ATLAS detector upgrade strategies



A. Salzburger, CERN for the ATLAS Collaboration ICPP Istanbul II, 20-25 June 2011

Introduction

LHC performance and physics prospects

- luminosity figures and run scenarios
- physics perspectives
- The multi-phase detector upgrade plans
- Phase 0, I, II
- Simulation Strategies & Lessons from Run I
- lessons for future detector design
- lessons on detector understanding
- algorithmic challenges and future technologies
- 2030
- Considerations & Outlook

http://wiki.chemprime.chemeddl.org/index.php/Lattices and Unit Cells with Cultural Connections

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies



some grain of salt ...



(Possible) LHC time-line 2009 Start of LHC - 2009: √s = 900 GeV 2010 Run 1: √s = 7 TeV (2012: 8-9 TeV ?), L = 2 x 10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹ 2011 Bunch spacing: 75/50/25 (tests 2011, 2012 ?) ns ~10 fm⁻¹ 2012 2013 LHC shutdown to prepare for design energy and *nominal* luminosity 2014 Run 2: $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, L = 1 x 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ 2015 Bunch spacing: 25 ns? (what to do with electron clouds?) ~50 fm⁻¹ 2016 2017 Injector and LHC Phase-I upgrade to go to *ultimate* luminosity 2018 2019 Run 3: $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, L = 2 x 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ 2020 **Bunch spacing: 25 ns** ~300 fm⁻ 2021 2022 High-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), crab cavities 2023 Run 4: $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV, L = 5 x 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ ... **Bunch spacing: 25 ns** ~3000 fm⁻¹ 2030 ∫ L dt A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies 3

Thursday, June 23, 2011

Possible ATLAS Upgrade time-line

Present ATLAS detector

Many outstanding physics and performance results, improve detector understanding and modeling in simulation

Phase 0

New innermost pixel layer (IBL) removal of Minimum Bias Scintillators, detector consolidation of Muon System, new neutron shielding

Phase I

Under consideration: new pixel detector based on IBL experience, warm miniature forward calorimeter, update of the small muon wheel, trigger adjustments (topological trigger)

Phase II

All new innermost tracking detector, forward calorimeter upgrade, additional trigger and precision chambers in the muon system, extra neutron shielding

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

2009

2010

2011

Few words about the present (1)

2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2018 2019
2018 2019 2020
2018 2019 2020 2021
2018 2019 2020 2021 2022
2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2022

We have a great detector at present details: see talk of N. Benekos

	JUDUCICUI	Number of onannels
	Pixels	80 M
	SCT Silicon Strips	6.3 M
	TRT Transition Radiation Tracker	350 k
	LAr EM Calorimeter	170 k
1	Tile calorimeter	9800
	Hadronic endcap LAr calorimeter	5600
	Forward LAr calorimeter	3500
	L1 Calo trigger	7160
	LVL1 Muon RPC trigger	370 k
	LVL1 Muon TGC trigger	320 k
(MDT Muon Drift Tubes	350 k
1	CSC Cathode Strip Chambers	31 k
	RPC Barrel Muon Chambers	370 k
	TGC Endcap Muon Chambers	320 k

Status: May 13 - 2011

- It is performing in an outstanding way
- operational channels very high for all sub-detectors
- highly efficient data taking and trigger performance
- in general, excellent description of data through simulation

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

Few words about the present (1)

2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2017 2018
2017 2018 2019
2017 2018 2019 2020
2017 2018 2019 2020 2021
 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022
 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2022 2023

We have a great detector at present details: see talk of N. Benekos

	-	1		

Subdetector	Operational Fraction
Pixels	96.9%
SCT Silicon Strips	99.1%
TRT Transition Radiation Tracker	97.5%
LAr EM Calorimeter	99.5%
Tile calorimeter	97.9%
Hadronic endcap LAr calorimeter	99.6%
Forward LAr calorimeter	99.8%
L1 Calo trigger	99.9%
LVL1 Muon RPC trigger	99.5%
LVL1 Muon TGC trigger	100%
MDT Muon Drift Tubes	99.8%
CSC Cathode Strip Chambers	98.5%
RPC Barrel Muon Chambers	97.0%
TGC Endcap Muon Chambers	98.4%

Status: May 13 - 2011

- It is performing in an outstanding way
- operational channels very high for all sub-detectors
- highly efficient data taking and trigger performance
- in general, excellent description of data through simulation

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 ...

