# CNIS



# Exploring Composite Higgs Models at FCC

Giacomo Cacciapaglia IP2I Lyon, France

### Motivation

- · Composite models 'solve' the Hierarchy problem...
- with new scale in the multi-TeV!





multi-TeV mountain

#### What are we looking for?

- -> Precision EW + Higgs observables
- -> light composite scalars
- -> multi-TeV resonances (top partners, pNGBs, spin-1)

# Composite Higgs models 101



- · Symmetry broken by a condensate (of TC-fermions)
- Higgs and longitudinal Z/W emerge as mesons
   (pions)

Scales:

f : Higgs decay constant v : EW scale  $m_\rho \sim 4\pi f$ 

EWPTs + Higgs coupl. limit:

 $f \gtrsim 4v \sim 1 \,\,\mathrm{TeV}$ 



# Composite Higgs models 101



How can light states emerge?



# The partial compositeness paradigm

Kaplan Nucl. Phys. B365 (1991) 259

we assume:

 $d_H > 1$   $d_{H^2} > 4$ 

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm q}^{d-1}} \mathcal{O}_H q_L^c q_R \qquad \Delta m_H^2 \sim \left(\frac{4\pi f}{\Lambda_{\rm q}}\right)^{d-4} f^2 \qquad \text{Both irrelevant if}$ 

Let's postulate the existence of fermionic operators:

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm fl.}^{d_F-5/2}} (\tilde{y}_L \ q_L \mathcal{F}_L + \tilde{y}_R \ q_R \mathcal{F}_R)$ 

This dimension is not related to the Higgs!

 $f(y_L \ q_L Q_L + y_R \ q_R Q_R)$  with  $y_{L/R} f \sim \left(rac{4\pi f}{\Lambda_{
m e}}
ight)^{d_F-5/2} 4\pi f$ 

### Composite models at various scales

Planck scale

10 TeV

100 Gev

HC and SM gauge groups partially unified

symmetry breaking by scalars

4-fermion Ops generated!

Conformal window (large scaling dimensions)

Low energy model + additional fermions

#### Condensation scale

Usual low energy description of composite Higgs models

Standard Model

Phenomenology accessible to colliders

### Composite models at various scales



### Composite models at various scales

Planck scale



### The composite Higgs wilderness

- @ Light ALPs
- @ Electroweak pNGBs
- Coloured scalars (not in this talk)
- Common exotic top partner decays
- Exotic top partners
- @ Spin-1 resonances (not in this talk)
- What are muon anomalies trying to tell us?

### The composite Higgs wilderness

- @ Light ALPs
- @ Electroweak pNGBs
- Coloured scalars (not in this talk)
- Common exotic top partner decays
- Exotic top partners
- Spin-1 resonances (not in this talk)
- What are muon anomalies trying to tell us?

EW and Higgs precision!!!

### Typical ALP Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D\leq 5} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} a \right) \left( \partial^{\mu} a \right) - \frac{m_{a,0}^2}{2} a^2 + \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{\Lambda} \sum_{F} \bar{\psi}_F \, \mathcal{C}_F \, \gamma_{\mu} \, \psi_F + g_s^2 \, C_{GG} \, \frac{a}{\Lambda} \, G_{\mu\nu}^A \, \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu,A} + g^2 \, C_{WW} \, \frac{a}{\Lambda} \, W_{\mu\nu}^A \, \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu,A} + g'^2 \, C_{BB} \, \frac{a}{\Lambda} \, B_{\mu\nu} \, \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} \,,$$

Composite Higgs scenario:

$$\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{N_{\rm TC}}{64\sqrt{2} \pi^2 f}$$
$$(C_{\gamma\gamma} = C_{WW} + C_{BB})$$

 $\frac{C_{GG}}{\Lambda} = 0$ 

(Poor bounds at the LHC)

### C<sub>F</sub> is loop-induced:

M.Bauer et al, 1708.00443



### Typical ALP Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{D\leq 5} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} a \right) \left( \partial^{\mu} a \right) - \frac{m_{a,0}^2}{2} a^2 + \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{\Lambda} \sum_{F} \bar{\psi}_F \, C_F \, \gamma_{\mu} \, \psi_F$$
$$+ g_s^2 \, C_{GG} \, \frac{a}{\Lambda} \, G_{\mu\nu}^A \, \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu,A} + g^2 \, C_{WW} \, \frac{a}{\Lambda} \, W_{\mu\nu}^A \, \tilde{W}^{\mu\nu,A} + g'^2 \, C_{BB} \, \frac{a}{\Lambda} \, B_{\mu\nu} \, \tilde{B}^{\mu\nu} \, ,$$

Composite Higgs scenario:

Free parameters:

 $f, m_a$ 

 $\frac{C_{WW}}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{C_{BB}}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{N_{\rm TC}}{64\sqrt{2} \ \pi^2 f}$ 

 $(\overline{C_{\gamma\gamma}} = \overline{C_{WW}} + \overline{C_{BB}})$ 

We will consider two scenarios: Photo-philic and Photo-photic

### Tera-Z portal to compositeness (via ALPs) WZW SS

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2104.11064

This process is always associated with a monochromatic photon.

