

2nd International Conference on Particle Physics in Memoriam Engin Arık and Her Colleagues Doğuş University, İstanbul, Turkey 20 - 25 June 2011





- Motivations
- The CMS experiment
- Physics results :
  - Inclusive b-jets production properties
  - Semi inclusive b->J/Y X production rates
  - Exclusive B decays to J/Y X final states
  - Other heavy hadrons
- Conclusions



## B-Physics @ LHC

#### Heavy Flavour Production:

- high energy scale allows reliable perturbative calculations -> test QCD @ NLO, uncertainties from renormalization and factorization scales
- b-jets closely correlated to original parton

#### Heavy Flavour Properties:

- Top Physics
- Weak Decays and CP violation:

$$B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}, B_{s} \rightarrow J/\psi \Phi, \mathcal{A}_{ll}, \dots$$

#### New Physics Search

- b-jets final states may flag new particle production:  $h \rightarrow b \overline{b}$ ,  $A \rightarrow b \overline{b}$ ,  $Z' \rightarrow b \overline{b}$
- Standard bb production is the main background source



goood

 $\alpha_s^2$ 





h

## The CMS Detector



Observed and the second 
## Physics Results

- Inclusive b-jets production properties:
  - $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b \bar{b} X, b \rightarrow \mu Y)$
  - $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b \overline{b} X)$  with b-tagged jets
  - b-jets angular correlations
- Semi inclusive  $b \rightarrow J/\psi X$ , production rates
- Exclusive B decays to J/Y X final states
  - $\sigma(pp \rightarrow B \rightarrow J/\psi K, J/\psi K_s, J/\psi \phi, J/\psi \Lambda)$
- Other heavy hadrons:
  - $X_{c1,2}$ , X(3872), bottomonium, ...



Not here, due to time constraints



### $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b \,\overline{b} \,\underline{X} \rightarrow \mu \, Y)$

- $p_T(\mu) > 6 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 2.1$
- track-only jet,  $p_T(jet) > 1 \text{ GeV}$ ( $p_T(track) > 300 \text{ MeV}$ , anti- $k_T$ , R = 0.5)
- fit to p<sub>T</sub><sup>rel</sup> (μ/jet) determines relative amounts of *b* signal and (*udsc*) background
- signal shape validated in lifetime-tagged jets





### Results

JHEP 1103 (2011) 09 (85 nb<sup>-1</sup>):

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b \,\overline{b} \, X \rightarrow \mu \, Y) = 1.32 \pm 0.01_{stat} \pm 0.15_{syst.} \pm 0.15_{\mathcal{L}} \, \mu \, b$ 

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathit{MC} @\mathit{NLO}) &= 0.95^{+0.42}_{-0.21 \ scale} \pm 0.09_{m(b)} \pm 0.05_{pdf} \ \mu b \\ \sigma(\mathit{PYTHIA}) &= 1.9 \ \mu b \end{aligned}$ 





## Inclusive x-section for b-tagged jets

- Particle flow jets : "optimal" combination of tracker and calorimetric informations
- Wide acceptance :  $18 < p_T(Jet) < 300 \text{ GeV}$
- Secondary Vertex tag, b-purity ~ 70 %
- Compute ratio of b-jets to inclusive jets, compare to QCD
- CMS-PAS-BPH-10-018:







b-jets angular correlation

- b-jets pair produced
- angular correlation clarifies dominant production mechanism:
  - gluon splitting -> collinear production
  - flavour creation -> well separated jets





# b-jets angular correlation

- b-jets pair produced
- angular correlation clarifies dominant production mechanism:
  - gluon splitting -> collinear production
  - flavour creation -> well separated jets
- use direction primary to secondary vertex to improve angular resolution



 $\Delta R$  , absolute normalization

Ratio to Pythia, normalized  $\Delta R>2.5$ 



# b-jets angular correlation

- b-jets pair produced
- angular correlation clarifies dominant production mechanism:
  - gluon splitting -> collinear production
  - flavour creation -> well separated jets
- use direction primary to secondary vertex to improve angular resolution



JHEP 1103 (2011) 136 (3.1 pb<sup>-1</sup>) : excess of collinear jets wrt NLO-QCD expectation





## **> -> J/Ψ X**

- BR( B -> J/Ψ X ) ~ o(few %)
- $J/\Psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$  clear , easy to trigger signal
- decay length information tags prompt production from B decays
- prompt includes ~ 30% feed-down from higher charmonium excitations







## Results

• Eur.Phys.J. C71 (2011) 1575 (314 nb<sup>-1</sup>) :