2030

Few words about the present (2)

- Highly efficient data taking with present ATLAS detector
- more than 1 fb⁻¹ delivered
- Excellent physics results published
- presented elsewhere
- 2011 is first year with significant pile-up
- very important experience for upgrade scenarios
- heavy ion run was first very-high occupancy test of the ATLAS setup



Few figures about the present (3)



see talk of N. Benekos

from great performance studies



7

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

Thursday, June 23, 2011

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2030

...

Few figures about the present (3)



Thursday, June 23, 2011

...

Main motivations for detector upgrade

- Physics motivation for machine upgrade (simplificent)
- the Higgs agenda (simplified):
 - LHC: - discovery, measure mass & width
- HL-LHC:
 cross sections, BR, CP & spin, ...

Higgs self-coupling

 the SUSY agenda: extend reach for squark/gluino search properties of SUSY particles



2030

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

- Consequences for ATLAS
- harsh radiation environment, still performance needs to be at least comparable to current ATLAS (b-tagging, forward jet reconstruction, trigger ...) $V(\eta_H) = \frac{1}{2}m_H^2\eta_H^2 + \lambda v \eta_H^3$

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

Phase 0 - LHC Conditions & ATLAS Plans LHC consolidation phase 2009 2010 Complete quench protection system (QPS) 2011 Shutdown: 18 months 2012 2013 2014 2015 Removal of Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators 2016 2017 New neutron shielding on toroid end-cap 2018 2019 Detector consolidation in Muon System 201 2021 New quarter panels for the pixel system 2022 2023 Replacement of beam pipes, installation of new innermost pixel layer 2030 R = 122.5 mm **Pixels** = 88.5 mm **Pixels** 50.5 mm = 0 mr

A. Jaiznuiyei - IVEE IIIstainnui II - ATLAJ QETECTOP Unyiane strategies

. . .

The Insertable B-Layer (IBL)

CERN-LHCC-2010-013

Excellent vertex detector performance is crucial

- improvement heavy flavor tagging, primary & secondary vertex reconstruction/separation
- Additional innermost layer will boost tracking performance
- adds additional redundancy of the detector in case of radiation damage
- Replacement is technically very challenging
- ATLAS strategy: insert a completely new layer at smaller radius
- needs decrease of beam pipe radius





A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

IBL: a technological challenge

- Additional layer inserted increases material budget
- sensor and support material needs to be minimized
- the detector has to be powered, read-out and cooled

New stave design with carbon foam structure

- low material budget, while building an excellent heat path to cooling pipe





IBL: a technological challenge

- Additional layer inserted increases material budget
- sensor and support material needs to be minimized
- the detector has to be powered, read-out and cooled

New stave design with carbon foam structure

- low material budget, while building an excellent heat path to cooling pipe





IBL: Sensor & readout technology

2009 planar pi 2010 2011 2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2030





- prototypes with 150-250 µm thickness ordered, delivered & being tested
- 50 x 250 µm pixel size
- well parameterised dose dependence (tested to 2 x 10¹⁶ n_{eq}/cm²)

New FE-I4 readout chip

- delivered and performing well
- will also set standard for Phase I

Diamond sensor technology postponed to later phases.