Tera Z phase of FCC-ee will lead to 5-6 10^12 Z bosons at the end of the run.

Ideal test for rare Z decays!!



### Tera-Z portal to compositeness (via ALPS) G.Cacciapagli

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2104.11064

### Photo-phobic

### Photo-philic



No leading order coupling to Photons (WZW interaction is Zero!!)

> eg. SU(4)/SP(4),  $SU(4)\times SU(4)/SU(4)$

WZW interaction to photons (like the pion) eg. SU(5)/SO(5), SU(6)/SO(6)

## Phenomenology-Prompt Decays



Photo-philie G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2104,11064

 ${\it o}$  Three isolated photons  $BR(Z \to 3\gamma)_{\rm LEP} < 2.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 



Discriminating variable: invariant mass

Photon ordering changes at inv. mass 50 GeV

> Bins above 80 GeV populated by fakes: hard to estimate!



Typical EWPT bound

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2104.11064



### EW pNGB direct production

W.Porod et al. work in progress

- Dominantly pair-produced (no VEVs except for the doublet)
- Couplings to two EW gauge bosons via WZW
- Couplings to two fermions via partial compositeness
- Few dedicated direct searches (WWWW and WWWZ via doubly-charged scalar)



### EW pNGB direct production





Porod et al. 'k in progress

- Decays to two GBs from
   WZW anomaly
- Small couplings
- Cascade decays can be competitive
- Photon-rich final states!

- Typically sizeable
   couplings to top and
   bottom
- Always dominate if present!
- They may be absent model dependence!

### Fermio-phobic SU(5)/SO(5) model





(d) Decays of  $\eta_5^0$  for  $m_5 = 600 \text{ GeV} > m_3$ 

W.Porod et al. work in progress

Decays to two GBs from
 WZW anomaly

(c) Decays of  $\eta_5^+$  for  $m_5 = 600 \text{ GeV} > m_3$ 

- Small couplings
- Cascade decays can be competitive
- Photon-rich final states!

Cascade decays competitive for mass splits around 50 GeV



### SU(5)/SO(5) benchmark

W.Porod et al. work in progress

- Run all searches in MadAnalysis, Checkmate and Contur
   on all di-scalar pair production channels.
- Best Limits from multi-photon searches (ATLAS generic analysis)
- Many channels contribute to the same signal region!



### SU(5)/SO(5) benchmark

W.Porod et al. work in progress

#### Exclusion from multi-photon search

S++ cascade decays

#### Change in dominant SR



# Top partner pheno revisited

A.Banerjee et al 2203.0727 (Snowmass LOI)

PNGBS lighter than the top partners are to be expected in all composite models



The S decays are model-dependent, but they can be classified:

$$\begin{split} S_i^{++} &\to W^+ W^+ \\ S_i^+ &\to W^+ \gamma, \, W^+ Z \\ S_i^0 &\to W^+ W^-, \, \gamma \gamma, \, \gamma Z, \, ZZ. \end{split} \qquad \begin{array}{l} S^{++} &\to W^+ t \overline{b}, \\ S^+ &\to t \overline{b}, \\ S^0 &\to t \overline{t}, \, b \overline{b}. \end{split}$$

Calculable ratios (from anomalies) and always present for all models.

Dominant, if present for the specific S.

