!

Brief introduction of method

The photoproduction process in heavy-ion collisions

Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 2005. 55:271–310

- Esitmation of the reaction plane: the direction of one of the daughters in the vector meson rest frame and the beam axis. (ideal case: $\Psi_r = \phi$, the resolution $R = \langle \cos(2\Psi_r) \rangle = 0.5.$
- Advantages:
- \checkmark Directly determined by the initial geometry.
- \checkmark Independent of the final anisotropy from medium evolution.
- \checkmark No event by event fluctuation.

The transverse linearly polarized photons

• The induced electric field is almost fully perpendicular to the direction of motion of the heavy nuclei.

• The direction of linear polarization is completely determined by the initial collision geometry→directly probe the initial collision geometry in the experiment.

The photoproduction amplitude

• For realistic case, the transverse spatial photoproduction amplitude distribution can be written as:

$$
\vec{A}(\vec{x}_{\perp}) = (A_x, A_y) = \vec{a}(\omega, \vec{x}_{\perp}) \Gamma_{\gamma A \to VA}
$$

$$
\vec{a}(\omega,\vec{x}_{\perp}) = \sqrt{\frac{4Z^2\alpha}{\omega_{\gamma}}} \int \frac{d^2\vec{k}_{\gamma_{\perp}}}{(2\pi)^2} \vec{k}_{\gamma_{\perp}} \frac{F_{\gamma}(\vec{k}_{\gamma})}{|\vec{k}_{\gamma}|^2} e^{i\vec{x}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{k}_{\gamma_{\perp}}}, \quad \vec{k}_{\gamma} = (\vec{k}_{\gamma_{\perp}},\frac{\omega_{\gamma}}{\gamma_{c}}), \quad \omega_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}M_{V}e^{\pm y}
$$

• The form factor can be obtained by performing a Fourier transformation to the charge density of the nucleus:

$$
\rho_A(r) = \frac{a^0}{1 + \exp[(r - R_{\rm WS})/d]}
$$

Au: $R_{\text{WS}} = 6.38$ fm, $d = 0.535$ fm Pb: $R_{\text{WS}} = 6.62$ fm, $d = 0.546$ fm

The amplitude $\Gamma_{\gamma A \rightarrow VA}$

• The scattering amplitude $\Gamma_{\gamma A\rightarrow VA}$:

$$
\Gamma_{\gamma A \to VA}(\vec{x}_{\perp}) = \frac{f_{\gamma N \to VN}(0)}{\sigma_{VN}} 2[1 - \exp(-\frac{\sigma_{VN}}{2}T'(\vec{x}_{\perp}))]
$$

 $f_{\gamma N \to VN}(0)$ is the forward-scattering amplitude for $\gamma N \to VN$ obtained from
 $\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma N \to VN}}{d\sigma_{\gamma N \to VN}}$ which is well parametrized using world wide data: $\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma N\to V\text{N}}}{dt}$ which is well $\left.\frac{dV}{dt}\right|_{t=0}$ which is well parametrized using world wide data: $t=0$

$$
\left. \frac{d\sigma_{\gamma N \to V\text{N}}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = b_V (X W^{\varepsilon} + Y W^{-\eta})
$$

and σ_{VN} is the total VN cross section:

$$
\sigma_{VN} = \frac{f_V}{4\sqrt{\alpha}C} f_{\gamma N \to VN}
$$

• Thickness function accounting for the coherence length effect:

$$
T'(\vec{x}_{\perp}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho \left(\sqrt{\vec{x}_{\perp}^2 + z^2}\right) e^{iq_L z} dz, \quad q_L = \frac{M_V e^y}{2\gamma_c}
$$

The production amplitude in momentum space

• Considering the observation effect, the production amplitude in coordinate space:

$$
\vec{A}(\vec{x}_{\perp}) = \vec{a}(\omega, \vec{x}_{\perp}) \Gamma_{\gamma A \to VA}(\vec{x}_{\perp}) P_{noH}(\vec{x}_{\perp})
$$

