The interplay between jet energy loss and nuclear shadowing in HI at LHC energies

Péter Lévai KFKI RMKI, Budapest, Hungary

HI at the LHC: A First Assassment 4 March 2011, CERN

ALICE: New result on RAA in Pb+Pb at 2760 AGeV Phys. Lett. B696 (2011) 30. Phys. Lett. B696 (2011) 30.

Contents

1. Introduction --- particle production, pQCD, jets 2. Jet energy loss --- mechanism, description 3. Results at RHIC energies --- answers and new questions 4. Results at LHC energies --- new answers and new questions 5. Conclusion

1. Introduction --- particle production --- pQCD description for high-pT

Experimental data on particle multiplicities at RHIC and LHC (2.2x)

RHIC

J. \mathbf{c}

kraft' Talk: Pre

at $\ddot{}$

de

A \mathcal{L}

 $\overline{}$ E $\overline{}$ ol

Revie \mathcal{I}

HIJING 2.0: two components **Soft**: string physics for $p < p_0$ Hard: pQCD with GRV PDF and b-dep. shadowing (arXiv:1011.5907 [nucl-th])

Jets (high p_T **probes) in pp and AA collisions:**

Jet production in pp collision ("in vacuum"): ➤ pQCD description

Jet production in AA collision (" in hot matter")

- → modified PQCD description:
	- --- SHADOWING inside A
	- --- MULTISCATTERING/BROADENING penetrating A
	- --- ENERGY LOSS penetrating the "hot matter"

Can we separate these mechanisms?

Can we determine them separately during theoretical data analysis ?

We could learn a lot from high precision RHIC data !

Hard physics: pion production in pp collision at high-p T Asilomar HP'2005

Perturbative QCD calculations in LO/NLO for $\mathbf{p+p} \rightarrow \pi + \mathbf{X}$ process with finite - k $_T$ NLO : M. Aversa et al. NPB327,105; P. Chiappetta et al. NPB412,3; P. Aurenche et al. NPB399,34; ...) + intrinsic kT: G. Papp, P. Levai, G.G. Barnaföldi, G. Fai, hep-ph/0212249, EPJC33(2004)609

$$
E_{\pi} \frac{d \sigma^{pp}}{d^{3} p_{\pi}} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{abc} \int_{VW/z_{c}}^{1-(1-V)/z_{c}} \frac{d v}{v(1-v)} \int_{VW/vz_{c}}^{1} \frac{d w}{w} \int_{c}^{1} dz_{c}
$$

$$
\int d^{2} k_{Ta} \int d^{2} k_{Tb} f_{a/p}(x_{a}, k_{Ta}, Q^{2}) f_{b/p}(x_{b}, k_{Tb}, Q^{2})
$$

$$
[\frac{d \sigma^{BORN}}{dv} \delta(1-w) + \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q_{R})}{\pi} K_{ab,c}(s, v, w, Q, Q_{R}, Q_{F})] \frac{D_{c}^{\pi}(z_{c})}{\pi z_{c}^{2}}
$$

An approximation for the unintegrated parton distribution functions (PDFs) :

$$
f_{a/p}(x_a, \mathbf{k}_{Ta}, Q^2) = f_{a/p}(x_a, Q^2) \quad g(\mathbf{k}_{Ta})
$$

Where we use gaussian

$$
g(k_{Ta}) = \frac{1}{\pi \langle k_T^2 \rangle} e^{-k_T^2 / \langle k_T^2 \rangle}
$$

The width of the gaussian distribution for intrinsic-kT

Hard physics: pion production in pp collision at high-p T

Perturbative QCD calculations in LO and NLO for pp --- including intrinsic- k_T

LO:

$$
Q = \kappa p_T / z_c, \ Q_F = \kappa p_T
$$

NLO:

$$
Q=Q_R=\kappa p_T/z_c, \ Q_F=\kappa p_T
$$

All descriptions with kT are approx. good enough for 3 GeV < pT < 15 GeV.

> Y. Zhang, G. Fai, G. Papp, G.G. Barnaföldi, P.L.: PRC 65 (2002) 034903. G.G. Barnaföldi et al. EPJ C33 (2004) 609.

