Perturbative Aspects or rare K and B decays

Martin Gorbahn (University of Liverpool) Based on work with Brod, Jager, Kvedaraitė, Moldanazarova, Moretti, Sieja, Stamou, Yu

CKM 2023, 18 September 23



Content

Introduction

► CKM Input, K_{ℓ 2,3}, EFTs & Lattice

•
$$B_s \rightarrow \mu \mu$$
 and $B \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$

- $\blacktriangleright \ K \to \pi \bar{\nu} \nu$
- $K \rightarrow \mu \mu$
- ► *ε*_K & *B*_K
- Parametric uncertainties

Neutral & Charged Current Interactions

Mass ≠ flavour eigenstates





SM: Only charged currents change the flavour ($\propto V_{us}$)

SM: Neutral currents do not change the flavour (i=j) at tree-level

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho + i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- SM: Yukawas only source of flavour & CP violation
- CKM parametrises CP & flavour violation
- First row from tree-level semi-leptonic decays

Charged Current decays

►
$$K_{\ell 2}$$
 and $K_{\ell 3}$ extraction of $\lambda = |V_{us}|$
 $\Gamma(K^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell(\gamma)) = \frac{G_F^2 m_K^5}{128\pi^3} |V_{us}|^2 S_{EW} |f_+^{K^0 \pi^-}(0)|^2 I_{K^0 \ell}^{(0)} (1 + \delta_{EM}^{K^0 \ell} + \delta_{SU(2)}^{K^0 \pi^-})).$
► QED: χPT [Seng et.al.'2019,Cirigliano et.al.'23] and Lattice [Carrasco et.al.'15,DiCarlo et.al.'19]

- EW corrections in W-Mass scheme [Marciano, Sirlin]
- EFT Approach [Gorbahn et.al.'22,Cirigliano et.al.'23]
- ► $|V_{ud}|$, extracted from nuclear β decays [Hardy, Towner'20],

•
$$\Delta_{CKM} \equiv 1 - |V_{ud}|^2 - |V_{us}|^2 - O(|V_{ub}|^2) = 0.$$

Effective Theory Calculation

► Decoupling Theorem (Renormalization [Collins]):

 $\langle \ell_3 | T | K \rangle = 4 G_F / \sqrt{2} C(\mu_W) \langle \ell_3 | O | K \rangle(\mu_W) + O(p_\ell^2 / M_W^2)$

• Determine $C(\mu_W)$ in perturbation theory

► Use RGE to run $\langle \ell_3 | T | K \rangle = 4G_F / \sqrt{2}C(\mu_W)U(\mu_W, \mu_{Lat}) \langle \ell_3 | O | K \rangle (\mu_{Lat}) + O(p_\ell^2 / M_W^2)$

- \blacktriangleright Determine $\langle \ \ell_3 \ | \ O \ | \ K \ \rangle$ using symmetries and data or Lattice calculation
- Lattice: have to convert Lattice to continuum scheme
- ▶ Residual μ_W and μ_{Lat} dependence reduces at Nⁿ LO

Lattice Renormalisation



off-shell renormalisation conditions

•
$$\operatorname{RI}^{(\prime)}$$
 - MOM: $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p$, $p^2 = -\mu^2$

• RI – SMOM:

$$p_1 = p_3, \quad p_2 = p_4, \quad p_1^2 = p_2^2 = -\mu^2, \quad p_1 \cdot p_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2$$

• Choose projectors so that $Z_O = 0 + O(\alpha)$ [2209.05289]

\overline{RI} and \overline{MS} Wilson coefficients

Including 2-loop EW matching and 3-loop RGE [MG, SJ, Moretti, EM]



For V_{ud} we have $C_O(m_c) = 1.00754$ [Cirigliano et.al.'23] $ightarrow C_O(m_c) = 1.00794$

Rare $b \rightarrow s$ decays

Up quark contribution CKM suppressed

$$V_{cb}^* V_{cs} \to -V_{tb}^* V_{ts} - O(\lambda^4)$$

- Only $V_{tb}^* V_{ts}$
- Operators:
 - $O_L = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\nu}b_L)(\bar{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}P_L\mu)$ generated at M_W
 - $O_V = (\bar{s}\gamma_\nu b_L)(\bar{\mu}\gamma^\nu \mu)$ generated at $O(\alpha)$
- ▶ Pseudoscalar decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ has $\langle O_V \rangle = O(\alpha)$

