The new $K_s \rightarrow \pi e v$ branching fraction measurement at KLOE

A.Passeri, INFN Roma Tre

on behalf of the KLOE-2 Collaboration







12° International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle Santiago de Compostela, 18-22 september 2023

A.Passeri - KSe3 BR measuremet at KLOE



$\mathbf{DA} \Phi \mathbf{NE} \text{ and } \mathbf{KLOE}$

DA Φ NE is a e+e- collider (a) $\sqrt{s} = 1020$ MeV, located in Frascati National Laboratories. Worked for KLOE (2000-2006):Max peak lumi: 1.5 10^{32} cm⁻¹s⁻¹ Best daily int. lumi: 8.5 pb⁻¹

Upgraded in 2008 with crab-waist scheme Worked for KLOE-2 (2014-2018): Max peak lumi: 2.4 10³² cm⁻¹s⁻¹ Best daily int. lumi: 11 pb⁻¹

KLOE collected 2.5 fb⁻¹ at the ϕ resonance peak and 250 pb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 1000$ MeV.







The KLOE detector



Interaction region: Instrument quadrupoles, Al-Be spherical beam pipe Large volume Drift Chamber (13K cells, He gas mixt.) :

4m- \emptyset , 3.75m-length, all-stereo $\sigma_p/p = 0.4 \%$ (tracks with $\theta > 45^\circ$) $\sigma_x^{hit} = 150 \ \mu m (xy), 2 \ mm (z)$ $\sigma_x^{vertex} \sim 1 \ mm \quad \sigma_{M\pi\pi} \sim 1 \ MeV$

Pb-SciFi Calorimeter (barrel + endcap, 15 X₀ depth, 98% solid angle coverage) :

> $\sigma_{E}/E = 5.7\% / \sqrt{E(GeV)}$ $\sigma_{T} = 54 \text{ ps} / \sqrt{E(GeV)} \oplus 100 \text{ ps}$

PID capabilities mostly from TOF

decays:		
K^+K^-	49.1%	
K _L K _S	34.3%	
ρπ	15.4%	
ηγ	1.3%	

 $\sigma_{\phi} \sim 3 \ \mu b \rightarrow 10^9 \ neutral kaon pairs per fb^{-1}$



The ϕ -factory advantage

• The final KK state has the same quantum numbers as the ϕ i.e. is a pure J^{PC} = 1⁻⁻ quantum state

$$|i\rangle \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|K_L, \mathbf{p}\rangle | K_S, -\mathbf{p}\rangle - |K_L, -\mathbf{p}\rangle | K_S, \mathbf{p}\rangle \right)$$

• P_K=-P_K~110 MeV/c

• $\lambda(K_s) = 6 \text{ mm} (\tau = 90 \text{ ps}), \ \lambda(K_L) = 3.5 \text{ m} (\tau = 51.7 \text{ ns})$

The presence of one kaon tags the other opposite one. All K_s decay near the i.p.

KLOE has the unique capability of selecting pure KS and KL beams

Moreover: interference pattern and entanglement of K_S K_L state allows to study fundamental simmetries and quantum mechanics

Neutral kaon tagging at KLOE



 K_S tagged by K_L interaction in EmC K_L velocity in ϕ rest frame $\beta^* = 0.218$ Efficiency ~ 30% (largely geometrical) K_S angular resolution: ~ 1° (0.3° in ϕ) K_S momentum resolution: ~ 2 MeV



K_L tagged by *K_S* → $\pi^+\pi^-$ vertex at IP Efficiency ~ 70% (mainly geometrical) *K_L* angular resolution: ~ 1° *K_L* momentum resolution: ~ 2 MeV

20/09/2023

The KSe3 decay and the Cabibbo angle

In the SM :

$$\mathcal{B}(K_S \to \pi \ell \nu) = \frac{G^2 (f_+(0)|V_{us}|)^2}{192\pi^3} \tau_S m_K^5 I_K^\ell S_{\rm EW} (1 + \delta_{\rm EM}^{K\ell})$$

The KSe3 determination of $|V_{us}|f_{+}(0)$ is the less accurate (apart from the recently measured and rarer KSµ3 mode Phys.Lett.B 804 (2020) 135378).

The presently available BR(KSe3) value is dominated by the KLOE measurement based on 0.4 fb⁻¹ data sample:

BR(K_S→ $\pi e\nu$) = (7.046 ± 0.078 ± 0.049) x 10⁻⁴ PLB 636 (2006) 173

1.4% total uncertainty (1.1% stat ± 0.7% syst)

We present here a new measurement based on 1.63 fb⁻¹ independent KLOE data sample.





