

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

Timing and Gain Performance of Teledyne e2v's LGADs after Irradiation

Jonathan Mulvey University of Birmingham JFM035@student.bham.ac.uk



Teledyne e2v LGAD Project

- University of Birmingham, University of Oxford, RAL and the Open University
- Teledyne e2v is a foundry based in Chelmsford, UK
- Established in 1947 (as EEV) to become a major producer of semiconductor imaging sensors (CCDs) and RF solutions for space, astronomy, medical and industrial applications
- Large production volume capacity for detectors with fast timing capability would be a big asset to the Particle Physics community





Part of the Teledyne Imaging Group



Science and Technology Facilities Council





https://teledyne-e2v.com/en-us

05/11/2023

Outline



- Introduction to the project
- Sensor design
- Irradiation campaign
- Characterisation before and after irradiation
 - ➢ IVs
 - ➢ CVs
 - ➤ Gain
 - ➤ Timing

Conclusion

Why Timing?



UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

- The LHC's High-Luminosity upgrade will see a large increase in pile-up, with up to 200 events per 25 ns bunch crossing.
- Overlapping events make track reconstruction challenging and this limits the ability to correctly associate tracks with their primary vertices.
- ATLAS and CMS plan to use a dedicated rad-hard timing layers equipped with LGADs. These will be installed outside of the trackers to add fine timing information to each track.
- Later upgrades might look towards LGADs as a replacement for the inner tracking, unlocking true 4D tracking.



https://cds.cern.ch/record/2674770



https://cds.cern.ch/record/2719855?In=en

https://cds.cern.ch/record/2667167

05/11/2023

Low Gain Avalanche Detectors



- LGADs consist of a thin, planar n-in-p sensor, with a multiplication layer tuned to achieve low/moderate gain
- This design achieves high S/N, uniform signal, fast rise time
 - Reduced jitter and distortion terms
 - Reaches physical limit: Landau fluctuations (~20 ps)
 - > Excellent time resolution (~35 ps)



$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_{jitter}^2 + \sigma_{ionization}^2 + \sigma_{distortion}^2 + \sigma_{TDC}^2$$

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2020.164664

Te2v 1st Batch



- First batch of 22 wafers was fabricated in late 2020 with eight flavours of varying dose and energy of the **Boron** implant
 - > 33 test fields per wafer
 - LGAD size varies from 4mm to 1mm
 - Fields are mirrored with PiNs (identical to the LGADs but without the Boron implant)
- Initial characterisation presented at the RD50 workshops and various conferences. Today, we'll be focusing on the 1mm devices from our most promising wafer (A) which has the highest combined implant energy and dose



Wafer code	Normalise d Implant Dose [D]	Normalised Implant Energy [E]
А	1.07	1.11
В	1.07	1.05
С	1.07	1.00
D	0.92	1.05
E	1.15	1.05
F	1.00	1.00
G	1.00	1.05
н	1.00	1.11

Irradiation Campaign



- F11-F28 have been irradiated with fluences from
 5.6e13 1 MeV neq/cm² up to 8.3e14 1 MeV
 neq/cm²
- The aim of the study is to investigate how the timing properties of these devices change with fluence due to acceptor removal in the gain layer
- The gain layer implant is Boron, without carbon enrichment

Device code	Fluence [1 MeV neq/cm2]
F11	5.6e13
F12	8.4e13
F13	1e14
F14	2.5e14
F08	4e14
F25	5.7e14
F28	8.3e14

UNIVERSITY^{of} BIRMINGHAM

IVs



- IV curves are measured to check the highest operating voltage which minimises leakage current
 - > Pre-irrad at room temperature
 - Post-irrad at –20 °C with low humidity
- Large variability (±30 V) in breakdown voltage pre-irradiation
- Change in breakdown voltage increases with fluence as expected



CVs



- Determination of gain layer and full depletion voltage is done via CV measurements
- For irradiated devices, the measured capacitance changes as a function of frequency
- At high fluences, full depletion
 hard to identify



CVs



- Pre-irrad 100kHz
- Post-irrad 1kHz
- F28 (8.3e14)- 2.5kHz
- Pre-irrad shows very little
 variability in capacitance
 between devices
- Increasing irradiation fluence results in decreasing depletion voltages



Parameterising CVs



- The change in gain layer depletion voltage can be characterised by plotting the depletion fraction versus fluence
- For low fluences our results are in agreement. However we demonstrate a worse radiation hardness for higher fluences (>= 5.7e14 1 MeV neq/cm²)