$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

- In the SM for $\alpha_{QED} = 0$ only one operator:
 - $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = V_{tb} V_{ts}^* G_F^2 M_W^2 \pi^{-2} \tilde{c}_{10} (\bar{s} \gamma_\alpha b_L) (\bar{\mu} \gamma^\alpha \gamma_5 \mu)$
 - ME: $\langle 0|\bar{s}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}b\rangle|\bar{B}_{s}\rangle = if_{B_{s}}p_{\alpha}$
 - Scalar contribution suppressed; $\langle O_9 \rangle = O(\alpha/4\pi)$
- NNLO QCD and two-loop EW corrections @ M_W plus $O(\alpha) \ln m_b/M_W$ [1311.0903,1311.1347,1311.1348]
 - Reduce perturbative uncertainties from 7% to 1%
 - Power enhanced non-local QED corrections [1708.09152] gives +1 × (1 – 0.2)% (resum [1908.07011])
 - Factorisation theorem: RGI improved O₇ contribution [2211.04209]

Time integrated branching branching ratio

- Measured branching ratio in terms of time = 0 [1204.1737]: $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{s\mu} = (1 - y_s)^{-1} \mathcal{B}_{s\mu}^{[t=0]]}$
- $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{s\mu} = (3.65 \pm 0.06) \times (1.008) \times R_{t\alpha} R_s \times 10^{-9}$ [1311.0903] including QED 0.8% effect [1908.07011]
- ► Input f_{B_s} (Lattice), CKM, $m_t^{\overline{MS}}$ and α_s : $R_s = \left(\frac{f_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]}{227.7}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0424}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|V_{tb}^{\star}V_{ts}/V_{cb}|}{0.980}\right)^2 \frac{\tau_H^s[\text{ps}]}{1.615}$ $R_{t\alpha} = (m_t/(163.5 \,\text{GeV}))^{3.02} (\alpha_s(M_Z)/0.1184) \alpha^{0.032}$

 $b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$

• $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{ts}^* V_{tb} \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i Q_i \text{ for } \bar{B} \to K^{(*)} \mu^+ \mu^- \text{ pheno}$

- Alternative test $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_s \mu^+ \mu^-$
 - SD Lagrangian known at NNLO + (EW)
 - Updated SM predictions [2007.04191] $\mathscr{B}[1,6]^{SM}_{\mu\mu} = (1.73 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-6}$
 - High q² analysis using C_L & C_V [2305.03076]
 - Dependence on M_X cut@NLO [2306.03134]



Rare Decays: CKM Structure (Kaons)

Using the GIM mechanism, we can eliminate either $V_{cs}^*V_{cd}$ or $V_{us}^* V_{ud} \rightarrow - V_{cs}^* V_{cd} - V_{ts}^* V_{td}$ Z-Penguin and Boxes (high virtuality): γg power expansion in: A_c - A_u \propto 0 + O(m_c²/M_W²) γ /g-Penguin (expand in mom.): A_c - A_u \propto O(Log(m_c²/m_u²)) $\mathrm{Im}V_{ts}^*V_{td} = -\mathrm{Im}V_{cs}^*V_{cd} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)$ $\operatorname{Im} V_{us}^* V_{ud} = 0$ $\operatorname{Re}V_{us}^*V_{ud} = -\operatorname{Re}V_{cs}^*V_{cd} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^1)$ $\operatorname{Re}V_{ts}^*V_{td} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)$

K → πνν (from Z & Boxes): Clean and suppressed
 K → μμ pollution from two photon contribution

 $K \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

$K \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

SD: $Y_t + Y_{NNLO}$ from SM $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{d=6}$ gives $\ell = 0$. $[O(\lambda_t, \frac{m_c^2}{M_W^2}\lambda_c)]$ LD: from γ - γ loop is CP conserving: $O(\frac{\alpha_{QED}}{4\pi})$ Setting ϵ_K to zero, K_L and K_S are CP eigenstates:



 ℓ is not experimentally accessible, but interference term in time dependent decay sensitive to SD [D'Ambrosio, Kitahara '17]