 $P_{noH}(\vec{x}_\perp)$ is the probability that the nucleon with position \vec{x}_\perp would not suffer any hadronic interaction:

$$
P_{noH}(\vec{x}_{\perp}) = (1 - T(\vec{x}_{\perp})\sigma_{NN})^A
$$

• In momentum space, the production amplitude:

$$
\vec{A}(\vec{p}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2x_{\perp} (\vec{A_1}(\vec{x}_{\perp}) + \vec{A_2}(\vec{x}_{\perp})) e^{i\vec{p}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{x}_{\perp}}
$$

 $\overrightarrow{A_1}(\vec{x}_1)$ and $\overrightarrow{A_2}(\vec{x}_1)$ are the spatial amplitude distributions contributed from two nucleus in the transverse plane.

The production amplitude distribution (coordinate space)

• For b=10 fm, Au+Au→Au+Au+ ρ^0 at 200 GeV:

The production amplitude distribution (momentum space)

• For b=10 fm, Au+Au→Au+Au+ ρ^0 at 200 GeV:

Significant difference between A_{x} and A_{y} .

The degree of polarization

• For the coherent photoproduction at y=0, the decay angular distribution:

$$
\frac{d^2N}{d\cos\theta d\phi} = \frac{3}{8\pi} \sin^2\theta [1 + P_\gamma \cos 2\phi]
$$

 P_{γ} is the degree of polarization along the impact parameter:

$$
P_{\gamma} = \langle \frac{A_x^2 - A_y^2}{A_x^2 + A_y^2} \rangle
$$

the resolution of the reaction plane: $R = P_{\gamma}/2$

• For the coherent photoproduction at arbitrary rapidity

$$
P_{\gamma} = \langle \frac{A_x^2 - A_y^2}{\sqrt{\left(A_x^2 + A_y^2\right)^2 - \left(2\text{Re}A_x \text{Im}A_y - 2\text{Re}A_y \text{Im}A_x\right)^2}} \rangle
$$

The resolution vs b

• The calculated resolution of the reaction plane vs impact parameter b:

- \checkmark No preffered linear polarization direction for b=0.
- \checkmark The resolution can approach the limit $R \to 0.5$ for ultraperipheral collisions.
 \checkmark Better than traditional approach (~0.3).
-

The resolution vs p_T **and y**

 \checkmark Remains alomost unchanged in the coherent production region.

 \checkmark No rapidity dependence.

How about J/y

• For vector meson decay to two spin 1/2 daughters, the angular distribution:

$$
\frac{d^2N}{d\cos(\theta)d\varphi} = \frac{3}{16\pi} (1 + \cos^2(\theta)) \left[1 - \frac{\sin^2(\theta)}{1 + \cos^2(\theta)} \cos(2(\varphi - \Phi))\right]
$$

- \checkmark Same trend as ρ^0 0 mesons.
- \checkmark Better resolution but lower cross section.

Summary

- The proposed approach can directly link the collective observables to the initial geometry and is independent of the final anisotropy from medium evolution. No event by event fluctuation in our method.
- The resolution can approach the limit $R \rightarrow 0.5$ for ultraperipheral collisions, better than traditional approach.

Thank You !

Back up

Equivalent Photon Approximation

- Equivalent Photon Approximation(EPA): transverse electromagnetic fields induced by a fast moving nucleus can be regarded as a swarm of photons.
- The inhomogeneous wave equation:

$$
\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}A^{\nu}(x) = j^{\nu}(x), \quad j^{\nu}(x) = \rho(\vec{x})u^{\nu}, \quad u^{\nu} = \gamma(1,0,0,\nu)
$$

• Fourier transformation of the vector potential:

$$
A^{\nu}(k) = -\frac{1}{k^2}j^{\nu}(k) = -2\pi Ze\delta(k \cdot u)\frac{F(-k^2)}{k^2}u^{\nu}
$$

- The field-strength tensor: $F^{\mu\nu}(k) = -i(k^{\mu}A^{\nu}(k) k^{\nu}A^{\mu}(k))$
- The transverse components of the electric and magnetic field:

$$
\vec{E}_{\perp}(k) = -iA^0(k)\vec{k}_{\perp}
$$

$$
\vec{B}_{\perp}(k) = \vec{v} \times \vec{E}_{\perp}(k) = -ivA^0(k)(-k_y, k_z, 0)^T
$$

• In the limit $v \to c = 1$, $|\vec{E}| = |\vec{B}|$, $\vec{E} \perp \vec{B} \perp \vec{v}$: an equivalent swarm of photons!