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies



- double-sided 3D layout
- prototype with 230 µm thickness and 200 µm guard has shown good radiation hardness
- low voltage operation after irradiation



IBL: Sensor & readout technology

2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023

planar pixel sensors



- prototypes with 150-250 µm thickness ordered, delivered & being tested
- 50 x 250 µm pixel size
- well parameterised dose dependence (tested to 2 x 10¹⁶ n_{eq}/cm²)

New FE-I4 readout chip

- delivered and performing well
- will also set standard for Phase I

Diamond sensor technology postponed to later phases.

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strate



- double-sided 3D layout
- prototype with 230 µm thickness and 200 µm guard has shown good radiation hardness
- low voltage operation after irradiation



IBL: Performance improvements

- Simulation shows significant improvements
- vertex resolution, secondary vertex finding
- light jet rejection at constant b-tagging efficiency
- IBL studies needed update of track reconstruction (cluster splitting modules)



Phase I - LHC Conditions & ATLAS Plans

- 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 . . .
- Peak Luminosity increasing to 2 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹
- Exp. total int. luminosity: 300-400 fb⁻¹
- Shut-down: about 9 months

Fast Track Trigger for LVL2

Under consideration:

- new small muon wheels
- upgrades to calorimeter electronics and possible new warm miniature forward calorimeter
- trigger enhancements including topological trigger at L1
- new non-IBL pixel detector based on IBL experience

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

New Muon Small Wheels



Higher luminosity will require a significant update of muon forward trigger to reduce fake rate

New Muon Small Wheels

. . .

- Higher luminosity will require a significant update of muon forward trigger to reduce fake rate
- A. Salzburger ICPP Instanbul II ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

New Muon Small Wheels EM El dθ $R, \phi, d\theta$...

- Higher luminosity will require a significant update of muon forward trigger to reduce fake rate

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

New Muon Small Wheels

- More precise trigger in the forward region
- Should leave more space for additional neutron shielding
- R&D ongoing for different chamber candidates:



. . .

2009

2010

2011



A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

The FTK (Fast TracKer project) at Level 2

- Hardware based track finder
- complete global tracking at beginning of LVL2
- massive improvement for b-tagging, τ-identification and lepton isolation



Fast helical track fit on hardware Performed on DSPs (~ 1ns) in an FPGA

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

2009

2010

2011

2012

2021

...

Warm Forward Calorimeter (FCal)

- The liquid Ar forward calorimeter expands to $\eta \sim 4.9$
- very high particle fluxes
- danger of boiling Ar
- Ar⁺ builds up, may cause voltage drops due to fluctuation of ions
- Placing a miniature (warm) calorimeter in front of FCal
- absorbs EM jet component (roughly half of the energy)
- Cu absorbers with diamond detectors
- very radiation hard
- highly segmented readout
- placed around the beam-pipe



2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

Phase II - LHC Conditions & ATLAS Plans

- 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 . . .
- Luminosity levelling: 5 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ ("beam crabbing") (~200 interactions per bunch crossing)
 - 3000 fb⁻¹ good data on tape: high radiation dose to detectors
- Shut-down: 18 months

All new Inner Detector to cope with higher occupancy



 Possible Muon System upgrade, neutron shielding

 Upgrade to the LAr end-cap calorimeter

 major changes to trigger system and detector electronics with possible L1 track trigger

Magnet system, most of muon and calorimeter systems remain

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

Phase II - LHC Conditions & ATLAS Plans



New ATLAS Inner Tracker

- Pixel: current b-layer will suffer from radiation damage
- readout and granularity limitations
- SCT: current detector has readout limitations to 2.5 x 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹
- also radiation damage: SCT designed for 700 fb⁻¹
- TRT: occupancy is getting too high
- starts degrading the momentum measurement performance



Complete replacement of the inner tracking system with a new pixel/strip system.

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

. . .