M.Moulson and E.Passemar, CKM 2021

What we measure

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma(K_S \to \pi e\nu)}{\Gamma(K_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-)} = \frac{N_{\pi e\nu}}{\epsilon_{\pi e\nu}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{\pi\pi}}{N_{\pi\pi}} \times R_\epsilon$$

 $N_{\pi e_{V}}$, $N_{\pi\pi}$: number of selected events for K_S-> πe_{V} and K_S -> $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ $\mathcal{E}_{\pi e_{V}}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\pi\pi}$: selection efficiencies

 R_{ε} : ratio of common efficiencies for trigger, online filter, event classification and preselection

Preselection and normalization sample

K_L-crash:

- one neutral cluster with E > 100 MeV and polar angle $15^{\circ} < \theta < 165^{\circ}$
- velocity $0.17 < \beta^* < 0.28$ in the ϕ c.m.s. (in the lab: $\beta = r_{clu}/ct_{clu}$)

K_S side selection:

two charged tracks of opposite curvature forming a vertex inside the cylinder (ρ < 5 cm ; z < 10 cm)



The normalization sample of $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays is selected at this stage by requiring each of the two charged tracks momentum to be 140 MeV < p < 280 MeV. We obtain:

 $N_{\pi\pi}$ = (282.314 ± 0.017) x 10⁶ events

Efficiency 97.4 and purity 99.9% determined by simulation.

Sample composition after preselection

	n. events	Fraction (%)
Data	301 645 500	
MC	312 018 500	
$K_s \rightarrow \pi e \nu$	259 264	0.08
$K_s \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	301 976 400	96.78
$\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$	9 565 465	3.07
$K_s \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	30 353	0.01
$K_s \rightarrow \pi \mu \nu$	139 585	0.04
$K_s \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$	18 397	6 10 ⁻³
$\phi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	24 153	8 10 ⁻³
others	4 852	2 10 ⁻³

Signal selection is then performed in two steps based on uncorrelated information:

1) the event kinematics using only DC tracking variables

2) the time-of-flight measured with the calorimeter

Multivariate selection based on tracking variables/1

5 discriminating variables are selected. In the signal region they show satisfactory data-MC agreement



Multivariate selection based on tracking variables/2

BDT classifier trained on 5000 signal events and 50000 background simulated events Tested on same size samples and run over the both full data and MC samples.

Events with BDT output > 0.15 are retained to reduces main backgrounds from charged kaons and K_S-> $\pi\pi$



Time of flight selection/1

Track-to-cluster association (TCA) is required for both tracks:

clusters must have $E_{clu} > 20$ MeV, $\theta_{clu} > 15^{\circ}$, centroid within 30 cm of the track extrapolation.

For each track:

$$\delta t_i = t_{clu,i} - L_i/c\beta_i(m_i)$$
 L_i track length, $\beta_i = p_i$

Correct mass hypothesis yields null δt_i $\delta t = \delta t_1 - \delta t_2$ minimize event T₀ uncertainty

Test of the $\pi\pi$ hypothesis:

$$\delta t_{\pi\pi} = \delta t_{1,\pi} - \delta t_{2,\pi}$$

Selection applied: 2.5 ns < $|\delta t_{\pi\pi}|$ < 10 ns



Time of flight selection/2

Test of $\pi e vs e \pi$ hypotheses:

$$\delta t_{\pi e} = \delta t_{1,\pi} - \delta t_{2,e}$$
 vs $\delta t_{e\pi} = \delta t_{1,e} - \delta t_{2,\pi}$ (random track ordering)

Lowest $|\delta t|$ is chosen as the correct hypothesis δt_e $|\delta t_e| < 1 \text{ ns}$ is required



	n. events	Fraction (%)
Data	57577	
MC	56843	
$K_s \rightarrow \pi e v$	53559	94.22
$K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	2175	3.83
$\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$	903	1.59
K _s → πμν	136	0.24
others	70	0.12

Signal extraction

Selected sample is now signal dominated. m_e^2 distribution is used to fit number of events:

 $m_e^2 = (E_{KS} - E_{\pi} - p_{miss})^2 - p_e^2$ (E_{KS} and p_{KS} from KL-crash)

Fit with 3 components ($\pi e v$, $\pi \pi$, others) yields:

$$N_{\pi ev} = 49647 \pm 316$$
 $\chi^2 / ndf = 76/96$



Evaluation of efficiencies

The KL -> $\pi e v$ control sample (CS) allows to determine efficiencies from data:

$$\epsilon_{\pi e\nu} = \epsilon_{\rm CS} \times \frac{\epsilon_{\pi e\nu}^{\rm MC}}{\epsilon_{\rm CS}^{\rm MC}}$$

CS Selected by K_S tag, requiring K_L decay radius in the range (1 cm, 5 cm). Missing mass cut discards $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ component.

Two high purity (>95%) CS are built by cutting on TOF variables (for ε_{kine} evaluation) or kine variables (for ε_{tof} evaluation).

Good comparison of CS and signal samples observed in MC

We obtain:

Efficiency
961 ± 0.0002
720 ± 0.0007
534 ± 0.0013
639 ± 0.0009
605 ± 0.0012
938 ± 0.0006



The K_s-> $\pi^+\pi^-$ selection efficiency is evaluated from the preselected sample with 2 methods and their difference is used as systematics. We get:

 $\epsilon_{\pi\pi}$ = (96.657 ± 0.002) %

From simulation: $R_{e} = 1.1882 \pm 0.0012$

Systematic uncertainty

BDT cut is varied in the range (0.135,0.17) and good $N_{\pi ev}$ stability is observed. Spread is used as uncertainty.

