An idea for SuperKEKB photon detector

Aurélien MARTENS

Introduction

SuperKEKB upgrade polarimeter :

- 250Mhz rep-rate laser → 4ns spacing of scattered particles
- Ideally involves both diagnostics on scattered photons and electrons)
- Assume only measure longitudinal polarization



- Initial electron energy (7 GeV)
- Initial photon energy (1.2eV at 1030nm)
- Crossing angle of beams
- Emitted photon energy



22/09/2021

Basic idea of detector

Basic elements

- a VERY FAST radhard scintillating crystal → BaF2
 - Need to filter out the slow component \rightarrow UV optical filters
 - Interesting: Y doping reduces the slow component, but R&D stage
- a PMT with low transit time dispersion \rightarrow commercially available (hamamatsu for instance)
- Associated electronics
- Next step: validate detection scheme

18th International Conference on Calorimetry in Particle Physics (CALOR2018) IOP Publishing IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1162 (2019) 012022 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1162/1/012022



Time (ns)



Ultrafast and Radiation Hard Inorganic Scintillators for Future HEP Experiments

Ren-Yuan Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

E-mail: zhu@hep.caltech.edu

Abstract. Future HEP experiments at the energy and intensity frontiers require fast and ultrafast inorganic scintillators with excellent radiation hardness to face the challenges of unprecedented event rate and severe radiation environment. This paper reports recent progresses in fast and ultrafast inorganic scintillators, such as LTS0:Ce crystals and LaAGCe ceramics for an inorganic scintillators based shashlis sampling caloritheret and yttrium doopd BaFz crystals for the proposed Mu2-eII experiment. Applications of ultrafast inorganic scintillators in Gigahertz band X-ray imaging will also be discussed.

1. Introduction

Inorganic scintillators have been used widely in high energy and nuclear physics experiments, medical instruments and homeland security applications. In high energy physics (HEP) and nuclear physics experiments, total absorption electromagnetic calorimeters made of inorganic crystals are known for their superb energy resolution and detection efficiency for photon and electron measurements [1]. An inorganic crystal calorimeter is thus the choice for those experiments where precision measurements of photons and electrons are crucial for their physics missions.

Among all existing crystal calorimeters, the CMS lead tangstate (PbWO4 or PWO) crystal calorimeter, consisting of 75,848 crystals of 11 m², is the largest. Because of its superb energy resolution and detection efficiency, the CMS PWO calorimeter has played an important role for the discovery of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment [2]. Crystal calorimeters currently under construction are: an undoped Csl calorimeter for the Mu2e experiment at Fermilab. a PWO calorimeter for PANDA at FAIR, a LYSO calorimeter for COMET at JPARC and a PbF; calorimeter the g-2 experiment at Fermilab.

Future HEP calorimeters will be operated under unprecedented luminosity. An important issue is thus the decay time of scintillation light. Table 1 lists the optical and scintillation properties for fast imorganic crystal scintillators with a scintillation decay time ranged from sub-nanoscend to a few tens nanoscend, and compared to plastic scintillator [1]. Among the fast crystals listed in Table 1 the massproduction cost of barium fluoride (BaF2) and undoped CsI crystals is significantly lower than others because of their low raw material cost and low melling point.

Crystal calorimeters for future HEP experiments at the energy frontier face a challenge of severe radiation environment. Significant losses of light output have been observed in the CMS PWO crystals at large rapidity in situ at the LHC caused by both ionization dose and hadrons [3]. Controlling oxygen contamination in halide crystals, e.g. CSLT L, or oxygen vacancies in oxide crystals, e.g. PWO, was found effective [4]. Codping with ythrum and lanthanum was also found effective for (CMS PWO crystals [5]. For experiments to be operated at the HL-LHC with 3,000 th², crystals should survive an environment with an absorbed dose of 100 Mrd, charged hadron luneace of 6×10⁴ p en² and fast

Basic idea of detector

Basic elements

- a VERY FAST radhard scintillating crystal → BaF2, the only solution ?
 - $^{\odot}$ Need to filter out the slow component ightarrow UV optical filters
 - © Interesting: Y doping reduces the slow component, but R&D stage
- a PMT with low transit time dispersion \rightarrow commercially available (hamamatsu for instance)
- Associated electronics



Two options

- Embarked ADC and data processing in the accelerator bay w/transfer link to storage, requires clock (laser also needs it) and bunch identification
- Deported electronics 'à la' lumi with diamonds sensors ? (expensive high BW cables)

Polarization extraction

Offline: fitter

- Not immediate but can be very precise
- Account for every detail of the experiment \rightarrow I start by implementing this step by step



Online: fast approximate/biased extraction

• To be investigated based on HERA work by C. Pascaud et al. (Orsay group)

- A high rep-rate (250MHz) bunch/bunch polarization extraction may be feasible for SuperKEKB
- Requires some experimental validation
- A nearly perfect knowledge of backgrounds is needed
- Some info about Transverse polarization could similarly be retrieved 'a la TPOL of HERA'

Compton cross-section

$$x = \frac{2E_0\omega_0}{m^2}(1 + \cos\theta_0) \quad y = \frac{E_\gamma}{E_0}$$



The Compton cross-section averaged over scattered particles spins:



Assume in the following:

- purely circular laser polarization \mathcal{P}_{C}^{las} : transverse polarization of laser will be a systematic uncertainty or a constrained parameter in the fit
- I also assume for the sensitivities studies performed here that \mathcal{P}_{C}^{las} =1 (or -1)
- I postpone quantitative studies related to imperfect laser polarization to a later stage

Offline fitter: ingredients

Ideally (time consuming, can be part of a PhD project):

- One should probably use a dedicated generator (CAIN most probably)
- Account for every detail of the detection system with a Geant4 reconstruction

However the most important aspects of the simulation are relatively simple to implement in a quick Monte Carlo :

- Compton cross-section (polarization effects included)
- Detector energy resolution
- Finite detector size
- Smearing from the finite electron beam emittance and dispersion (depends on baseline location)
- Data filled in histograms

All these effects can also be fit in a simple binned chi2 (or ML) with ROOT6 and MINUIT2 library

- To start with: I only fit a scale factor and Pz.
- I numerically integrate the Compton cross-section over the detector size and bin width accounting for
 - detector energy resolution
 - horizontal point spread due to finite e-beam sizes
 - Miscalibration (if any)
- I assume that the detector has nominally a square cross-section (despite it may be simpler to implement a cylindrical detector in the end)

I first go through a sanity check that everything goes fine when I assume one and only photon is scaterred per bunch crossing.

This is obviously work in progress !

Detector/e-beam parameters

Detector energy resolution

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \sqrt{\frac{A^2}{E[GeV]} + B^2 + \frac{C^2}{E^2[GeV^2]}}$$

A=10% (conservative ?); B=1% (optimistic ?); C=pile-up, electronics, 0 for now on

Finite detector size

- Detector assumed to be placed at L=30m from Compton IP
- Square section of 25x25 mm²

Electron beam parameters

- Taken at LTL076 (numbers to consolidate)
- Relative energy spread 6.3e-4

$$x_{1} = \sqrt{2\epsilon_{x}u_{1}\beta_{x1}}\cos\varphi_{1} + \eta_{x}\varepsilon_{1}$$

$$x_{1}' = \sqrt{2\epsilon_{x}u_{1}/\beta_{x1}}(-\alpha_{x1}\cos\varphi_{1} - \sin\varphi_{1}) + \eta_{x}'\varepsilon_{1}$$

$$y_{1} = \sqrt{2\epsilon_{y}u_{2}\beta_{y1}}\cos\varphi_{2} + \eta_{y}\varepsilon_{1}$$

$$y_{1}' = \sqrt{2\epsilon_{y}u_{2}/\beta_{y1}}(-\alpha_{y1}\cos\varphi_{2} - \sin\varphi_{2}) + \eta_{y}'\varepsilon_{1}$$

Induces a (gaussian) point spread function at the detector plane:

- $\sigma_D^2 = \sigma_\chi^2 + L^2 \sigma_{\chi'}^2$
- Similarly in y

// at LTL076
double alphaX_ = -2.0120;//homogenous to 1
double betaX_ = 5.10797;//m
double emitX_ = 4.49E-9;//mrad
double etaX_ = .13030;//m
double etapX_ = .04644;
double alphaY_ = 17.5537;
double betaY_ = 120.656;
double emitY_ = 2.8E-13;//mrad
double etaY_ = 8.3E-10;//unit m
double etapY_ = -1.E-10;

 $\sigma_x = 170$ um, $\sigma_{x'} = 73$ urad $\sigma_y = 5$ um, $\sigma_{y'} = 1$ urad

Result



But...

The fit is time consuming but actually too accurate for the situation we may face in data:



I will now try to look at 'degraded' fitters to investigate several effects, keeping in mind that we are able to revert to an accurate fit of MC data (and that maybe a cylindrical geometry is maybe more appropriate)



The (deliberately) wrong assumption about detector geometry mainly biases low energy contibution that is likely to be dominated by backgrounds and will not provide sensitivity.

 \rightarrow Need a full model with realistic backgrounds



Removing the first 10 bins from fit



Confirms that the detector geometry and beam spreads do not impact longitudinal polarization extraction on the largest (and most sensitve part) of the spectrum

Effect of detector energy resolution



Misalignements

Repeat the previous fit but with various misalignments, or angular pointing biases



Need to ensure the beam points towards the center of the detector within 1mm to avoid biasing the polarization more than 0.001

Miscalibration (10% on scale)





Ongoing improvements

Extraction of polarization:

- Upgraded the fit to perform with LUT filled on demand 6s \rightarrow 0.15s/fit
 - Performs well
 - O(2500) estimates in a minute may be done that way on a relatively powerful PC
- Multi-photon contributions included (so far only 2 photon)
- Statistical precision in a minute with a 5W 515nm laser \rightarrow 0.9% for every bunch.
- Next steps
 - Re-estimate systematic uncertainties
 - alignment procedure
 - Backgrounds
 - E-beam jitters ?



Next steps

Waiting for comments on White Report

Continue progressing on extraction of polarization:

R&D:

- Test the concept of a BaF2 detector
- Costing exercice for the laser system

A slightly more detailed look

ok $\frac{d\sigma}{dy}(x,y) \cong \frac{d\sigma_0}{dy}(1+P_LA_{LR})$

Polarization dependent term generates a left-right asymmetry function of E_{γ}