 $K(t) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} \propto C_L e^{-\Gamma_L} + C_S e^{-\Gamma_S} + 2[C_{sin} \sin(\Delta M t) + C_{cos} \cos(\Delta M t)]e^{-\Gamma t}$

$$\begin{split} C_{sin/cos} &= Im/Re\left\{(A_0^S)^*A_0^L + (A_1^S)^*A_1^L\right\}\\ \frac{BR(K_S \to \mu^+\mu^-)_{\ell=0}^{(pert)}}{BR(K_L \to \mu^+\mu^-)} &= \frac{\tau_S}{\tau_L}\frac{|A_0^SA_0^L|^2}{|A_0^L|^4} = \frac{\tau_S}{\tau_L}\frac{C_{int}^2}{C_L^2} \end{split}$$

where we assumed $A_1^L = 0$, $\epsilon_K = 0$

• Measurement of $BR(K_S \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\ell=0}$ [Dery et.al.'21]

NNLO, EW and $\epsilon_{K} = 0$

Higher order corrections from $b \rightarrow s\ell^+\ell^-$ for 5 flavour (NNLO QCD [Hermann et.al.'13]; 2-loop EW [Bobeth et.al.'13] RGE [Bobeth et.al.'03]}

$$BR(K_{S} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})_{\ell=0}^{(pert)} = 1.70(02)_{QCD/EW}(01)_{f_{K}}(19)_{param.} \times 10^{-13}$$

indirect CP violation mixes $\gamma \cdot \gamma$: $A_{0}^{S} \to A_{0}^{S} + \epsilon_{K} A_{0}^{L}$
$$\frac{BR(K_{S} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})_{\ell=0}}{BR(K_{S} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})_{\ell=0}^{(pert)}} = 1 + \sqrt{2}|\epsilon_{K}\frac{|A_{0}^{L}|}{|A_{0}^{S}|}(\cos\phi_{0} - \sin\phi_{0})$$

[Brod, Stamou'22] and can shift by O(3%), while ϕ_0 can be obtained from $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $K_L \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ [Dery et al.'22]

$K \to \pi \, \bar{\nu} \, \nu$

$K \rightarrow \pi \bar{\nu} \nu$ at M_W



- Below the charm: Only Q_{ν} , ME from K_{l3}
- semi-leptonic (s
 [¯]
 ^{γμ} u_L)(v
 ^{γμ} ℓ_L) operator: χ PT gives small contribution (10% of charm contribution)

Leading Effective Hamiltonian for $\mu < m_c$ SM: $\nu \bar{\nu}$ are only invisibles \Rightarrow no γ -Penguin \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha G_F}{\pi \sin^2 \theta_w} \sum_{\ell=e,\mu,\tau} (\lambda_c X^{\ell} + \lambda_t X_t) (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu d_L) (\bar{v}_{\ell L} \gamma^\mu v_{\ell L}) + \text{h.c.}$$

generated by highly virtual particles + tiny light quark contribution \Rightarrow clean & CKM suppressed ($\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{id}$).

X_t known at NLO QCD [Buchalla,Buras;Misiak,Urban'99] and two-loop EW [Brod et.al.'10]:

 $X_t = 1.462 \pm 0.017_{\text{QCD}} \pm 0.002_{\text{EW}}$

P_c = (0.2255/λ)⁴ × (0.3604 ± 0.0087) at NNLO QCD [Buras et.al.'05] + NLO EW [Brod et.al.'08]

• χ PT matching logs: δ P_{c,u} = 0.04 ± 0.02 [Isidori et.al.'05]

Future Lattice: [Bai et.al.'18]

Scale Dependence @ NNLO

- **•** Residual μ_t dependence estimates uncertainty
- ▶ Reduces from $\pm 1\%$ @NLO $\rightarrow \pm 0.1\%$ @NNLO



$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ Branching Ratios

Matrix elements from K_{ℓ3} including strong and em iso-spin breaking [0705.2025] κ₊, κ_L, Δ_{EM}