New ATLAS Inner Tracker: strawman layout

Classical barrel / end-cap detector used for first design studies

4 layers of pixels to larger radius than now3 double-layers of short strips (SCT region)2 double-layers of long strips (TRT region)

Approx. 400 Million pixels (cf 80 Million now) Approx. 45 Million strips (cf 6.3 Million now) Granularity tests show hit occupancy under control for pattern recognition



New ATLAS Inner Tracker: layout considerations

Learning from current experiences

- 1/sin(θ) is not the dominating component of material distribution
- barrel/end-cap transition needs to be carefully designed (if any)
- Avoid long extrapolation distances through (inactive) material
- Profit from positive lessons
 - pattern recognition performance
 - data/MC agreement





New ATLAS Inner Tracker: layout considerations

Efficienc

09

0.7

0.6

0.5

 0.4^{L}_{0}

Fraction of Tracks 520.0 520.0

0.02

0.015

0.01

0.005

1.06

-2.5

01.04 1.02 Electrons

 $p_{\rm T} = 1 {\rm GeV}$

 $p_{\rm T} = 5 \, {\rm GeV} \quad \Box$

 $p_{\rm T} = 100 {\rm ~GeV} {\rm ~\Delta}$

iPatRec

0

15

 $p_{-} > 500 \text{ MeV}, |\eta| < 2.5, n_{-} \ge 1$

Data \s = 7 TeV (Uncorrected)

PYTHIA ND SD DD

Data Stat. Uncertainties

-2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5

MC / Data

2.5

ATLAS Preliminary

NEWT



- 1/sin(θ) is not the dominating component
 of material distribution
- barrel/end-cap transition needs to be carefully designed (if any)
- Avoid long extrapolation distances through (inactive) material
- Profit from positive lessons
 - pattern recognition performance
 data/MC agreement







Thursday, June 23, 2011

2.5

New ATLAS Inner Tracker: conical shapes ?

one prototype is barrel-only setup with conical end-shape

- optimize tracker coverage while minimizing material budget
- barrel/end-cap transition needs to be carefully designed (if any)

first stave prototypes built







2009

2010

2011

2012

2013



2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 . . . 2030

New ATLAS Inner Tracker: Pixel R&D

- Continue planar and 3D R&D based on IBL experience:
- outer layers can work with FE-I4 chip
- one target is thinning sensor to 150 μm
- Several silicon sensors investiaged
- show comparable characteristics
- with high enough bias they give acceptable signal-to-noise at 3000 fb⁻¹
- 3D sensors with active gaps



Diamond sensors (more radiation hard)

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies







NerripTintegration Trackets strips R&D (1) Stripple initegrations for apply ucture



...



2009

ients



Track Trigger at L1

- Option 1: Regional readout at L0 and L1
- Calo/MS could provide region of interest (ROI)
- inner tracker modules are read out and hardware trigger confirms presence of a track candidate
- needs additional data stream in front-end chip



- Option 2: Self-seeded stand-alone
- used paired modules (omit stereo placement)
- read out only coincident modules (high p_T)

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies



Thursday, June 23, 2011

2030

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

Calorimeter Upgrade

- EM Barrel & Tile Calorimeter will work fine: no upgrade
- One concern:

Will cold electronics inside end-cap survive 3000 fb⁻¹? (initially designed for 1000 fb⁻¹)

If so, miniature warm calorimeter in front of the **FCAL at Phase-I** should be enough to fix HV drop, ion build up, and risk of boiling the Ar. If not, need to open up and replace cold electronics (tight within 18 months)



A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

Muon System upgrade considerations



Algorithmic challenges & lessons learned



simulated high luminosity event (generated particles only)

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

Algorithmic challenges: high occupancy

 Many studies carried out on simulated data to test algorithms in high pile-up scenarios



In 2010 we have taken high occupancy data: heavy ion collisions



Thursday, June 23, 2011

. . .

Algorithmic challenges: high occupancy

 Many studies carried out on simulated data to test algorithms in high pile-up scenarios



In 2010 we have taken high occupancy data: heavy ion collisions



Algorithmic challenges: high occupancy

 Track reconstruction showed excellent performance in high occupancy environment

- remarkable description by simulation



Thursday, June 23, 2011

...