*FBK neutron irradiated Boron-implanted LGADs. Ferreroa, M., 2018

$$\frac{V_{GL}(\phi)}{V_{GL}(0)} = \frac{\rho_A(\phi)}{\rho_A(0)} = e^{-c(\rho_A(0))\phi}.$$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.01745.pdf



Laser Charge Injection



- 1064 nm laser injects charge into an LGAD and a PiN (LGAD without gain layer)
 - Pulse is integrated and amplified
 by a Particulars AM-02A
 - Resulting signal is integrated
 (offline) and the ratio between
 the LGAD and the PiN is the gain
- Laser is convenient but, unlike with charged particles, there is no gain suppression



https://www.particulars.si/downloads/ParticularsAmps-Manuals.pdf

Pre-Irrad Gain



- All devices achieve a similar value of gain, but the bias voltage required varies significantly
- This is mirrored in the IV
 curves which also have a
 similar variance in
 breakdown voltage





Post-Irrad Gain



- Low fluence devices see minimal change aside from a slight change in bias voltage dependence
- With higher fluences one is unable to achieve as high a value of gain. A higher bias voltage is also needed to see moderate gain



Coincidence Timing Technique

 Strontium-90 beta source injects charged particles towards two coincidently placed LGADs (DUT and a reference of known time resolution). Oscilloscope triggers on the two LGAD pulses provided they are within 5 ns of each other



 Santa Cruz timing boards initially amplify the signal, followed
 Particulars AM-01B for 2nd stage amplification. The resulting signal is recorded for offline analysis



Cold Timing



UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM

- A pair of peltiers (assisted by a chiller) keep the LGADs at -20 °C for postirradiation tests
- Aluminium plate is sandwiched between the LGADs to provide a thermal mass allowing uniform cooling

Water Block



Time of Arrival



 A single electron triggers both LGADs with an arbitrary constant time difference the error of which is dominated by the time resolution of the two LGADs





 Time of arrival is determined with a 20% constant fraction discriminator (CFD) applied offline to the collected data. This was determined by performing a CFD sweep to identify the optimal value

Time Resolution



- After ~2000 coincidence events have been collected one can fit a Gaussian distribution (represented visually with a histogram)
- This Gaussian is a product of the two LGAD time resolutions
- Thus the resolution of the reference needs to be subtracted in quadrature from the measured Gaussian's standard deviation

$$\sigma_{MEAS}{}^2 = \sigma_{DUT}{}^2 + \sigma_{REF}{}^2$$



Pre-Irrad Timing



- All 7 devices show a similar
 timing performance versus bias
 voltage
- Minimal variability corresponds to the variation in gain as a function of voltage



Pre-Irrad Timing



- Time resolution as a function of gain shows a similar trend to what we see from other vendors
- While comparable, our time
 resolution is slightly worse than
 those from HPK (*)
- However, values of gain may be overestimated using laser injection (no gain suppression)

https://indico.cern.ch/event/587631/contributions/2471694



Post-Irrad Timing



- As seen with gain, a higher bias
 is required to achieve a good
 time resolution as fluence
 increases
- Time resolution is generally worse compared to pre-irrad (sub 40ps)
- We were unable to test F28 due to unstable current at high bias voltages



Post-Irrad Timing



- Time resolution as a function of gain maintains the same relationship after irradiation
- At low values of gain, the time resolution begins to improve. This is due to some signals falling below the oscilloscope's trigger threshold,
 biasing the data towards only
 including the largest signals. This
 has been confirmed by lowering the trigger threshold

https://indico.cern.ch/event/587631/contributions/2471694



Conclusions



- Teledyne e2v is a new player for Particle Physics applications, demonstrated with their first batch of LGADs
 - > Slightly worse but comparable timing performance
 - Radiation hardness needs further investigation and improvement at higher fluences
 (>= 5.7e14 1 MeV neq/cm²)
- Promising results from their first batch establishes them as a potential future vendor for the Particle Physics community
- In addition to the 7 devices shown today, we plan to characterise up to 4 additional wafers to investigate the effect of implant energy and dose



Thank you for your time

Any questions?



