# Summary from WG5

Angeles Faus-Golfe, IJCLab; Frank Zimmermann, CERN EPOL Workshop 2022, 19 - 30 September 2022

#### 20 September, Tuesday

#### D. d'Enterria, CERN, Physics and operational requirements for monochromatization

- FCC-ee can provide the by far most precise measurement of the e- Yukawa coupling.

- Large background is a concern and can or must be suppressed by suitable cuts and algorithms (e.g. selection of gluon jets) and also by optimizing the monochromatization parameters

→ further work on experimental side and detector simulations

#### A. Blondel, U. Geneva, Measurement of monochromatization parameters

- Plenty of dimuon events contain superb information of collision energy spread with and without monochromatization, and mean energy difference between electron and positron beams

- Suggestion to test the monochromatization scheme early-on during highest-luminosity Z running (impact on optics design and question whether beamline footprint can be held constant)
- → scenario(s) for test during FCC-ee Z operation ; compatibility studies
- → develop proposals for near-future beam tests at DAFNE and / or SuperKEKB !

#### 21 September, Wednesday

#### A. Faus-Golfe, IJCLab, Towards monochromatization optics

- approach: modify the final-focus bending for all energies and add final-focus quadrupoles to achieve the monochromatization

- for operation with crab cavities need to reduce bunch length (different arc optics?) or need to increase betay\*?
- one could also resonantly create dispersion from the arcs (P. Raimondi ; see A. Zholents & F. Ruggiero at LEP)
- $\rightarrow$  study need for crab cavities
- $\rightarrow$  study potential of resonant generation

#### H.-P. Jiang, IJCLab, First draft optics

- draft optics with IP dispersion created by addt'l bends and quad's in the final focus, launch of GP simulations

→ check if synchrotron radiation photon energies would be OK for ttbar running

→ emittances need to be updated for each working point, and both bunch population and IP dispersion should be optimized

→ decreasing betax\* should also be considered

#### P. Raimondi, SLAC, Monochromatization with chromatic waist shift

- monochromatization with chromatic waist shift could be simulated with GuineaPig to explore useful parameter range and possible gain

- other alternative approaches include change of partition number and/or Robinson wigglers
- → simulations and studies on these alternative approaches and combinations thereof

#### 29 September, Wednesday

#### D. Shatilov, CERN, Old Thoughts about Monochromatization at FCC-ee

- Optimization Strategy:
  - 1) Try to achieve small  $\beta_x^*$  and large  $\eta_x^*$ . This is the key point, and it can be done independently of the following two.
  - 2) Choose the arc cell lattice and RF parameters. This will determine the emittances and the bunch length. The latter determines  $\beta_y^*$ . What to watch out for: the synchrotron tune. The RF team and the depolarization team should be involved in the discussion. This process may need to be iterated in conjunction with bunch length optimization.
  - 3) Decide whether we will do crab crossing or not. Crab cavities greatly affect the efficiency of monochromatization.
  - 4) Perform beam-beam simulations in a simplified model: linear lattice without errors. What to watch out for: emittance growth due to beamstrahlung (both horizontal and vertical!). Make a scan of the bunch population to find the optimum. Examine bunch length dependence.
  - 5) Perform beam-beam simulations in a realistic model: nonlinear lattice with errors, misalignments and corrections, residual vertical dispersion at the IP, non-zero orbit at the IP, etc. Again, carry out a scan of the bunch population to find the optimum.

# → crab cavities are an attractive option, giving a factor 2 higher Higgs production rate, and providing more optics flexibility

#### H.-P. Jiang, IJCLab, Analytical calculation of beamstrahlung impact on energy spread and emittance

-This work is continuing

- new parameters for the standard case with 4 IPs
- numerical calculations of monochromatization case with new parameters
- optimisation of bunch population and bunch length
- Guinea-Pig simulation with new emittance and dispersion values with BS
- re- Plot the relation line between energy spread and luminosity in significance contours, then find the best choice of dispersion

#### $\rightarrow$ parameter scan to carry out the optimization

## **Monochromatization Factor**

Similarity between dispersion at the IP and crossing angle

X-coordinate consists of betatron and synchrotron parts. The latter is proportional to either Z or  $\delta$ , which are shifted in phase of the synchrotron oscillations by  $\pi/2$ .

$$\lambda_m = \frac{\sigma_{xs}}{\sigma_{x\beta}} = \frac{\sigma_{\delta} \eta_x^*}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_x \beta_x^*}} - \text{analog of Piwinski angle}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Modification of formulas for } \xi_{\text{x},\text{y}} \text{ and luminosity:} \\ \hline \\ \underline{\text{crossing angle}} \\ \sigma_x \Rightarrow \sigma_x \sqrt{1 + \phi^2} \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{dispersion}}{\sigma_x \Rightarrow \sigma_{x\beta} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_m^2}} \end{array}$$

Suggested name for  $\lambda_m$  – monochromatization parameter (used in articles in the 80s)

Monochromatization factor:  $\Lambda = \sqrt{1 + \lambda_m^2}$ 

But this formula is valid only without crossing angle...

In general case:

$$\Lambda = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda_m^2}{1 + \phi^2 (1 + \lambda_m^2)}} < \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\phi^2}} \qquad \phi = \frac{\sigma_z}{\sqrt{\sigma_{x\beta}^2 + \sigma_{xs}^2}} \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$$

How to decrease  $\phi$  if the crossing angle is fixed? 1) decrease in  $\sigma_z$  and increase in  $\sigma_x$  or 2) switch to crab crossing, which makes  $\phi = 0$ .

#### Strategy for optimization:

- 1) Define the desired value for  $\Lambda$
- 2) Try to minimize  $\beta_x^*$ , then with the given  $\varepsilon_x$  we obtain  $\sigma_{x\beta}$
- 3) Find the required  $\sigma_{xs}$  (i.e. find  $\eta_x^*$  since  $\sigma_{\delta}$  is fixed)

Without crab crossing, larger dispersion is required for the same  $\Lambda$ .

#### **Dmitry Shatilov**

Possible target function to maximize:  $f_H = \frac{L}{\sqrt{\Gamma_H^2 + \sigma_{ecm}^2}}$ 

$$\sigma_{ecm} = \frac{\sqrt{2}E_0\sigma_\delta}{\Lambda}$$
 – center-of-mass energy spread

#### **Dmitry Shatilov**

### **Example from CDR**



The colors correspond to head-on collision with  $\eta_x^* = 15$  cm (red), and collision without crabbing and  $\eta_x^* = 50$  cm (blue).

These plots correspond to CDR with 60°/60° arc cell,  $\beta_x^*$  = 20 cm,  $\beta_y^*$  = 2 mm, and  $\sigma_z$  = 2.4 mm.

In the crab waist collision without monochromatization one can obtain  $f_H = 0.72$  with  $N_p = 3 \cdot 10^{10}$  and  $\sigma_{ecm} = 54$  MeV.