### Common exolic lop parlner decays

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Psi fV} = \frac{e}{\sqrt{2}s_W} \kappa_{T,L}^W \overline{T} W^+ P_L b + \frac{e}{2c_W s_W} \kappa_{T,L}^Z \overline{T} Z P_L t + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2}s_W} \kappa_{B,L}^W \overline{B} W^- P_L t + \frac{e}{2c_W s_W} \kappa_{B,L}^Z \overline{B} Z P_L b + \frac{e}{\sqrt{2}s_W} \kappa_{X,L}^W \overline{X} W^+ P_L t + L \leftrightarrow R + \text{h.c.}$$
(14)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Psi fS} = \sum_{i} S_{i}^{+} \left[ \kappa_{T,L}^{S_{i}^{+}} \overline{T} P_{L} b + \kappa_{X,L}^{S_{i}^{+}} \overline{X} P_{L} t + L \leftrightarrow R \right] + \text{h.c.} + \sum_{i} S_{i}^{-} \left[ \kappa_{B,L}^{S_{i}^{-}} \overline{B} P_{L} t + L \leftrightarrow R \right] + \text{h.c.} + \sum_{i} S_{i}^{0} \left[ \kappa_{T,L}^{S_{i}^{0}} \overline{T} P_{L} t + \kappa_{B,L}^{S_{i}^{0}} \overline{B} P_{L} b + L \leftrightarrow R \right] + \text{h.c.} + \sum_{i} S_{i}^{++} \left[ \kappa_{X,L}^{S_{i}^{++}} \overline{X} P_{L} b + L \leftrightarrow R \right] + \text{h.c.}$$

$$(15)$$

 Possible to write a Master-Lagrangian containing all possible couplings, implemented at NLO in MG (FSMOG)

Work in progress by A. Deandrea and B. Fuks

### Common exolic top partner decays 2203.0727 (Snowmass LOI)

0

0

400 600 800 0 200 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 B→bH, 1808.02343 (ATLAS) B→bZ, 1808.02343 (ATLAS) B→tW<sup>-</sup>, 1808.02343 (ATLAS) T→tH, 1808.02343 (ATLAS) 3 ab<sup>-1</sup>, 1905.03772 3 ab<sup>-1</sup>, 1710.02325 T→tZ, 1808.02343 (ATLAS) T→bW+, 1808.02343 (ATLAS) 3 ab<sup>-1</sup>, 1907.05894 1810.03188 (CMS VLQ pair production with exotic decay  $T \rightarrow tS^0, S^0 \rightarrow Z\gamma, 1907.05929$ 1800  $S^0 \rightarrow ZZ + Z_V + W^+ W^-$ , 1907.05894 π<sub>6</sub>π<sub>6</sub>, π<sub>6</sub>→t<sub>R</sub>t<sub>R</sub>, 1907.05894 →tS<sup>0</sup>, S<sup>0</sup>→tt, 1907.05894 T→tS<sup>0</sup>, S<sup>0</sup>→bb, 2002.12220 1600 T→tS<sup>0</sup>, S<sup>0</sup>→jj, 2002.12220 →tS<sup>+</sup>,*S*<sup>+</sup>→<u>W</u><sup>+</sup>Z/γ, 1907.05894  $X_{5/3} \rightarrow tS^+, S^+ \rightarrow t\overline{D}, 1907.05894$  $X_{5/3} \rightarrow tS^+, S^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ v$ , 1907.05894 1400 m<sub>S</sub> (GeV)  $\rightarrow$ bS<sup>++</sup>, S<sup>++</sup> $\rightarrow$ W<sup>+</sup>S<sup>+</sup>, S<sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ W<sup>+</sup>Z/y, 1907.05894  $X_{5/3} \rightarrow bS^{++}, S^{++} \rightarrow W^+ S^+, S^+ \rightarrow tb, 1907.05894$ 1200  $X_{5/3} \rightarrow bS^{++}, S^{++} \rightarrow W^+ S^+, S^+ \rightarrow \tau_V, 1907.05894$  $_{3} \rightarrow \overline{b} \pi_{6}, \pi_{6} \rightarrow t_{R} t_{R}, 1907.05894$ S⁺+S<sup>---</sup>, S⁺+→W⁺ W⁺, 2101.11961 (ATLAS) 1000 murms 1111 800 1 1301.6065 (LEP) 11311 П 600 11:11 11 /111 11 400 murmstm , ₹ ò 200 δ ð 0 200 600 800 1200 2000 0 400 1000 1400 1600 1800 m<sub>ψ</sub> (GeV)

Dedicated searches may be useful to push up the limits.

- Projections for FCC-hh are needed...
- in combination with scalar
   direct production.