Previous Talks



- 37th RD50 workshop, Nov 2020
 - <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/896954/co</u> <u>ntributions/4106308/</u>
- 38th RD50 workshop, June 2021
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/1029124/c ontributions/4411263/
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/1029124/ contributions/4411247/
- PSD12, Sept 2021 (+ proceedings)
 - <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/797047/co</u> <u>ntributions/4455947/</u>

- Vertex, Sept 2021 (+ proceedings)
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/1047531/c ontributions/4520803/
- 39th RD50 workshop, Nov 2021
 - https://indico.cern.ch/event/1074989/c ontributions/4601996/
 - <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1074989/</u> <u>contributions/4602008/</u>
- 17th Trento Workshop, March 2022
 - <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/1096847/c</u> <u>ontributions/4743794/</u>

Backup Slides



Low Gain Avalanche Detectors



- We study LGADs for their excellent time resolution (~35 ps). This time resolution has a few contributions
- Distortion is due non-uniformity in the drift velocity and weighting field. High bias voltage ensures a saturated and uniform drift velocity. Ensuring the gain layer is as large as the pitch of the sensor keeps the weighting field uniform
- TDC is due to the readout electronics and is usually small enough to be ignored



$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_{jitter}^2 + \sigma_{ionization}^2 + \sigma_{distortion}^2 + \sigma_{TDC}^2$$

05/11/2023

Low Gain Avalanche Detectors

- Ionisation is due to the irregularity in the signal shape caused by the discrete nature of e-h pair generation
- The first effect is a change in amplitude which causes timewalk (a larger signal arrives earlier).
 This can be corrected for using a Constant Fraction Discriminator (CFD)
- The second effect is called Landau Fluctuations and is due to irregularities and noise in the signal shape itself. These fluctuations are unavoidable but can be minimised with thin sensors (~50 um) which bring this intrinsic limit down to ~25 ps





 $\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_{jitter}^2 + \sigma_{ionization}^2 + \sigma_{distortion}^2 + \sigma_{TDC}^2$



Low Gain Avalanche Detectors



- Jitter is any additional noise on top of the signal which can cause the signal to appear to arrive earlier or later than it actually does.
- For a constant slope in the rise of the signal, the jitter is approximately proportional to the rise time divided by the SNR.
- A low gain maximises the SNR which therefore minimises the jitter. Hence low gain gives good time resolution.



$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_{jitter}^2 + \sigma_{ionization}^2 + \sigma_{distortion}^2 + \sigma_{TDC}^2$$

https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.08666

Parameterising CVs





*FBK neutron irradiated Boron-implanted

LGADs. Ferreroa, M., 2018

$$\frac{V_{GL}(\phi)}{V_{GL}(0)} = \frac{\rho_A(\phi)}{\rho_A(0)} = e^{-c(\rho_A(0))\phi}.$$

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.01745.pdf



Reference Time Resolution

- If we don't have a device of known time resolution, we have two options:
- The first is to use two identical LGADs and assume their time resolutions are the same. This results in the second equation. This is most likely a reasonable estimate and then gives us a reference device, however we can improve on this method to remove the assumption.
- The second option is to measure 3 LGADs (identical or not) in 3 sets of pairs (third set of equations). Then by combining these in a particular order, we can extract the time resolution of each device.
- Whilst this provides us with a reference device, it currently yields a rather high error which has a knock-on effect with future measurements.



$$\sigma_{MEAS}^2 = \sigma_{DUT}^2 + \sigma_{REF}^2$$

$$\sigma_{DUT} = \frac{\sigma_{MEAS}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1^2 &= \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2, \\ \sigma_2^2 &= \sigma_C^2 + \sigma_B^2, \\ \sigma_3^2 &= \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_C^2, \end{split}$$

$$\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - \sigma_3^2 = 2\sigma_B^2$$







Pre-Irrad Timing



- Time resolution as a function of gain shows a similar trend to what we see from other vendors
- While comparable, our time resolution is slightly worse than the literature
- However, values of gain may be overestimated using laser injection (no gain suppression)



*Sadrozinski, H., Ultra-fast Silicon Detectors, 2017 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.08666</u>

Post-Irrad Timing



- Time resolution as a function of gain maintains the same relationship after irradiation
- At low values of gain, the time
 resolution begins to improve. This is due
 to some signals falling below the
 oscilloscope's trigger threshold, biasing
 the data towards only including the
 largest signals. This has been confirmed
 by lowering the trigger threshold



*Sadrozinski, H., Ultra-fast Silicon Detectors, 2017 <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.08666</u>

Landau Cutting



- Bias sweep performed at different trigger thresholds
- Higher thresholds give a better time resolution since only large signals are accepted
- Higher thresholds are sometimes still used to make the measurement speed practical



Landau Cutting



 Signal height for 2000 events are plotted as a histogram. Each curve shows a different trigger threshold. Plot on the left shows high bias and trigger threshold has no effect. Right plot shows low bias and the trigger significantly changes the distribution