The equivalent photon spectrum

- The Poynting vector: $\vec{S}(\vec{r},t) = \vec{E}(\vec{r},t) \times \vec{B}(\vec{r},t) = |\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)|^2 \vec{v}$ \dot{v}
- Energy conservation:

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int d\vec{x}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{S}(\vec{r},t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \, \omega \cdot n(\omega)
$$

A partial Fourier transformation of $\vec{E}(\vec{k}, \omega)$ in z-direction:

$$
\vec{E}(z, \vec{k}_{\perp}, \omega) = (Ze) \frac{-i\vec{k}_{\perp}}{v} e^{i\omega z/v} \cdot \frac{F\left(\left(\frac{\omega}{v\gamma}\right)^2 + \vec{k}_{\perp}^2\right)}{\left(\frac{\omega}{v\gamma}\right)^2 + \vec{k}_{\perp}^2}
$$

• The photon flux: $n(\omega, x_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{\pi \omega} |E_{\perp}(\omega, x_{\perp})| = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \omega} |I_{\circ}| d$ $\frac{1}{E}$ $\left| \vec{E} \right|$ $\left(\cos \vec{x} \right)^2$ $=$ $\frac{Z^2 \alpha_{QED}}{Z^2}$ $\pi\omega$ \sim $\pi^2\omega$ J_0 \sim $\left|\vec{E}_{\perp}(\omega,\vec{x}_{\perp})\right|^2 = \frac{2^{-\alpha}q_{ED}}{\pi^2\omega}\left|\int_{\omega} dk_{\perp} k_{\perp}^2 \frac{\sqrt{\nu\gamma}}{(\omega)^2}\right|$ $Z^2\alpha_{QED}$ \int_{0}^{∞} $_{dV}$ $_{L^2}$ $\left(\langle v\gamma\right)^{-1}$ $\pi^2\omega$ $\left|J_0\right|^{i\omega_1\omega_1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega}\right)^2 + b^2$ $\left| \int dk \right| k^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ∞ $\sqrt{y}\sqrt{x}$ $dk_{\perp} k_{\perp}^2 \frac{\langle \cdots \rangle}{\langle \cdots \rangle^2} J_1(x_{\perp})$ $F\left(\left(\frac{\omega}{2N}\right)^2 + k_{\perp}^2\right)$ $(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V})$ $\left.\begin{matrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{V} \end{matrix}\right|$ $+k_{\perp}^2$ | 2 \bigcap $+ k_{\perp}^2$ | $\left(\frac{\omega}{w}\right)^2 + k_{\perp}^2$ \mathcal{V} $+ k_{\perp}^2$ 2 $J1(\nu \perp \nu \perp)$ $+k_{\perp}^2$ | $J_1(x_\perp k_\perp)$ $\left| \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right|$

Hadronic structure of photon

- The physical photon $|\gamma\rangle \approx \sqrt{Z_3}|\gamma_B\rangle + \sqrt{\alpha}|h\rangle$
- In conventional time-independent perturbation theory

$$
\sqrt{\alpha}|h\rangle = \sum_{n} \frac{|n+\rangle\langle n+|H'| \gamma_B\rangle}{\nu - E_n}, \quad \nu - E_n = -\frac{m^2}{2\nu} \qquad \qquad \text{and} \qquad \qquad \text{and} \qquad \qquad \text{and} \qquad \
$$

• Assuming the bare photon does not interact

$$
h\rangle = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{v - E_n}, \quad v - E_n = -\frac{m^2}{2v}
$$
\n
$$
\text{ming the bare photon does not interact}
$$
\n
$$
\langle X|S|\gamma N \rangle = \sum_{V} \frac{e}{f_V} \langle X|S|VN \rangle \qquad \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\right)_{\gamma p \to Vp} = \frac{e^2}{f_V^2} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\right)_{Vp \to Vp}
$$

• The photoproduction process in heavy-ion collisions