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019

#### A specific model: M5 of Ferretti's classification

#### Underlying fermions (like quarks)

	$\operatorname{Sp}(2N_c)$	${\rm SU(3)}_c$	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	SU(5)	SU(6)	U(1)
$\psi_{1,2}$		1	2	1/2			
$\psi_{3,4}$		1	2	-1/2	5	1	$-rac{3q_{\chi}}{5(N_c-1)}$
$\psi_5$		1	1	0			
$\chi_1$							
$\chi_2$		3	1	-x			
$\chi_3$					1	6	<i>a</i> .
$\chi_4$							Чχ
$\chi_5$		$\overline{3}$	1	x			
$\chi_6$							

#### Baryons (top partners)

	$SU(5) \times SU(6)$	$SO(5) \times Sp(6)$	names
$\psi \chi \chi$	$({f 5},{f 15})$	$({f 5},{f 14})$	$\mathcal{B}^1_{14}$
		$+({f 5},{f 1})$	$\mathcal{B}_1^1$
	$({\bf 5},{\bf 21})$	$({f 5},{f 21})$	$\mathcal{B}_{21}^1$
$\psi \bar{\chi} \bar{\chi}$	$({f 5},\overline{{f 15}})$	$({f 5},{f 14})$	$\mathcal{B}_{14}^2$
		$+({f 5},{f 1})$	$\mathcal{B}_1^2$
	$({f 5},\overline{{f 21}})$	$({f 5},{f 21})$	$\mathcal{B}_{21}^2$
$\left  \bar{\psi} \bar{\chi} \chi \right $	$(ar{5}, ar{35})$	$({f 5},{f 14})$	$\mathcal{B}^3_{14}$
		$+({f 5},{f 21})$	$\mathcal{B}^3_{21}$
	$(ar{f 5}, {f 1})$	$({f 5},{f 1})$	$\mathcal{B}_1^3$

 ${f 14} o {f 8_0} + {f 3_{-2x}} + {f ar 3_{2x}} \,,$ 

 $21 o 8_0 + 6_{2{f x}} + ar 6_{-2{f x}} + 1_0$  .

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019

#### A specific model: M5 of Ferretti's classification

#### Underlying fermions (like quarks)

	$\operatorname{Sp}(2N_c)$	${\rm SU}(3)_c$	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	SU(5)	SU(6)	U(1)
$\psi_{1,2}$		1	2	1/2			
$\psi_{3,4}$		1	2	-1/2	5	1	$-\frac{3q_{\chi}}{5(N_c-1)}$
$\psi_5$		1	1	0			
$\chi_1$							
$\chi_2$		3	1	-x			
$\chi_3$					1	6	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> ,
$\chi_4$							Чχ
$\chi_5$		$\overline{3}$	1	x			
$\chi_6$							

#### Baryons (top partners)



G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019

#### A specific model: M5 of Ferretti's classification

#### Underlying fermions (like quarks)

	$\operatorname{Sp}(2N_c)$	${\rm SU(3)}_c$	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	SU(5)	SU(6)	U(1)
$\psi_{1,2}$		1	2	1/2			
$\psi_{3,4}$		1	2	-1/2	5	1	$-\frac{3q_{\chi}}{5(N_c-1)}$
$\psi_5$		1	1	0			
$\chi_1$							
$\chi_2$		3	1	-x			
$\chi_3$					1	6	<i>a</i>
$\chi_4$							Чχ
$\chi_5$		$\overline{3}$	1	x			
$\chi_6$							

#### Baryons (top partners)



G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019



### Exolic lop partners

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019



The baryon content looks ironically SUSY-like!

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019



### Octoni bounds

G.Cacciapaglia et al. 2112.00019

Model implemented in MG.
Check limits from searches in MadAnalysis and CheckMate.
Strongest bound from gluino and stop searches!





# There's something about Muons



- $R_K = \frac{\text{BR} (B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\text{BR} (B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-)} = 0.846^{+0.044}_{-0.041}$
- @ 9-2 fixes the scale of new physics
- natural values for TC-like
   theories!
- RK requires large muon couplings
   (attainable in strong dynamics)
  - These anomalies will be further probed in the near future!

# BOMUS tracks

# What if FCC-ee discovers Z > ya?

G.Cacciapaglia et al. work in progress

Is it possible to distinguish the composite scenario, from an elementary mock-up model?

$$\Phi = H + i a$$

Singlet scalar



Triangle loops can mimic the WZW interactions of the composite ALP:

 $\Psi$  = doublet + singlet

doublet + singlet = photo-phobic case

 Note: fermion masses of the order of TeV, potentially discoverable at HL-LHC or FCC-hh (QCD-neutral)

### What if FCC-ee discovers Z > ya?

G.Cacciapaglia et al. work in progress

Is it possible to distinguish the composite scenario,
 from an elementary mock-up model?

EWPT only depend on H loops



composite case: see 1502.04718



For fixed BR = 10<sup>-8</sup>, i.e. discovery.

Arrows: naive contribution of top partner loops.