**Prompt:**  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow J/\psi X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 70.9 \pm 2.1_{stat} \pm 3.0 +_{syst} \pm 7.8_{lumi}$  nb  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b \overline{b} X \rightarrow J/\psi Y) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 26.0 \pm 1.4_{stat} \pm 1.6 +_{syst} \pm 2.9_{lumi}$  nb

 $\sigma$ (syst) not including 20% uncertainty from unknown polarization





Franco Simonetto Universita' & INFN - Padova

## Results

• Eur.Phys.J. C71 (2011) 1575 (314 nb<sup>-1</sup>) :

**Prompt:**  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow J/\psi X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 70.9 \pm 2.1_{stat} \pm 3.0 +_{syst} \pm 7.8_{lumi}$  nb  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b \overline{b} X \rightarrow J/\psi Y) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 26.0 \pm 1.4_{stat} \pm 1.6 +_{syst} \pm 2.9_{lumi}$  nb







- Sizable  $\mathcal{B}(B \rightarrow J/\psi h) \sim o(\%)$
- Invariant mass & *ct* tag the signal
- Control prompt (random) and non prompt (feed-down, misreconstruction) background with M sidebands
- Measure cross sections in p<sub>T</sub>, y bins





## <u>Bs -> J/Ψφ</u>

- $B_s$  decays provide yet-unexplored bench marks of the SM:
  - CPV in  $B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi\phi$
  - $\mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$
  - CPV in B<sub>s</sub> mixing
- CMS potentially competitive due to superb muon identification
- To date : measurement of

$$\sigma(\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{X}) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow \mathbf{J}/\boldsymbol{\Psi}\boldsymbol{\phi})$$



### $\sigma(pp \rightarrow B_{\underline{s}} X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B_{\underline{s}} \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi); \text{ analysis}$

- $p_T(B_s) > 8 \text{ GeV}, |y(B_s)| < 2.4$
- *J*/ψ (->μμ), φ (->K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>) constrained to a common vertex
- multi stage fit to  $(M_{Bs}, ct)$  measuring event yield, background shape parameters and shape of ct distribution  $c\tau =$



 $c\tau = 478 \pm 26 \,\mu m$ 1.4 $\sigma$ (stat) from PDG W.A.





#### $\sigma(pp \to B_s X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B_s \to J/\Psi \phi) : results$

• CMS prel. (40 pb<sup>-1</sup>,  $p_T(B_s) > 8 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|y(B_s)| < 2.4$ ):

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow B_s X) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B_s \rightarrow J/\Psi \phi) = 6.9 \pm 0.6_{stat} \pm 0.5_{syst} \pm 0.3_{\ell} nb$$

 $\sigma(MC @ NLO) = 4.6^{+1.9}_{-1.7 QCD} \pm 1.4_{B} nb$  $\sigma(PYTHIA) = 9.4 \pm 2.8 nb$ 





## Exclusive Decays : summary

- Similar analysis performed on B<sup>+</sup>,B<sub>d</sub>
- Similar precision, similar consistency wrt MC @NLO





## Exclusive Decays : summary

- Similar analysis performed on B<sup>+</sup>,B<sub>d</sub>
- Similar precision, similar consistency wrt MC @NLO
- $\Lambda_{b}$  (-> J/ $\Psi \Lambda$ ) measurement is on the way





٥

5.4

5.5

5.6

5.7

7 5.8 J/ψ Λ mass [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]

## Exclusive Decays : summary

- Similar analysis performed on B<sup>+</sup>,B<sub>d</sub>
- Similar precision, similar consistency wrt MC @NLO
- $\Lambda_{b}$  (-> J/ $\Psi \Lambda$ ) x-section measurement is on the way
- Comparison with inclusive and semi-inclusive (b–>J/ΨX) measurements will help shedding light on bb production and fragmentation at the LHC



5.5

5.4

5.6

5.7

7 5.8 J/ψ Λ mass [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]





- CMS has measured the cross section for inclusive, semiinclusive and exclusive b-production in 7 TeV pp collisions – *sole experiment* @*LHC to date*
- Results in rough agreement with NLO QCD, albeit with large theoretical (scale, fragmentation,  $\mathcal{B}$ ) errors
- Increasing  $\mathcal{L}$  will improve precision by allowing:
  - further correlation studies
  - polarization measurements
  - closure test :  $\sigma_{inc} = \sum_{i=u,d,s} \sigma(B_i)$
- CPV and rare decays hopefully just beyond the corner