Hard physics: pion production in AA collision at high- p ^T

Perturbative QCD calculations in LO and NLO for pp + CRONIN + SHADOWING: SHADOWING : "New-Hijing" parametrization, Li & Wang, PLB527 (2002) 85.

$$
f_{a/A}(x_a, Q^2) = A S_a^A(x_a, Q^2) f_{a/N}(x_a, Q^2)
$$
⁸⁸

Shadowing function for quarks:

$$
S_q^A = 1.0 + 1.19 \log^{1/6} A (x^3 - 1.12 x^2 + 0.21 x) -
$$

$$
-s_q (A^{1/3} - 1)^{0.6} (1 - 3.5 \sqrt{x}) \exp(-x^2 / 0.01)
$$

Shadowing function for gluons:

$$
S_g^A = 1.0 + 1.19 \log^{1/6} A (x^3 - 1.2 x^2 + 0.21 x) -
$$

$$
- s_g (A^{1/3} - 1)^{0.6} (1 - 1.5 x^{0.35}) \exp(-x^2 / 0.004)
$$

S.-Y. Li, X.-N. Wang / Physics L

Fig. 2. Ratio of nuclear structure functions as measured in DIS. Solid lines are the new HIJING parameterization (Eq. (8)), dashed lines are the HKM parameterization [32] and dot-dashed lines are the old HIJING parameterization [16]. The data are from Ref. [30].

Hard physics: pion production in AA collision at high- pT

Perturbative QCD calculations in LO and NLO for pp + CRONIN + SHADOWING: **SHADOWING**: New-Hijing parametrization, Li & Wang, PLB527 (2002) 85.

$$
f_{a/A}(x_a, Q^2) = A S_a^A(x_a, Q^2) f_{a/N}(x_a, Q^2)
$$

Shadowing function for quarks:

$$
S_q^A = 1.0 + 1.19 \log^{1/6} A (x^3 - 1.12 x^2 + 0.21 x) -
$$

$$
-s_q (A^{1/3} - 1)^{0.6} (1 - 3.5 \sqrt{x}) \exp(-x^2 / 0.01)
$$

Shadowing function for gluons:

$$
S_g^A = 1.0 + 1.19 \log^{1/6} A (x^3 - 1.2 x^2 + 0.21 x) -
$$

$$
- s_g (A^{1/3} - 1)^{0.6} (1 - 1.5 x^{0.35}) \exp(-x^2 / 0.004)
$$

⁺*b* impact parameter dependence:

$$
s_i(\boldsymbol{b}) = s_i \frac{5}{3} (1 - b^2 / R_A^2)
$$

Re-weighten

1.5

 $\rm N_{\rm part}$ Fig. 4. The charged hadron central rapidity density per participant nucleon pair as a function of the averaged number of participants from the two-component model (shaded lines), two-parameter fit (Eq. (11)) (dot-dashed lines) and parton saturation model [9] as

200

100

compared to experimental data [3,5,20,21].

KL Saturation

300

400

S.-Y. Li, X.-N. Wang / Physics L

Shadowing effect:

$S(x)$: shadowing function

 $S(x)$ at y=0, p_T=4 GeV at RHIC

Shadowing functions - HIJING old and new

EKS99 shadowing function with enhanced antishadowing

K.J. Eskola, V.J. Kolhinen, C.A. Salgado

EPJ C9, 61 (1999)

EKS: antishadowing effect for valence quarks

stronger than HIJING at large-x weaker than HIJING at small-x

FGS shadowing function with extra leading twist effect for gluons

L. Frankfurt, V. Guzey, M. Strikman

hep-ph/0303022

EKS antishadowing effect for valence quarks + extra yield for gluons from leading twist $(Q_0^2 > 4 \text{ GeV}^2)$

2. Jet energy loss --- mechanism, description

Jets in pp and in AA collisions:

Jet production in pp collision (in vacuum): \rightarrow pQCD description

Jet production and propagation in AA collision (inside hot dense matter)

 \rightarrow induced gluon radiation in a modified pQCD description *JET-TOMOGRAPHY*

'Jet-quenching' : induced jet energy loss --- in thin colored matter M. Gyulassy, P. Levai, I. Vitev, PRL85,5535(2000), NPB594,371(2001)