TCA checked in CS. δt resolution checked to be identical in signal and control samples.

Lower $\delta t_{\pi\pi}$ cut varied in (2-3 ns) range, $|\delta t_e|$ cut varied in (0.8-1.2 ns) range.

 m_e^2 fit repeated varying range and bin width. Separate components for KSµ3 and ϕ ->K⁺K⁻ backgrounds are tested.

election	$\delta \epsilon_{\pi e \nu}^{\rm syst} \left[10^{-4} \right]$	$\delta\epsilon_{\pi^+\pi^-}^{\rm syst}$ [10^{-4}]
DT selection	5.3	
CA & TOF selection	6.0	
t parameters	3.0	
$s \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ efficiency		8.8
otal	8.5	8.8
otal	8.5	8.8

R_ε systematics evaluated to be 0.48% by comparing data and MC for each of the included common selections



 $N_{\pi\pi} = (282.314 \pm 0.017) \times 10^{6}$ $\epsilon_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}} = (96.657 \pm 0.088)\%$ $\epsilon_{\pi e \nu} = (19.38 \pm 0.10)\%$ $R_{\epsilon} = 1.1882 \pm 0.0059$

 $N_{\pi e\nu} = 49647 \pm 316$

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\Gamma(K_S \to \pi e\nu)}{\Gamma(K_S \to \pi^+\pi^-)} = \frac{N_{\pi e\nu}}{\epsilon_{\pi e\nu}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{\pi\pi}}{N_{\pi\pi}} \times R_\epsilon$$

New KLOE result:
$$\mathcal{R} = (1.0421 \pm 0.0066_{
m stat} \pm 0.0075_{
m syst}) imes 10^{-3}$$

Previous KLOE result: 0.41 fb⁻¹ independent data sample. Phys.Lett. B 636 (2006) 173

$$\mathcal{R} = (1.019 \pm 0.011_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.007_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-3}$$

Systematics correlation factor between the two measurements is 12%, due to the determination of the preselection and time of flight efficiencies. Combination yields:

$$\mathcal{R} = (1.0338 \pm 0.0054_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.0064_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-3} |_{\text{JH}}$$

JHEP 02 (2023) 098

KSe3 Branching Ratio and V_{us}

• Using the value: *BR(* $K_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi$ *)* = 0.69196 ± 0.00051 measured by KLOE **Eur. Phys. J. C 48 (2006) 767** We obtain:

 $\mathcal{B}(K_S \to \pi e\nu) = (7.153 \pm 0.037_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.044_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-4} = (7.153 \pm 0.058) \times 10^{-4}$

• Using the SM formula: $\mathcal{B}(K_S \to \pi \ell \nu) = \frac{G^2 (f_+(0)|V_{us}|)^2}{192\pi^3} \tau_S m_K^5 I_K^\ell S_{\rm EW} (1 + \delta_{\rm EM}^{K\ell})$

and taking the PDG values for τ_s and m_k and the most recent calculations of the theoretical correction factors (Phys.Rev.D 105, (2022) 013005) we obtain:

$$f_{+}(0)|V_{us}| = 0.2170 \pm 0.0009$$

Accuracy better than the one reached with charged kaon semileptonic decays



18

A brief KSµ3 reminder

PLB 804 (2020) 135378



Same analysis technique as KSe3:

- Different $\delta t_{\pi\pi}$ selection window $1 \text{ ns} < |\delta t_{\pi\pi}| < 3 \text{ ns}$
- Cut on $|\delta t_{\mu}| < 0.5$ and fit to m_{μ}^2 distribution
- Similar efficiency and systematics estimation via control sample

First ever measurement of this decay:

$${\cal R}_{\mu}\!\!=$$
 (6.59 ± 0.28) x 10⁻⁴

Partial anti-correlation with KSe3 measurement to be quantified



Conclusions

The KLOE experiment has performed a new measurement of the $K_s \rightarrow \pi e v$ branching fraction based on a 1.63 fb-1 data sample collected in 2004-05, with a < 1% overall uncertainty.

Combination with the previous KLOE measurement yields a new determination of (K_s $\rightarrow \pi e \nu$) branching fraction with 0.8% precision.

The corresponding estimate of $f_{+}(0)x|V_{us}|$ is competitive with those obtained by other K semileptonic decays

The sum of the four main K_s branching ratios measured by KLOE yields:

$$B_{\pi+\pi-} + B_{\pi0\pi0} + B_{\pi ev} + B_{\pi\mu\nu} > 0.9983 @95\% CL$$

SPARES

R_{ϵ} components from MC

Selection	$R_{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_{\pi\pi}/\epsilon_{\pi e\nu})_{\rm com}$
Trigger	1.0297 ± 0.0003
On-line filter	1.0054 ± 0.0001
Event classification	1.0635 ± 0.0004
T0 time	1.0063 ± 0.0001
K_L -crash	1.0295 ± 0.0010
K_S vertex reconstr.	1.0418 ± 0.0009
R_{ϵ}	1.1882 ± 0.0017

$\delta t_{\rm e}$ resolution for signal and control sample