 $\kappa_{+} = \frac{s_{w}^{-2}\lambda^{8}\alpha(M_{Z})^{2}}{7.5248 \cdot 10^{-9}} \times 0.5173(25) \times 10^{-10}, \, \Delta_{EM} = -0.003$

indirect CP violation contribution given by r_{ε_κ}

$$\mathsf{Br}_{\mathcal{K}^+} = \kappa_+ (1 + \Delta_{\mathsf{EM}}) \left[\left(\frac{\mathsf{Im}\lambda_t}{\lambda^5} X_t \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathsf{Re}\lambda_c}{\lambda} \left(P_c + \delta P_{c,u} \right) + \frac{\mathsf{Re}\lambda_t}{\lambda^5} X_t \right)^2 \right].$$

$$\mathsf{Br}_{\kappa_{L}} = \kappa_{L} r_{\epsilon_{\kappa}} \left(\frac{\mathsf{Im}\lambda_{t}}{\lambda^{5}} X_{t} \right)^{2}, \quad \kappa_{L} = \frac{s_{w}^{-2} \lambda^{8} \alpha (M_{Z})^{2}}{7.5248 \cdot 10^{-9}} \times 2.231(13) \times 10^{-10}$$

$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ in the Standard Model

- ► 2105.02868 Standard Model Prediction BR($K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$) = 7.73(16)_{SD}(25)_{LD}(54)_{para.} × 10⁻¹¹, BR($K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$) = 2.59(6)_{SD}(2)_{LD}(28)_{para.} × 10⁻¹¹.
- ► Using 2022 PDG CKM fitter values BR($K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$) = 8.25(11)_{SD}(25)_{LD}(57)_{para.} × 10⁻¹¹, BR($K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$) = 2.83(1)_{SD}(2)_{LD}(30)_{para.} × 10⁻¹¹.
- V_{cb} dominates uncertainty: e_K has similar V_{cb} dependence
- ► NA62 collaboration BR($K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$) = (10.6^{+3.4}_{-3.4}|_{stat} ± 0.9_{syst}) × 10⁻¹¹
- ▶ JPARC-KOTO has $BR(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) \le 2.0 \times 10^{-9}$



$\Delta S = 2$ Hamiltonian - Phase (In)Dependence

- Rephaseing invariant: $\lambda_i \lambda_i^* = V_{id} V_{is}^* V_{jd}^* V_{js}$
- One Operator: $Q_{S2} = (\overline{s}_L \gamma_\mu d_L) \otimes (\overline{s}_L \gamma^\mu d_L)$

$$\mathsf{H}_{f=3}^{\Delta S=2} = \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{4\pi^2 (\lambda_u^*)^2} Q_{S2} \Big\{ f_1 C_{S2}^{uu}(\mu) + iJ \Big[f_2 \, C_{S2}^{tt}(\mu) + f_3 \, C_{S2}^{ut}(\mu) \Big] \Big\} + \mathsf{h.c.}$$

•
$$f_1 = |\lambda_u|^4$$
, $f_2 = 2\text{Re}(\lambda_t \lambda_u^*)$ and $f_3 = |\lambda_u|^2$

- NNLO QCD corrections [Brod et.al.'10,Brod et.al.'11] to C^{ut}_{S2} absorbed into η_{ut} [Brod et.al.'19]
- C_{S2}^{uu} is purely SD, while C_{S2}^{uu} does not contribute

Residual scale dependence



25/33

Further Improvements

• EW corrections to η_{tt} and η_{ut} [2207.07669,2108.00017]



LD effects can be calculated on the Lattice, but not at physical masses yet [2309.01193]

$$\blacktriangleright \hat{B}_{K} = \frac{3}{2f_{K}^{2}M_{K}^{2}} \langle \bar{K}^{0} | Q^{|\Delta S=2|} | K^{0} \rangle u^{-1}(\mu_{\text{had}}) \text{ from Lattice}$$



- Define momentum-space subtraction schemes
- ▶ Projected renormalised Green's function $P_{(\gamma_{\mu})}(\Lambda_R) \rightarrow$

$$\triangleright \ Z_{Q_{S2}}^{(\gamma_{\mu},\gamma_{\mu})} = \left(Z_{q}^{(\gamma_{\mu})}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{P_{(\gamma_{\mu})}(\Lambda_{B})}$$

• $Z_{Q_{52}}^{(\gamma_{\mu},\gamma_{\mu})}/Z_{Q_{52}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}$ converts between Lattice and continuum