GLV: time-ordered pQCD (Feyman diagrams) + OPACITY expansion $(N = 1,2,3,...)$ + kinematical cuts $\Delta\,E_{GLV}\!\approx\!\frac{C_{\scriptscriptstyle R}\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle S}}{N\left(E\right)}\frac{L^2\mu^2}{\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle S}}\!\log\frac{E}{\mu}$ Δ $L/\lambda = 1, 2, 3, 4$

E-dependent ΔE energy loss

E-independent Δ E/E **in the window** $3 < E < 10-15$ GeV $L/\lambda \rightarrow \int \tau \rho(\tau) d\tau$

Opacity \rightarrow **Density**

Induced jet energy loss --- agreements and disagreements: BDMS, GW, GLV, Zakharov, Wiedemann, Salgado, ...

1. $\Delta E_{\text{loss}} \!\sim\! L^2$ **non-abelian nature**

2. $\Delta\,E_{\rm loss}\!\sim\!q$ transport coefficient: *Eloss^q ^q* ²*d*² *qT qT*² *^d dqT*²

3. $\varDelta\,E_{\text{loss}}{=}C_{\text{R}}\alpha_{\text{S}}\,q\,L^2\,F\,[\ldots]\;$ where F[...] depends on theories

Coherence & Interference

Induced jet energy loss in expanding matter:

1. Averaged opacity \rightarrow time dependent color density:

$$
1/\lambda_{col} = \sigma_{el} \rho_{col} \rightarrow \frac{9/2\pi \alpha_S^2}{\mu^2} \frac{2}{L^2} \int_0^L \tau \rho_{col}(\tau) d\tau
$$

2. 1-DIM Bjorken expansion:

$$
\rightarrow \frac{9/2\,\pi\,\alpha_S^2}{\mu^2} \frac{2}{L^2}\frac{1}{A_T}\frac{dN^{col}}{dy}L
$$

3. Energy loss with rapidity density:

$$
\Delta E_{GLV}^{1\text{DIM}} \approx \frac{9C_R \pi \alpha_s^3}{4} \frac{1}{A_T} \frac{dN^{col}}{dy} L \log \frac{2E}{\mu^2 L}
$$

3. Results at RHIC energies --- answers and new questions

Hard physics: pion production in AA collision at high- p_T

Perturbative QCD calculations in NLO for heavy ion collisions: geometrical overlap + shadowing, multiscattring, jet-quenching, ...

$$
E_{\pi} \frac{d \sigma^{AB}}{d^3 p_{\pi}} = \int d^2 b \, d^2 r \, t_A(\vec{r}) t_B(|\vec{b} - \vec{r}|) \, E_{\pi} \frac{d \sigma^{pp}}{d^3 p_{\pi}} \otimes S(...) \otimes M(...) \otimes Q(...)
$$

Hard physics: pion production in AA collision at high- p_T

Perturbative QCD calculations in NLO for heavy ion collisions: geometrical overlap + shadowing, multiscattring, jet-quenching, ... $\frac{d\,\sigma^{AB}}{d^3\,p_{\pi}} = \int d^2b\,d^2r \ \, t_{_A}(\vec{r}\,)t_{_B}(|\vec{b}-\vec{r}|) \ \, E_{_{\pi}} \frac{d\,\sigma^{pp}}{d^{\,3}\,p_{_{\pi}}} \otimes S\, (...) \otimes M\, (...) \otimes Q\, (...)$ ${E}_{\pi}$ **RHIC** $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{1.4}$ 1.2

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at RHIC 200 AGeV

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at RHIC 200 AGeV "Quenching only"

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at RHIC 200 AGeV <u>"Quenching at $L/\lambda = 4$ + Shadowing"</u>

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at RHIC 200 AGeV <u>"Quenching at $L/\lambda = 5$ + Shadowing"</u>

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at RHIC 200 AGeV <u>"Quenching at $L/\lambda=6$ + Shadowing"</u>

Conclusion from light quark quenching at RHIC energy:

 L/λ = 5.5 will describe RHIC data well Shadowing has small influence

4. Results at LHC energies --- new answers and new questions

 But at first: pp at 7 TeV How good is our pQCD desription ?