Algorithmic challenges: simulation strategies (1)

- Main simulation engine in ATLAS: Geant4
 - upgrade layouts integrated into general ALTAS framework (IBL, Phase-2 Inner Tracker, muon small wheels, etc.)

Alternative, fast track simulation exists for fast detector design studies

- helps to iterate on the different layout concepts
- helps to develop/update pattern recognition modules



Algorithmic challenges: simulation strategies (2)

- high occupancy environment imposes stringent challenge on simulation and pattern recognition
 - mixture of full and fast simulation techniques will be needed
 - careful evaluation of MC techniques used for physics simulation

ATLAS uses both full and fast Monte Carlo techniques

- upgrade project integrated in these efforts
- but also reconstruction needs new concepts (online/offline) **HIERARCHY CPU TIME**



Algorithmic challenges: simulation strategies (2)

- high occupancy environment imposes stringent challenge on simulation and pattern recognition
 - mixture of full and fast simulation techniques will be needed
 - careful evaluation of MC techniques used for physics simulation

ATLAS uses both full and fast Monte Carlo techniques

- upgrade project integrated in these efforts
- but also reconstruction needs new concepts (online/offline)



Algorithmic challenges: use of GPU in HLT

- Current studies ongoing to evaluate the gain from parallelism for upgrade environment
- Level 2 track trigger code ported to use GPUs
 - compare to usage vs CPU in low and high luminosity environment
 - tested on different architectures

 First offline modules also ported to GPUs and show similar performance gains



2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2030

. . .

Conclusions & (obviously) Outlook

- Huge success of LHC data taking let's us look forward to future LHC upgrades (motivated by physics requirements)
- Learned many lessons from current data taking period (Run I)
 - detector is performing very well (p-p and Pb-Pb collision)
 - description of data by simulation is excellent (confidence for design studies)
- ATLAS is planing a multi-phase upgrade program
 - Phase 0 as early as 2013/14, IBL project was a boost to R&D
 - coherent planning for Phase I & II on the way
- Technological challenge to cope with high luminosity environment
 - new hardware components
 - new algorithmic solutions ins simulation/reconstruction
- By today ATLAS has recorded more than 1 fb⁻¹!
 Looking forward to the remaining 2999 and the results they'll bring ;-)

A. Salzburger - ICPP Instanbul II - ATLAS detector upgrade strategies

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2030

. . .













 chose n-in-p (currently p-in-n) : faster signal collection, cheaper production, doesn't need full depletion

(prototype delivered by Hamamatsu)

very good and uniform front end performance (noise, gain, pedestal, threshold); low dead channel count



LHC luminosity increase and leveling



Cavern background

Studies to bring in MDT trigger with coarse readout (25 ns tdc) **at level-1**: The longer L1 latency at sLHC gives time for this -- Sharpens up trigger a lot

Readout electronics of some muon chambers is inaccessible, and suffers if L1 latency goes beyond current 3 μ s. We need to develop a means to store the hits off detector until L1 arrives: e.g. use a local muon trigger

Beryllium beam-pipe in place of aluminium (Phase-0) pipe through calorimeters: big reduction in background rate

Data with LHC-on gives measurement of muon hit rates. Scaling up from 10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ to nominal can be compared to predictions made long ago of the cavern back-ground. Many uncertainties meant we allowed for a safety factor 5 in the muon design...preliminary results suggest

(i) For most of the muon system, actual rate is a bit lower

(ii) For hottest regions, it is 1.6 to 2.4 x higher- hopefully we can confirm soon that most of the muon system will function well



Ratio of actual to predicted muon hit rates, latest simulation and measurment



Beam Pipe Backout reversed turbine* lay out configuration 24



Thursday, June 23, 2011