SMOM Â_K @ NNLO



- Use projectors to find $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{ijkl}$ at 2-loops
- Integrals reduce to scalar off-shell 4-point functions
- The result should be independent of the matching scale

$$B_{K}^{(X,Y)}(|p|)C_{B_{K}}^{(X,Y)}(|p|,\mu)u^{-1}(\mu)u(\mu_{0})$$

- Study scale variation setting $\mu_0 = |\mathbf{p}|$
- Compute \hat{B}_{K} for f=3,4 for SMOM, RIMOM, RI'MOM

\hat{B}_{K} at NNLO



Numerics of NNLO result by [MG, Kvedaraitė, Jäger]

$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu} \& \epsilon_{\rm K}$

- $|\epsilon_{\kappa}| = (2.170 \pm 0.065_{pt} \pm 0.076_{np} \pm 0.153_{par}) \times 10^{-3} = \\ \kappa_{\epsilon} C_{\epsilon} \widehat{B}_{\kappa} |V_{cb}|^{2} \lambda^{2} \overline{\eta} \times \left(|V_{cb}|^{2} (1 \overline{\rho}) \eta_{tttt}(x_{t}) \eta_{utut}(x_{c}, x_{t}) \right)$
- CKM factors of ϵ_K and $K \to \pi v \bar{v}$ are quite similar
 - ► In fact $BR(K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu})/|\epsilon_{\kappa}|^{0.82}$ is effectively V_{cb} independent [Buras, Venturini '21] for current theory calculations
- This provides an excellent null test of the Standard Model
- Multiplying with $|\epsilon_{\kappa}|^{0.82}$ results in a model prediction for $BR(K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu})$ with 5% uncertainty (albeit ignoring other constraints)

$K \rightarrow \pi$ + invisibles

- Sensitive to QCD Axion, Dark Photon ... [2201.07805]
- Neutrino properties, such as Majorana vs. Dirac or sterile allows different NP effects [Gorbahn, Moldanarazova,

Sieja, Stamou, Tabet, W.I.P.]



^{31/33}

Gauge independent result for $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$

• $b \rightarrow s\ell\ell$ for generic theories [2104.10930] restricted to $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ with only vectors and fermions:

$$\begin{split} C_{L\sigma}^{sd\nu} &= \sum_{v_1v_2f_1f_3} \frac{g_{\overline{v}_2\bar{s}f_1}^L g_{v_1\bar{f}_1d}^L}{M_{v_1}^2} g_{v_2\bar{v}f_3}^\sigma g_{\bar{v}_1\bar{f}_3v}^\sigma F_V^{\sigma,B'Z}(x_{v_1}^{f_0}, x_{v_1}^{f_1}, x_{v_2}^{v_1}, x_{v_1}^{f_3}) \\ &+ \sum_{Zv_1v_2f_1f_2} \frac{g_{Z\bar{v}v}^\sigma g_{v_1\bar{f}_1d}^L g_{\bar{v}_2\bar{s}f_2}^L}{M_Z^2} \bigg\{ \delta_{f_1f_2} g_{Z\bar{v}_1v_2} F_{V''}^Z(x_{v_1}^{f_0}, x_{v_1}^{f_1}, x_{v_2}^{t_1}) \\ &+ \delta_{v_1v_2} \bigg[g_{Z\bar{f}_2f_1}^L F_V^Z(x_{v_1}^{f_1}, x_{v_1}^{f_2}) + g_{Z\bar{f}_2f_1}^R F_{V'}^Z(x_{v_1}^{f_1}, x_{v_1}^{f_2}) \bigg] \bigg\}, \end{split}$$

Extends the Penguin Box Coefficients to generic theories $(X_t \leftrightarrow F_V^{\sigma,B'Z}(0, x_W^t, 1, 0) \& F_{V'}^Z(x, x) = F_{V''}^Z(x, y, 1) = 0)$

32/33

Conclusions

- Precise theory predictions for rare B and particular K decays.
- Can form null tests of the SM with ϵ_{κ} .
- NNLO calculations for electroweak and lattice-continuum matching will increase precision.
- Measurement of $K \to \pi \bar{\nu} \nu$ can be compared with precise theory prediction.
- Precision test of the standard model (EFT)
 - but also of different light degrees of freedom