Charged hadron production in pp collisions in the high-pT region :

<u> Charged hadron production in pp collisions at 7 TeV – CMS data</u> **LO pOCD**

Charged hadron production in pp collisions at 7 TeV – CMS data -- NLO pQCD

LO and NLO descriptions are equally good ! (Scale difference)

We will use our pQCD frame at 2.36 ATeV.

Most central Pb+Pb collisions (5%) at LHC 2.76 ATeV "Quenching only"

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at LHC 2.76 ATeV <u>"Quenching with $L/\lambda = 4$ + Shadowing"</u>

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at LHC 2.76 ATeV <u>"Quenching with $L/\lambda = 5$ + Shadowing"</u>

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at LHC 2.76 ATeV <u>"Quenching with $L/\lambda = 6$ + Shadowing"</u>

Most central Au+Au collisions (5%) at LHC 200 AGeV <u>"Quenching with $L/\lambda = 7$ + Shadowing"</u>

 Conclusion from light quark quenching at LHC energy:

 L/λ = 5.5 will describe LHC data well with New-Hijing shadowing functions BUT: THIS IS THE SAME opacity, what was seen at RHIC !!!

or:

 $L/\lambda = 7$ is needed with EKS shadowing This means 2x larger color density at LHC energy w.r.t RHIC energy

Ratio $(L/\lambda)^2$: $7^2/5.5^2 = 49/30 \approx 2$

Theoretical conclusions (for today) :

1. pQCD model frame with jet quenching and nuclear shadowing is a very fruitful description at LHC energies

2. LHC data are very interesting, but hard to conclude.

3. Looking forward to see further details

- -- pi0 production at high-pT
- -- identified charged hadrons at high-pT
- -- charm quark energy loss !!!

Back-up slides on 2-particle correlations:

where is the kT-imbalance in the 1-particle spectra?????

Why LO pQCD is working so well without intrinsic-kT ???

k_T-imbalance parameter --- extracted from 2-hadron correlation and applied in 1-particle distribution

But no room for intrinsic- k_T in CDF and CMS data !! ???

Experimental side: Particle identification at high-pT at LHC

1. LHC ALICE: TPC + TOF + ITSStatistically up to 40-50 GeV/c

2. LHC ALICE upgrade: VHMPID (track-by-track) Very High Momentum Particle Identification Detector RICH modul + Trigger modul Installation in 2015 (hopefully)

 VHMPID mission: to identify charged hadrons track-by-track up-to 25 GeV (C_4F_{10}) or at even higher momenta (CH4)

VHMPID layout evolution (2009-2010)

 $\frac{1}{1}$ LI**C** E-VHMPID

0np eb

Payment ti

pr l

r
1

f
D

erom

olla

 $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$

0

ea

oxes onh

emar

2012
2012
2012

odul

oration n d

om
Com
Com

l
b

esid

 $\mathbf{\ddot{y}}$ a

e**T**

h

eting, $\ddot{}$ ERN

er.

eb

cal

 $\frac{1}{n}$

oxs

coordi

s

g er sid

 \mathbf{u}

 \overline{a} 0.T

n:we

at

The VHMPID collaboration

· Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico City, **Mexico** E. Cuautle, I. Dominguez, D. Mayani, A. Ortiz, G. Paic, V. Peskov · Instituto de Fsica Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico City, Mexico R. Alfaro · Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico M. Martinez, S. Vergara, A. Vargas · Universita' degli Studi di Bari and INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy G. De Cataldo, D. Di Bari, E. Nappi, C. Pastore, I. Squra, G. Volpe **CERN.** Geneva. Switzerland A. Di Mauro, P. Martinengo, L. Molnar, D. Perini, F. Piuz, J. Van Beelen • MTA KFKI RMKI, Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary A. Agocs, G.G. Barnafoldi, G. Bencze, L. Boldizsar, E. Denes, Z. Fodor, E. Futo, G. Hamar, P. Levai, C. Lipusz, S. Pochybova **Eotvos University, Budapest, Hungary** D. Varga • Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, USA E. Garcia - Yale University, New Haven, USA J. Harris, N. Smirnov · Pusan National University, Pusan, Korea In-Kwon Yoo, Changwook Son, Jungyu Yi 88/06/2010 A. Di Mauro UStroiderRoutlasMMMPID